GENDER DIFFERENCES OF ENTREPRENEURIAL CHALLENGES IN ETHIOPIA

Yimer Ayalew Ahmed, Wollo University Brajaballav Kar, KIIT University

ABSTRACT

The study investigates perceived differences in challenges to entrepreneurship between genders. Women entrepreneurs are fewer compared to men, indicating lack of equity in the wealth creation process. Often it is generally believed women lack entrepreneurialism and have less favorable outcome from entrepreneurship; also it is believed that women in general have lesser endowments in terms of efficacy, family, social and wealth. This article investigates these factors in the emerging economy of Ethiopia. Self-reported, validated and reliable questionnaire of Likert Scale type responses are used to collect primary data from 350 young entrepreneurs within the age group of 18 to 29 years, of which 84 are women. Contrary to the belief, the study finds that women entrepreneurs are younger compared to their men counterpart, less educated, come from a non-business family background, have less working experience compared to men before entrepreneurship, and yet, they report equal revenue, employment in their organization, and have equal access to capital. Women do not underperform significantly less compared to men. However, they report significantly higher level of challenges to develop their business contact and develop network, administrative and bureaucratic burden, and also feel that tax subsidies are discretionary, as compared to men. Policy implications are indicated.

Keywords: Entrepreneur, Business Challenges, Gender, Ethiopia, Women Entrepreneurs.

INTRODUCTION

Women entrepreneurship is important for equity and diversity; however, men and women may have different set of socio economic endowments. Women participation in entrepreneurship remains a concern in most of the countries. Exclusion of women in development process renders the development efforts useless (Tertilt & Doepke, 2010). So, the reduction of gender gap in entrepreneurship becomes an objective to alleviate poverty. Different challenges faced by the women owners of Small and Micro Enterprises (SME) may cause such a gap. The sector of business, gender and experience of the owner, size of the business, legal structure, and age of the firm are indicated as few of the challenges (Fatoki & Asah, 2011). Though gender itself is indicated in few research, on its own, it is not associated with business outcome (Akinboade, 2015); but business-related experiences seem to vary between genders (Gottschalk & Niefert, 2013); such a finding however, is not consistent (Fapohunda, 2013). It is indicated that the entrepreneurial outcomes in case of women entrepreneur are lower compared with the male-entrepreneurs (Niefert, 2013). Thus, the gender divide in entrepreneurship remains debatable about its causes. However, it can be broadly argued that entrepreneurial challenges prevent entry or persistence resulting in the gender gap.

Entrepreneurial challenges are more likely to manifest in a developing economy with less ease-of-doing business factors and less social acceptability. Ethiopia is the second most populous

1

country in Africa, has a faster economic growth, one of the lowest income inequality, and agriculture dependence; it has favorable sex ratio, higher population growth and higher percentage of working age population (Central Intelligence Agency, n.d.). The context is suitable to study if there are substantial differences in perceptions between genders about different entrepreneurial challenges and a detailed purposive literature review was undertaken to assess extant literature.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Despite the existing gender gap, the influx of females into entrepreneurship has become higher recently. Women do face their own entrepreneurial challenges (Smith-Hunter, 2006) and it has been analyzed in numerous studies. Hanson & Blake (2009) indicate that women entrepreneurs, in developing countries, encounter cultural constraints. Lack of business network, lack of societal acceptance, lack of adequate business ownership experience, gender discrimination, lack of education and management skills, type of business they operate, government regulations and cultural constraints are some of the major challenges that women entrepreneurs face, which significantly influence startup as well as continuation of business enterprises (Mayoux, 2001; Smith-Hunter, 2006; Moses & Amalu, 2010; Alam et al., 2012; Muhumad, 2016).

The male and female-owned business differ in performance related issues such as level of sales, profits, and employment creation; further, the female-owned business was found more likely to discontinue their business operations than male-owned firms (Robb, 2002; Robb & Wolken, 2002). The higher likelihood of discontinuation and poorer performance of female owned firms is also confirmed by other scholars (Hundley, 2001; Coleman, 2002; & Watson, 2002).

In developing countries young men are more likely to become self-employed than young women (Chigunta, 2002; Fatoki & Chigonda, 2011). This suggests gender bias at the entry level, which could be due to the existence of economic, social and cultural challenges. In fact, the existence of social-cultural constraints like; low entrepreneurial culture of the society, attitude, values and beliefs within a particular society that affect the engagement of women entrepreneur negatively are studied (Rosti & Chelli, 2005; Dzisi, 2014).

The literature review suggests various isolated differentiating factors. However, factors such as differences in education & training, business support, legal and administrative challenges as possible differentiators have eluded research. The research focus on young start up entrepreneurs wherein the challenges are likely to be higher has not been explicitly focused on. Thirdly, Ethiopia based research on young entrepreneurs are scant. Therefore, this research intends to address the gender gap in young Ethiopian entrepreneurs with respect to financial, education and training, business support, marketing, administrative and legal, and socio-cultural challenges. The research also aims to indicate any differences in demography, family and business performance factors between genders.

METHODOLOGY

As indicated, the broad objective of the research was to identify significant gender gap in business demography, performance and challenges among Ethiopian young entrepreneurs. A self-reported and reliable questionnaire with Likert Scale responses (1 to 5) was used to collect responses. The items were collected from the literature review. The questionnaire had following

major challenges including demography and business details.

Finance: Major source of initial capital (Personal savings, Youth fund, Seed fund or subsidies (from government, or others), Loan from bank, or other financial institutions, informal money lenders, family and friends. Key constraints in financing: Lack of awareness about sources, High collateral requirement, Inadequate loan amount, Complex borrowing procedures, lack of micro lending or seed funding, High rate of interest, (Cronbach α =0.86, 6 items)

Training and Education challenges: Inadequate curricula and study programs, Lack of trained/educated teachers, school environments is not sufficient to learn about entrepreneurship, No link between education and business community (Cronbach α =0.859, 4 items).

Business Assistance and Support challenges: Lack of following items- tailor-made business skill training, counselor and support agencies, facility to extend business contacts or connections, facility or forums to exchange experience, ideas, information access, knowledge about available business support services (Cronbach α =0.770, 6 items).

Marketing Challenges: Lack of market information and access, supply of input, marketing skills, stiff competition, inadequate space for operation and outlet, physical infrastructure, inadequate demand (Cronbach α =0.845, 7 items).

Administrative and Legal Challenges: Complexity in registration and licensing, rules and regulations, coordination between Small and Medium Enterprises (SME) & government institutions, administrative and bureaucratic burdens, Inefficient tax subsidies, inadequate support for youth (Cronbach α =0.777, 6 items).

Socio-cultural challenges: social acceptability, business Contacts/networks, age discrimination, gender inequalities, lack of product/service acceptability (Cronbach α =0.812, 5 items).

Cronbach α values indicated acceptable reliability for items of different challenges under the study. Final 350 usable responses could be obtained from entrepreneurs, of which 24% were women entrepreneurs.

RESULTS

Mean age between genders was found to have significant difference (men=25.3, women=23.9, t=-4.07, df=348, p=0.000). The mean level of education was also found to be significantly different (men=2.94, women=1.94, t=-3.45, p=0.001). Seventy percent of the women entrepreneurs come from a family of employed by others, but 58% of the men have their family business as occupation, the difference is statistically significant (female=0.3, male=0.58, t=-4.69, df=348, p=0.000). Interestingly women entrepreneurs report a significantly higher family size compared to men (women=5.76, men=5.18, t=3.097, df=348, p=0.002); though not significant, women were supported by higher number of family members on an average (women=1.02, men=0.76, t=1.848, p=0.065); parents income reported is not different significantly but very close (women=48654.76, men=53849.62, t=-1.9,df=348, p=0.054). Similarly there is no significant difference between educational status of the parents (women=1.65, men=1.83, t=-0.896, p=0.371). The data indicates that 23% of the women entrepreneurs had prior work experience (5% of the total respondents) as compared to 29% of the men, though the average duration of prior work experience between gender (men=2.29, women=1.85) is not significantly different.

The Table 1 also indicates business related information. The number of employees at the start of business (women=3.15, men=3.06, t=0.348, p=0.72) is not found to be significantly different,

though women have indicated higher average number of employees during starting. The number of employees at present also does not show significant difference (women=3.55, men=3.96, t=-1.428, p=0.154) but both seem to have increased their number of employees from the start up days. Annual estimated sales in last year in Ethiopian Birr also has no significant difference between genders (women=69071.43, men=72545.11, t=-1.155, p=0.249). Total number of sources for the initial capital has no significant difference between gender (women=1.81, men=1.83, t=-0.250, p=0.802).

Table 1 DIFFERENCES IN DEMOGRAPHIC & BUSINESS DETAILS BETWEEN GENDERS								
	Mean	values		p-Value				
Items	Female	Male	t-values	(df=348)				
Age of the respondent	23.9	25.3	-4.07	0.000				
Educational level of the respondent	1.94	2.94	-3.45	0.001				
Family occupation	0.3	0.58	-4.69	0.000				
Family size	5.76	5.18	3.097	0.002				
Persons supporting entrepreneurial choice	1.02	0.76	1.848	0.065				
Parents income	48654.76	53849.62	-1.9	0.054				
Educational status of the parents	1.65	1.83	-0.896	0.371				
Employees at the start of business	3.15	3.06	0.348	0.72				
Number of employees at present	3.55	3.96	-1.428	0.154				
Annual estimated sales in Ethiopian Birr	69071.43	72545.11	-1.155	0.249				
Number of sources of initial capital	1.81	1.83	-0.250	0.802				

The Table 2 indicates the differences in responses regarding business challenges between genders. The facility to develop business contact or network (women=3.99, men=3.69, t=2.060, p=0.040) is found to be significantly different, though women have indicated higher average to develop business contact/network. The mean score of administrative and bureaucratic burdens was also found to be significantly different (men=3.99, women=3.62, t=2.399, p=0.017) between genders. Women entrepreneurs report a significantly higher perception about inefficient and discretionary tax subsidies compared to men (women=4.06, men=3.70, t=2.558, df=348, p=0.011). Other challenges were not found to be significantly different between genders.

Table 2 DIFFERENCES IN RESPONSES OF CHALLENGE FACTORS BETWEEN GENDERS								
	Mean values		t-values	p-Value				
Items-Challenges	Female	Male		(df=348)				
No awareness of finance possibilities	3.65	3.70	-0.250	0.803				
High collateral requirement	3.63	3.52	0.701	0.484				
Lack of adequate loan amount	3.42	3.40	0.090	0.928				
Complex borrowing procedures	3.73	3.61	0.713	0.476				
Lack of micro lending or seed funding	3.82	3.72	0.692	0.490				
High interest rate	3.79	3.59	1.357	0.176				
Inadequate curricula and study programmes	3.39	3.41	-0.102	0.919				
Lack of trainer or educator	3.43	3.59	-0.983	0.326				
Lack of good school environments	3.62	3.59	0.192	0.848				
No linkages education and business community	3.55	3.49	0.367	0.714				
Lack of tailor-made business skill training	3.56	3.78	-1.520	0.130				
Lack of counsellor or support agencies.	3.92	4.00	-0.660	0.510				
Facility to develop business contact/network	3.99	3.69	2.060	0.040				
No forum to exchange experiences or ideas	3.64	3.67	-0.154	0.878				
Lack of information access	3.71	3.73	-0.112	0.911				

Table 2							
DIFFERENCES IN RESPONSES OF CHALLENGE FACTORS BETWEEN GENDERS							
Information about available support services	3.86	3.97	-0.902	0.368			
Lack of market information access	3.90	3.72	1.186	0.237			
Lack of adequate input supply	3.36	3.46	-0.650	0.516			
Lack of access to marketing skill	3.44	3.66	-1.437	0.152			
Stiff competition	3.51	3.62	-0.709	0.479			
Inadequate space for operation or outlet	3.75	3.66	0.655	0.513			
Inadequate physical infrastructure	3.71	3.95	-1.715	0.087			
Inadequate demand for the product/services	3.55	3.50	0.325	0.745			
Complex business registration and licensing	3.87	3.89	-0.164	0.870			
Many and complex rules and regulations	3.76	3.72	0.306	0.760			
Coordination between SME & Government	3.96	3.81	1.098	0.273			
Administrative and bureaucratic burdens	3.99	3.62	2.399	0.017			
Inefficient and discretionary tax subsidies	4.06	3.70	2.558	0.011			
Inadequate business support services	3.70	3.79	-0.681	0.497			
Lack of good social acceptability	3.68	3.62	0.417	0.677			
Lack of business contacts (networks) with others	3.50	3.64	-0.866	0.387			
Age discrimination by suppliers or customers	3.35	3.61	-1.744	0.082			
Gender inequalities	3.38	3.24	0.913	0.362			
New product/service acceptance is low	3.50	3.45	0.313	0.754			

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The data analysis indicates that women entrepreneurs are significantly younger, have less level of education, less prior experience, mostly come from families with service background, have higher number of family members. Such background should have significantly influenced the business outcome but, no significant differences is observed in mean values of family support, number of employees, sources of finance, and revenue between genders. Contrary to the expectation, most of the challenges are found to be perceived same between genders. Only three challenges such as: (1) To develop business contact/network; (2) Administrative and bureaucratic burdens and (3) Inefficient and discretionary tax subsidies are found to be significantly different.

The challenge to develop business contact, is social in nature whereas, administrative and bureaucratic challenge and discretionary tax subsidy points to regulatory issues. Thus, the finding suggests gender based entrepreneurship policies and incentives. These findings are the contribution of this study to entrepreneurship literature. The finding negates earlier findings of Fatoki & Asah (2011), partially differs from Gottschalk & Niefert (2013) with respect to perceived challenges; and cultural constraints indicated by Hanson & Blake (2009); it also differs from the views (Robb, 2002; Robb & Wolken, 2002) with respect to revenue of female owned organizations. This study supports Akinboade (2015) findings of no association of gender on business outcome. The study findings are limited to the specific context and thus, needs validation in other environments.

REFERENCES

Akinboade, O.A. (2014). Regulation, SMEs' growth and performance in Cameroon's central and littoral provinces' manufacturing and retail sectors. *African Development Review*, 26(4), 597-609.

Alam, S.S., Senik, Z.C., & Jani, F.M. (2012). An exploratory study of women entrepreneurs in Malaysia: Motivation and problems. *Journal of Management Research*, 4(4), 282-297.

- Berger, A.N., Kick, T., & Schaeck, K. (2014). Executive board composition and bank risk taking. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 28, 48-65.
- Central Intelligence Agency. (n.d.). *Africa: Ethiopia the world Facebook-Central intelligence agency*. Retrieved from https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/geos/et.html
- Chigunta, F. (2002). *Youth entrepreneurship: Meeting the key policy challenges*. Final Report Prepared for the Youth Employment Summit, Wolfson College, Oxford University, England.
- Coleman, S. (2002). Constraints faced by women small business owners: Evidence from the data. *Journal of Developmental Entrepreneurship*, 7(2), 151-174.
- Dzisi, S. (2014). Youth entrepreneurship: Investigating obstacles to youth enterprise creation and development.
- Fapohunda, T.M. (2013). Gender differences in human capital and personality traits as drivers of gender gap in entrepreneurship: Evidence from Nigeria. *British Journal of Economics Management and Trade*, 3(1), 30-47.
- Fatoki, O.O., & Asah, F. (2011). The impact of firm and entrepreneurial characteristics on access to debt finance by SMEs in King Williams' Town, South Africa. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 6(8), 170-182.
- Fatoki, O.O., & Chindoga, L. (2011). An investigation into the obstacles to youth entrepreneurship in South Africa. *International Business Research*, 4(2), 161-168.
- Gottschalk, S., & Niefert, M. (2013). Gender differences in business success of German start-up firms. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business*, 18(1), 15-46.
- Hanson, S., & Blake, M. (2009). Gender and entrepreneurial networks. Regional Studies, 43(1), 135-149.
- Hundley, G. (2001). Why women earn less than men in self-employment. *Journal of Labor Research*, 22(4), 817-829.
- Mayoux, L. (2001). *Jobs, gender and small enterprises: Getting the policy environment right* (No. 993467093402676). International Labour Organization.
- Moses, C., & Amalu, R. (2010). Entrepreneurial motivations as determinants of women entrepreneurship challenges. *Petroleum-Gas University of Ploiesti Bulletin*, 1(2), 67-77.
- Muhumad, A. (2016). Challenges and motivations of women entrepreneurs in Somali Region of Ethiopia. *Journal of Sociological Studies*, 2(54), 169-198.
- Robb, A., & Wolken, J.D. (2002). Firm, owner, and financing characteristics: Differences between female-and male-owned small businesses. *Working Paper*.
- Robb, AM. (2002). Entrepreneurial performance by women and minorities: The case of new firms. *Journal of Developmental Entrepreneurship*, 7(4), 383-392.
- Rosti, L., & Chelli, F. (2005). Gender discrimination, entrepreneurial talent and self-employment. *Small Business Economics*, 24(2), 131-142.
- Smith-Hunter, A. (2006). Women entrepreneurs across racial lines: Issues of human capital, financial capital and network structures. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Tertilt, M., & Doepke, M. (2010). Does female empowerment promote economic development? In 2010 *Meeting Papers* (No. 230). Society for Economic Dynamics.
- Watson, J. (2002). Comparing the performance of male-and female-controlled businesses: Relating outputs to inputs. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 26(3), 91-100.