EMPIRICAL TESTING OF CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL ON TOP 10 COMPANIES LISTED IN NSE INDIA

Palak Talwar, Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University R. Gopinathan, Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University

ABSTRACT

This study focuses on the top ten companies listed on the National Stock Exchange of India (NSE), based on the fundamentals of market capitalization; we used the CAPM model; the Beta (Systematic Risk) role is to estimate the equity's risk and its role is to compare how far the whole equity bounces; monthly data is collected and analysed for the period starting from 1 May 2019 to 31 May 2020; the empirical findings of the individual assets returns are linearlyrelated to their betas; the only risk that influence.

Keywords: Capital Asset, NSE.

INTRODUCTION

The CAPM see that the estimated return on an asset is straightly related to systemic risk that is calculated by the asset's beta. The CAPM thesis give details how the weighing of risk as wellas expected returns help out to discover securities prices. Since the late 1940s along with the early 1950s, the foundation of the development of CAPM, and give authoritative forecasting as how to evaluate risk return relationship. Capital Asset Pricing Model is usually use in various approaches - like evaluating Cost of capital for different firms as well as estimating the operation of managed portfolios.

As the return from investment in stock market is uncertain, the risk as well as return in the stock market will be critical for investors to make the most of the return as well as diminish the risk, and therefore guard the attractiveness of investing in stock market. In 1952, Markowitz (1952), begins the portfolio hypothesis viewing investors how to make portfolios of specific investmentto appropriately trade off.

CAPM develop as a mode to calculate this systematic risk. Sharpe (1964); Shveta (2016) states that the return on anindividual stock, or a portfolio of stocks, should one and the similar to the cost of capital. Theremaining procedure, that give you an idea about the relationship between risks an expected return. CAPM's first point is the risk-free rate-typically a 10-year government bond yield. That equity market remuneration comprises the estimated return from the market, smaller than the risk-free rate of return. That equity risk reward is accumulated by a coefficient Sharpe called it -"*beta*."

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Shriwastav (2017) In the relationship between expected return and risk, the CAPM has been empirically explored. He has analysed the financial statements of 15 companies that were publicly traded on the National Stock Exchange. From January 2006 until December 2010, the

Period under consideration It was discovered that the CAPM does not hold the Indian equities market.

Bajpaia & Sharmab, (2015) CAPM was analysed and it was discovered that there is support for CAPM in the Indian stock market in terms of establishing a trade-off between risk and reward and supporting the efficient market hypothesis in the Indian setting.

Ratra (2017); Saji (2014) An Empirical Analysis of CAPM in the Indian Stock Market with Reference to the Indian Stock Market is presented in the current work. It is directly proportional to its Beta with respect to the Market Return in the CAPM Model based on the projected rate of return ona security. Consequently, this study tests the hypothesis that the beta of an asset is the only factor that determines its return, and that the return of an asset is linearly related to the betas of the assets, and that the only risk that influences the return is the systematic risk, which has notbeen established.

Basu & Chawla (2010) The risk return relationship of individual stocks traded on the NationalStock Exchange that make up the Nifty Index would be evaluated, and the CAPM model wouldbe used to determine this relationship, according to the study. The study discovered that, in addition to systematic risk, there are a variety of other factors that influence the return on investments. Sehgal (1997) found that there is not much evidence available to test the CAPM in Indian context Chaudhary (2016); Galagedera, (2007); Lintner (1965). The findings show that the CAPM does not have a great deal of explanatory power and that new models for asset pricing in India are required Shinde & Mane (2019). This study's research contradicts the model's expected return and systematicrisk, which are linearly associated.

The most of study of the empirical sample of CAPM is concluded in the developed market. Even as rising countries have a lack of such empirical analyze of CAPM. In India there are particularly few works that addressed the related issue. Result of these analyses is well combined.

Table 1 MARKET CAPITALIZATION						
Sr. No.	Symbol	Company Name	Market Capitalization (In lakhs)	Weight(%)		
1	Reliance	Reliance Industries Limited	70,603,579	11.84%		
2	Tcs	Tata Consultancy ServicesLimited	68,522,297	5.18%		
3	Hindunilvr	Hindustan Unilever Limited	49,758,412	3.68%		
4	Hdfcbank	HDFC Bank Limited	47,248,232	10.31%		
5	Hdfc	Housing Development FinanceCorporation Limited	28,235,708	7.17%		
6	Infy	Infosys Limited	27,320,750	6.33%		
7	Kotakbank	Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited	24,786,522	4.10%		
8	Bhartiartl	Bharti Airtel Limited	24,053,552	3.09%		
9	Itc	ITC Limited	21,105,761	4.31%		
10	Icicibank	ICICI Bank Limited	20,954,333	5.37%		
Source: NSE						

The selected companies, along with their Market Capitalization (in Rs Lakhs.) as well as their respective sectors and their weights are in the below Table 1.

The record of the selected companies that is considered in the analyses or study is mentioned in the above table.

These all selected companies are the effective securities of the stock marketof India. These

selected companies are (Infosys ltd.) Information Technology, RelianceCompanies (Oil & Gas), Tata services ltd. (Automobile), and HDFC Bank, Kotak Bank ltd. (Finance) and many more. Month wise data are collected and analyzed for the period started from 1 May 2019 to 31 May 2020 for 1 year. All the data has collected from the Secondary source from NSE website.

According to Study, there are almost more than 1600 listed companies in NSE among that, we selected 10 companies. Every company depends on Market Capitalization which refers as (Market Cap) it is the accumulated value of the companies. The formula for the calculation of Market Capitalization is measured as: Current market value of shares of the company multiplyby total outstanding company shares on the market. The Reliance ltd as well as the TCS Company (Tata Consistency Services) is two of the largest companies in India by Market Capitalization. The NIFTY 50 fers as (National Index Fifty) is a market index that is used by NSE of India based on stock market index. It covers almost 50 stocks from several sectors of the market. That is why it is called as one of the well diversified as well as versatile index. It provide weightage to the companies as well as sectors related to NSE that is the reason NIFTY 50 index is called as "*Free Float Market Capitalization*".

As shown in the above table the NIFTY 50 gives a stock weightage to companies, the left side show the Name of selected Companies and on the right side the respective weightage. NIFTY50 gives a stock weightage of 11.84% to Reliance Company, 10.31% weightage to HDFC Bank, 7.17% stock weightage to Housing Development Corp., 6.33% weightage to Infosys Ltd., 5.37% stock weightage to ICICI Bank, 5.18% weightage to TCS, 4.31% to ITC LTD., 4.10% to Kotak Bank Ltd., 3.69% to Hindustan Ltd., 3.09 stock weightage to Bharti Airtel Ltd.

Table 2 CAPM FOR TOP 10 LISTED COMPANIES IN NSE				
Company's	CAPM_ALPHA	CAPM_BETA		
Reliance	-0.292	0.087		
Hdfc_B	-0.293	0.132		
Hdfc	-0.293	0.134		
Tcs	-0.292	0.077		
Info	-0.292	0.089		
Icici	0.121	0.137		
Itc	0.154	0.100		
Kotak	0.161	0.120		
Hul	0.131	0.064		
Airtel	0.065	0.056		

Source: Authors Estimation.

The model of CAPM $Ri=Rf+\beta i (Rm-Rf)$ Where, Rit=stock return of particular company with respect to time Rft=Risk-free interest rate βi =Beta of the stock measure the systematic risk Rm=Market Return

In CAPM model the Beta (Systematic Risk) role is to estimate the equity's risk (its role is to compare how far the whole equity bounces) and it can be calculated by the formula Covariance

divided by Variance. Means if value of β is less than 1 it means stocks are less volatile (Aggressive) as compared to the overall risk, and if value is equal to 1 it means stock have same level of volatility as the whole market has. Moreover if value is greater than 1 it means stock is more volatility (Aggressive) as compared to overall market.

According to above table, the HDFC Bank, HDFC, as well as ICICI Bank, stock's beta is 0.13, so it assumed to be 13% more aggressive as compared to the market. Whereas KOTAK beta is 0.12, it assumed to be 12% more aggressive as compared to market. And the rest Reliance, TCS as well as HUL stock's beta is less than 1 means it is less aggressive (sensitive) as compared to the whole market.

On the other hand, Alpha (α) value of selected companies represents how badly a fund or stocks behave in relation to the performance of the securities in the market. The formula of calculatingthe value of Alpha is: $R+R_F - (R_m-R_f)$

If value of Alpha (α) is positive it means it is well diversified portfolio, and if its value of (α) is negative it means it is not well diversified portfolio, and if Alpha value turn out to be zero its investment match up the benchmark index. So, according to Table 2 there are five Companies whose Alpha (α) value is negative those Companies are (RELIANCE, HDFC, HDF, TCS, and INFOSYS) the negative (-) value of α in CAPM means that it is not well-diversified portfolio or the return underperformed its benchmark index by 1% or it means that stocks has not performed good as the β would predict. On the other hand there are five more companies whose Alpha value is positive(+) those companies are (ICICI, KOTAK, HUL, ITC, and AIRTEL) there positive values means that it is well-diversified portfolio or its return outperformed its benchmark index by 1 or stock hasperformed good as the β would predict.

Graphical Representation

Now here is the Graphical representation of CAPM model which shows comparison of all theselected Companies with respect to NIFTY where the (R_t) shows Rate of return and the Small thin line shows Standard Deviation of path and the Points (dots) show the clustering effect. Moreover, we calculate R^2 which measures Goodness of fit that shows how well the data fit into the observation means based on observed value it make prediction.



FIGURE 1 RT_RELIANCE VS RT_NIFTY

Source: Authors estimation.

Figure 1 shows Rt_Reliance means: Reliance Return- Rf =Excess Reliance Return.



FIGURE 2 RT_HDFC BANK VS RT_NIFTY

We have fitted regression line it shows positive (+) relationship between Return of Selected Companies and NIFTY 50. Whereas Rt_NIFTY means: NIFTY Return- Rf=Excess NIFTY Return, the R^2 measure "Goodness of Fit" which shows that 57% of excess reliance returns is explained by Market return or NIFTY. We have fitted regression line it shows positive (+) relationship between Return of Reliance and NIFTY 50. Figure 2 Rt_HDFC Bank means: HDFC Bank Return- Rf =Excess HDFC Return, whereas Rt_NIFTY means: NIFTY Return- Rf =Excess NIFTY Return- Rf



FIGURE 3 RT_HDFC VS RT_NIFTY

Source: Authors estimation.



FIGURE 4 RT_TCS VS RT_NIFTY

Figure 3 shows that Rt_HDFC means: HDFC Return- Rf=Excess HDFC Return, whereas Rt_NIFTY means: NIFTY Return- Rf=Excess NIFTY Return, the R^2 measures that 70% of Excess HDFC Return is explained by Market Return or NIFTY. We have fitted regression lineit shows positive (+) relationship between Return of HDFC and NIFTY 50. Figure 4 shows that Rt_TCS means: TCS Return- Rf=Excess TCS Return Whereas Rt_NIFTY means: NIFTY Return-Rf=Excess NIFTY Return, the R^2 measures that 38% of Excess TCS Return is explained by Market Return or NIFTY. We have fitted regression line it shows positive (+) relationship between Return of Excess TCS Return is explained by Market Return or NIFTY. We have fitted regression line it shows positive (+) relationship between Return of TCS and NIFTY 50.



FIGURE 5 Rt_INFY VS Rt_NIFTY



FIGURE 6 RT_ICICI VS RT_NIFTY

Source: Authors estimation.

Figure 5 Rt_INFY means: INFY Return- Rf =Excess INFY Return Whereas Rt_NIFTY

Means: NIFTY Return- Rf =Excess NIFTY Return. Here the R^2 measures that 36% of Excess INFY Return is explained by Market Return or NIFTY. We have fitted regression line it shows positive (+) relationship between Return of INFY and NIFTY 50. Figure 6 Rt_ICICI means: ICICI Return- Rf =Excess ICICI Return Whereas Rt_NIFTY means: NIFTY Return- Rf =Excess NIFTY Return, the R^2 measures that 74% of Excess ICICI Return is explained by Market Return or NIFTY. We have fitted regression line it shows positive (+) relationship between Return of ICICI Return of ICICI and NIFTY 50.



FIGURE 7 RT_ITC VS RT_NIFTY

Source: Authors estimation.

Figure 7 Rt_ITC means: ITC Return- Rf =Excess ITC Return, whereas Rt_NIFTY means: NIFTY Return- Rf =Excess NIFTY Return, the R^2 measures that 32% of Excess ITC Return is explained by Market Return or NIFTY.

We have fitted regression line it shows positive (+)relationship between Return of ITC and NIFTY 50. Figure 8 Rt_KOTAK means: KOTAK Return- Rf = Excess KOTAK Return, whereas

Rt_NIFTY means: NIFTY Return- Rf =Excess NIFTY Return, the R^2 measures that 63% of Excess KOTAK Return is explained by Market Return or NIFTY. We have fitted regression line it shows positive (+) relationship between Return of KOTAK and NIFTY 50 (Figure 9).



FIGURE 8 RT_KOTAK VS RT_NIFTY



FIGURE 9 RT_HUL VS RT_NIFTY

Source: Authors estimation.



FIGURE 10 RT_AIRTEL VS RT_NIFTY

Source: Authors estimation.

Rt_HUL means: HUL Return- Rf =Excess HUL Return, whereas Rt_NIFTY means: NIFTY Return- Rf = Excess NIFTY Return. The R^2 measures that 35% of Excess HUL Return is explained by Market Return or NIFTY. We have fitted regression line it shows positive (+) relationship between Return of HUL and NIFTY 50. Figure 10 Rt_AIRTEL means: AIRTEL Return- Rf = Excess AIRTEL Return, whereas Rt_NIFTY means: NIFTY Return- Rf = Excess NIFTY Return. The R^2 measures "Goodness of Fit" which shows that 30% of Excess Airtel Return is explained by Market Return or NIFTY. We have fitted regression line it shows positive (+) relationship between Return of Airtel and NIFTY 50.

CONCLUSION

Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) is the one of the broadly used method to evaluate the stock return. It clarifies the risk return relationship and is used to understand the pricing of risky securities. The main focus is to learn how to make the highest return with the same levelof risk managing portfolio risk that is based on CAPM. In this study the main aim is to know how to analyze that which stock perform overpriced and underpriced as well as who perform better as compare to the other portfolio. The result found that Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) support the linear structure and it is good model for the explanation of securities returnwhich guide the investor to take better investment decision.

REFRENCES

Bajpaia, S. & Sharmab, A.K. (2015). An Empirical Testing of Capital Asset Pricing Model in India, Procedia -Social and Behavioral Sciences, 189:259 -265

Basu, D., & Chawla, D. (2010). An Empirical Test of CAPM--The Case of Indian Stock Market, Global Business Review. 11:209-220

Chaudhary, P. (2016). Testing of CAPM in Indian Context. Business Analyst, 1-18.

Galagedera, D.U.A. (2007). A review of capital asset pricing model. Managerial Finance, 33(10), 821-832.

Lintner, J. (1965). The Valuation of Risk Assets and the Selection of Risky Investments in Stock Portfolios and Capital Budgets, *Review of Economics and Statistics*. 47(1), 13–37.

9 1528-2678-26-S1-023 **Citation Information:** Talwar, P., & Gopinathan, R. (2022). Empirical testing of capital asset pricing model on top 10 companies listed in nse india. Academy of Marketing Studies Journal, 26(S1), 1-10. Markowitz, H. (1952). Portfolio Selection, The Journal of Finance, 7(1), 77-91.

- Ratra, D. (2017). Application of Capital Asset Pricing Model in Indian Stock Market, International Journal of Engineering and Management Research, 7(2), 1-7
- Saji, T.G. (2014). Is CAPM Dead in Emerging Market? IUP Journal of Financial Risk Management. 11(3), 7-17
- Sharma, S.B. (2015). Capital Asset Pricing Model and Industry Effect: Evidence from Indian Market. IUP Journal of Financial Risk Management. 12(2), 30-40.
- Sehgal, S. (1997). An Empirical Testing of Three Parameter Capital Asset Pricing Model in India. Finance India, XI (4), 919–940.
- Shriwastav, S. (2017). CAPM: Empirical Evidence from India. International Journal of core Engineering & Management, 3(10).
- Shinde, Y & Mane, T. (2019). An Empirical Assessment of Capital Asset Pricing Model with Reference to National Stock Exchange, International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development, Conference issue, 212-219
- Sharpe. W.F. (1964). Capital asset prices: A theory of market equilibrium under conditions of risk. *The Journal of Finance*, 19(3), 425–442.
- Shveta, P.K. (2016). Expected Rates of Equity Returns: Evidence from Indian Stock Market. Journal of Financial Management & Analysis, 29(1), 48-64.