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#### Abstract

The study of my report discusses about the impact of different factors for an effective relationship selling in the banking sector. It is important for an organization, especially financial service providers, to build a long-term relationship with their clients who will lead to an effective relationship selling. The purpose of this study is to develop a model that investigates the antecedents and the consequences of buyer-seller relationship quality in the financial services. A review of literature has been presented along with a model to identify the proper gaps. The research design used is descriptive design and the data collection has been done through primary study via questionnaires and it based on the Likert scaling technique. The data analysis has been done mainly by using factor analysis. The results of the study are shown by interpreting the number of variables which are highly correlated with a factor and then accordingly they are grouped together.
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## INTRODUCTION

The word relationship has now become one of the crucial aspects for the organization to serve their customers at their best. Customers prefer to engage in a close relationship with their current service provider. For an organization and specially all the financial service providers it is necessary to maintain a long-term relationship selling. There are various factors which leads to effective relationship selling and then these factors impact in building long term relations. It would be profitable for the organization to create close, personal and long-term relationships with all consumers.

In this research, the model which depicts antecedents impacts relationship selling are as customer orientation, customer knowledge, expertise, skills and empathy; and the consequences of this would lead to impact the purchase intention, word of mouth and the guilt proneness.

## Research Objectives

To study the impact of factors leading to relationship selling.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

## Relationship Quality

Satisfaction with the relationship is considered as one of the significant aspects of buyerseller relationships Liang \& Wang (2006). Customer satisfaction still symbolizes a groundwork
for customer-oriented business processes across a large group of companies operating in differential industries Szymanski \& Henard (2001) and can be regarded as the crux of success in a cut throat competitive business world (Jamal \& Naser, 2002). According to Liang and Wang (2006); Shekhar \& Gupta (2008) suggested that trust is one of the main determinants which play a crucial role in persuading a customer to develop and maintain relationship with the service provider. Ndubisi (2007) suggested that customer satisfaction and relationship quality are affected by some of the factors like customer orientation, communication and competence. Relationship quality communicates the consequence of customer orientation, ability, knowledge and information sharing to customer loyalty. Each of these factors contributed differently to relationship quality like trust and satisfaction Izogo (2016). Donavan et al. (2004); Hennig-Thurau (2004) emphasized that the companies who target their actions on fulfilling the needs of their customers in a customer-oriented way carry out the Izogo (2017) activities better than those firms who do not perform the activities in a customer-oriented way. The level of satisfaction is directly related with concerned marketing strategies and relationship quality Hennig-Thurau \& Klee (1997).

## Client Knowledge

Customer knowledge has evolved in the past two decades in research on the quality of services and on relationship marketing. In relationship marketing, customer knowledge has often been considered as a significant dimension of the force of the relationship between a service provider and its clients Paulin et al. (2000). Knowing the customer is an essential component of the buyer-seller relationship. It is a determining element of the quality of a sound and efficient relationship Blanchard et al. (2001) and it contributes to creating a unique and inimitable competitive advantage. Teas (1988) observes that knowing the client and understanding his/her situation influence the quality with the customer's relation Tsui \& O'reilly (1989).

## Customer Orientation

Guenzi et al. (2016) demonstrated that salespeople who are eager to win customer faith should change their approach in their relationship cycle. Similarly, when customer has low importance about buying decisions, salespeople should build relationships based on trust which must integrate adaptive selling and customer orientation. On the other hand, when importance of buying is high, salespeople can only generate more trust by increasing customer orientation or reducing. Customer orientation is often regarded as an index of the quality of buyer-seller relationships Cheng et al. (2008). Brown et al. (2002) described customer orientation as a personality variable that casts the service seller's inclination to satisfy the customer needs. The salespeople must understand customers' needs, expectations, and concerns, which is the support system for customer-orientation approach Saxe \& Weitz (1982).

## Expertise

Expert systems are very beneficial to sales forces of service organizations. Bernstein (1992) emphasized that sales personnel Cano et al. (2004) relate to customer perceptions of overall service quality received or not Zeithaml et al. (1988). It has become more necessary to establish a sales force that can improve the level of customer satisfaction beyond what the
competition can offer Tonidandel et al. (2012). The professional expertise on performance throws a side beyond competency, i.e., extraordinary performance. Leong et al. (1989); Szymanski and Churchill, 1990 explained that qualitatively varied explanatory and systematic knowledge are with high-performing salespeople than low-performing salespeople, especially in more intricate selling situations, specifically service sale Crosby \& Stephens (1987). The motivation for studying experts and expertise systems from trying to understand, and distributing best practices to achieve, extraordinarily performance widely so it is more comprehensive in services Fulop \& Campbell (2011). The decision-making, or conclusions, processes of human experts by modelling the human "thinking" process in terms of a set of computer-based rules is imitated by the expert system Ural (2007). A persuasive expert system is one that holds both the logical process and the resulting decisions of human experts. The three essential elements of an expert system are a suitable knowledge base, an inference engine and a user interface Crosby et al. (1990).

## Skills Required for Relationship Selling

The literature often discusses both traits and skills interchangeably. According to Yukl (2002), the term trait refers to a variety of individual attributes, including aspects of personality, temperament Winter (2000) needs, motives, and values, while the term skill refers to the ability to do something in an effective manner Turton et al. (2008). Managerial skills are defined as a set of integrated complementary skills possessed by the organization's top management team. For the successful functioning of an intricate organization an individual requires all the managerial skills howsoever brilliant he is Carmeli \& Tishler (2006). An effective top management team needs to have all the necessary skills being lined up with organization's policies, goals, strategies and objectives, taking into consideration both macro and micro environmental factors Hunt (1991). Winter's view $(2000,2003)$ was that top management of an organization is considered to be an asset, so it needs to possess complementary managerial skills, which evolve over time, are highly patterned; specific to the organization's needs, and founded upon implicit knowledge Mann (1965).

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Marketing research is the scientific process of gathering and analyzing of marketing information to meet the needs of marketing management Winter (2003).

## Research Design

In my report I am using descriptive research design. It is undertaken when the researcher wants to know the characteristics of certain group. It can be used to identify and classify the element or characteristics of the subject. Like with the help of this report I know the impact of relationship selling in the banking sector.

## Sampling Methodology

[^0]
## Methods of Data Collection

In my research the data collection is primary data which is mainly done through the questionnaires which was administered by going to the banks getting personally filled by the sales persons Anders Ericsson (2008). The questionnaire was basically prepared based on the Likert scale technique Deaux \& Major (1987).

## LIMITATIONS

In spite the careful study in the project conduced, there are always some shortcomings, which can cause disturbances in the proper functioning of the project. Here are a few disturbances which can arise Ahamed \& Skallerud (2015) Table 1.
> Due to time constraint, I have only considered Delhi region.
$>$ The findings of the study assume that the respondents have given correct information.

## DATA ANALYSIS \& INTERPRETATION

| Table 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KMO AND BARTLETT'S TEST |  |  |
| Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy | 0.652 |  |
|  | Approx. Chi-Square | 3006.840 |
|  | Df | 1128 |
|  | Sig. | 0.000 |

## Interpretation

KMO and Bartlett's test represents that whether it is suitable to do factor analysis or not. If the KMO value is more than 0.5 it suitable to go for factor analysis. Here it is 0.652 which is fine and hence factor analysis can be done for this study Table 2.

| Table 2TOTAL VARIANCE EXPLAINED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Componen | Initial Eigenvalues |  |  | Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings |  |  | Rotation Sums of Squared Loadings |  |  |
| t | Total | \% of Variance | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cumulative } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { Variance } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cumulativ } \\ \text { e \% } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { Variance } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cumulativ } \\ \text { e \% } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 13.034 | 27.153 | 27.153 | 13.034 | 27.153 | 27.153 | 5.472 | 11.400 | 11.400 |
| 2 | 3.389 | 7.061 | 34.214 | 3.389 | 7.061 | 34.214 | 3.946 | 8.221 | 19.622 |
| 3 | 3.157 | 6.577 | 40.791 | 3.157 | 6.577 | 40.791 | 3.659 | 7.623 | 27.245 |
| 4 | 2.719 | 5.664 | 46.455 | 2.719 | 5.664 | 46.455 | 3.634 | 7.571 | 34.816 |
| 5 | 2.451 | 5.106 | 51.561 | 2.451 | 5.106 | 51.561 | 3.155 | 6.574 | 41.390 |
| 6 | 2.075 | 4.324 | 55.885 | 2.075 | 4.324 | 55.885 | 2.757 | 5.744 | 47.134 |
| 7 | 1.866 | 3.888 | 59.773 | 1.866 | 3.888 | 59.773 | 2.228 | 4.641 | 51.775 |
| 8 | 1.536 | 3.201 | 62.974 | 1.536 | 3.201 | 62.974 | 2.173 | 4.528 | 56.303 |
| 9 | 1.421 | 2.961 | 65.935 | 1.421 | 2.961 | 65.935 | 2.126 | 4.430 | 60.733 |
| 10 | 1.347 | 2.807 | 68.741 | 1.347 | 2.807 | 68.741 | 1.962 | 4.088 | 64.821 |
| 11 | 1.246 | 2.596 | 71.338 | 1.246 | 2.596 | 71.338 | 1.912 | 3.982 | 68.803 |
| 12 | 1.113 | 2.318 | 73.656 | 1.113 | 2.318 | 73.656 | 1.618 | 3.370 | 72.173 |
| 13 | 1.046 | 2.180 | 75.836 | 1.046 | 2.180 | 75.836 | 1.548 | 3.225 | 75.398 |
| 14 | 1.025 | 2.136 | 77.972 | 1.025 | 2.136 | 77.972 | 1.236 | 2.575 | 77.972 |
| 15 | 0.993 | 2.069 | 80.041 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 16 | 0.877 | 1.827 | 81.868 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| 17 | 0.769 | 1.602 | 83.470 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | 0.737 | 1.535 | 85.006 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 19 | 0.724 | 1.509 | 86.515 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20 | 0.573 | 1.193 | 87.708 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 21 | 0.552 | 1.151 | 88.858 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 22 | 0.541 | 1.128 | 89.986 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 23 | 0.509 | 1.060 | 91.046 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 24 | 0.450 | 0.938 | 91.984 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 25 | 0.391 | 0.815 | 92.799 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26 | 0.361 | 0.752 | 93.551 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 27 | 0.312 | 0.651 | 94.202 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 28 | 0.283 | 0.591 | 94.792 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 29 | 0.274 | 0.571 | 95.363 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 30 | 0.266 | 0.554 | 95.917 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 31 | 0.230 | 0.478 | 96.395 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 32 | 0.202 | 0.421 | 96.816 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 33 | 0.196 | 0.408 | 97.224 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 34 | 0.163 | 0.340 | 97.563 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 35 | 0.156 | 0.326 | 97.889 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 36 | 0.147 | 0.307 | 98.196 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 37 | 0.138 | 0.288 | 98.484 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 38 | 0.123 | 0.256 | 98.740 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 39 | 0.110 | 0.229 | 98.969 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 40 | 0.091 | 0.190 | 99.159 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 41 | 0.085 | 0.177 | 99.335 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 42 | 0.077 | 0.161 | 99.496 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 43 | 0.067 | 0.140 | 99.637 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 44 | 0.057 | 0.119 | 99.756 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 45 | 0.039 | 0.081 | 99.837 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 46 | 0.033 | 0.070 | 99.907 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 47 | 0.027 | 0.056 | 99.963 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 48 | 0.018 | 0.037 | 100.000 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

## Interpretation

In this, the number of factors extracted is determined so that the cumulative percentage of variance extracted by the factors reaches a satisfactory level Rich \& Smith (2000). Here out of 48 factors only can be extracted further Petty et al. (1991) Figure 1.


FIGURE 1 SCREE PLOT

## Interpretation

A scree plot is a plot of the Bejou et al. (1996) Eigen values against the number of factors in order of extraction Scullen et al. (2003). The plot has a distinct break between the steep slope of factors, with large eigen values and a gradual trailing Smith (1998) off associated with the rest of the factors. The point at which the scree begins denotes the true number of
factors Dwyer et al. (1987) Table 3.

| Table 3COMPONENT MATRIXA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Component |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| RQ1 | 0.404 | 0-. 205 | 0.014 | 0.073 | 0-. 080 | 0.282 | 0-. 138 | 0-. 351 | 0.087 | 0-. 165 | 0.487 | 0-. 019 | 0-. 100 | 0.303 |
| RQ2 | 0.455 | -0.009 | 0.233 | 0.092 | -0.042 | 0.317 | 0.135 | -0.423 | 0.048 | 0.051 | 0.014 | 0.236 | -0.200 | 0.157 |
| RQ3 | 0.353 | -0.242 | -0.127 | 0.198 | -0.060 | 0.056 | 0.186 | -0.491 | 0.192 | -0.035 | 0.053 | 0.223 | 0.211 | 0.054 |
| RQ4 | 0.593 | -0.033 | -0.011 | 0.155 | -0.109 | 0.015 | 0.038 | -0.278 | -0.427 | -0.246 | 0.073 | -0.182 | -0.037 | 0.006 |
| S1 | 0.622 | 0.001 | 0.486 | -0.149 | 0.067 | 0.037 | -0.036 | -0.123 | -0.250 | 0.001 | 0.044 | 0.025 | 0.075 | 0.061 |
| S2 | 0.597 | -0.103 | 0.406 | -0.056 | 0.416 | 0.001 | 0.070 | -0.144 | -0.161 | 0.151 | -0.020 | -0.016 | 0.078 | 0.011 |
| S3 | 0.243 | 0.080 | 0.265 | -0.083 | 0.453 | -0.353 | 0.225 | -0.186 | -0.162 | 0.402 | 0.066 | -0.267 | -0.186 | -0.008 |
| CK1 | 0.525 | -0.070 | 0.574 | -0.147 | 0.192 | 0.171 | -0.227 | -0.052 | 0.170 | -0.128 | -0.071 | 0.064 | 0.015 | -0.113 |
| CK2 | 0.487 | -0.199 | 0.373 | 0.034 | 0.445 | 0.257 | -0.236 | 0.062 | 0.023 | 0.094 | -0.216 | 0.040 | -0.002 | -0.142 |
| CK3 | 0.436 | -0.251 | 0.163 | 0.288 | 0.299 | 0.258 | -0.333 | 0.057 | 0.094 | 0.210 | -0.208 | 0.211 | 0.012 | -0.193 |
| CO1 | 0.747 | 0.015 | 0.251 | -0.121 | 0.052 | -0.148 | -0.210 | 0.008 | 0.013 | -0.204 | 0.007 | -0.191 | 0.145 | 0.074 |
| CO2 | 0.757 | -0.054 | 0.191 | -0.099 | -0.023 | 0.037 | -0.264 | 0.040 | 0.167 | -0.098 | 0.171 | -0.005 | 0.012 | -0.004 |
| E1 | 0.443 | -0.241 | -0.036 | 0.393 | 0.380 | -0.001 | 0.225 | 0.090 | 0.321 | -0.136 | -0.055 | -0.280 | -0.093 | 0.135 |
| E2 | 0.226 | -0.315 | -0.080 | 0.240 | 0.468 | -0.041 | 0.160 | 0.146 | 0.364 | -0.178 | 0.043 | -0.093 | -0.230 | 0.074 |
| SK1 | 0.488 | -0.212 | 0.096 | -0.223 | -0.259 | 0.291 | 0.362 | 0.290 | -0.060 | 0.029 | 0.083 | -0.133 | -0.010 | -0.134 |
| SK2 | 0.719 | -0.077 | 0.070 | -0.036 | -0.321 | 0.227 | 0.269 | 0.038 | 0.055 | 0.067 | 0.085 | -0.123 | -0.157 | 0-. 067 |
| SK3 | 0.400 | -0.281 | 0.184 | 0.037 | -0.368 | 0.241 | 0.365 | 0.272 | -0.054 | 0.059 | 0.071 | 0.063 | -0.218 | -0.139 |
| SK4 | 0.564 | -0.387 | 0.035 | -0.044 | -0.201 | 0.225 | 0.211 | 0.234 | -0.134 | -0.032 | 0.192 | 0.031 | 0.174 | -0.233 |
| SK5 | 0.523 | -0.444 | -0.042 | 0.328 | -0.205 | -0.167 | 0.076 | 0.042 | -0.125 | 0.009 | 0.042 | 0.029 | -0.093 | 0.094 |
| SK6 | 0.458 | -0.391 | -0.060 | 0.317 | -0.256 | -0.432 | -0.164 | -0.019 | -0.017 | 0.110 | 0.034 | 0.187 | 0.091 | -0.024 |
| SK7 | 0.646 | -0.298 | 0.065 | 0.172 | -0.081 | -0.428 | -0.052 | 0.056 | -0.176 | 0.010 | 0.026 | 0.024 | -0.082 | -0.039 |
| SK8 | 0.636 | -0.416 | -0.089 | 0.138 | -0.080 | -0.388 | -0.117 | 0.105 | -0.095 | -0.016 | 0.105 | 0.152 | 0.124 | 0.066 |
| NV1 | 0.356 | 0.158 | -0.251 | -0.024 | 0.195 | -0.249 | -0.125 | 0.070 | 0.271 | 0.086 | 0.462 | -0.092 | 0.010 | -0.399 |
| NV2 | 0-. 201 | -0.103 | 0.052 | -0.090 | 0.490 | -0.135 | 0.069 | 0.284 | -0.054 | -0.216 | 0.351 | 0.000 | 0.346 | 0.216 |
| NV3 | 0-. 585 | -0.135 | 0.217 | -0.220 | 0.199 | 0.051 | 0.273 | 0.092 | 0.042 | 0.000 | 0.134 | 0.230 | 0.288 | 0.070 |
| NV4 | 0.360 | 0.225 | -0.414 | 0.158 | 0.182 | -0.220 | 0.408 | -0.308 | 0.044 | 0.247 | 0.027 | 0.083 | 0.051 | -0.116 |
| PE1 | 0.702 | 0.340 | -0.099 | -0.203 | 0.006 | 0.110 | -0.005 | -0.138 | -0.127 | -0.344 | 0.011 | -0.048 | 0.160 | -0.111 |
| PE2 | 0.543 | 0.339 | -0.358 | 0.093 | 0.303 | 0.162 | -0.017 | 0.011 | -0.351 | 0.100 | 0.129 | -0.014 | 0.014 | -0.134 |
| PE3 | 0.453 | 0.224 | -0.354 | -0.066 | 0.328 | 0.260 | -0.147 | 0.105 | -0.430 | 0.077 | 0.111 | 0.041 | -0.028 | -0.049 |
| EC1 | 0.332 | 0.546 | 0.309 | -0.008 | 0.037 | -. 119 | 0.110 | 0.144 | 0.160 | -0.212 | 0.077 | 0.416 | -0.183 | -0.067 |
| EC2 | 0-. 072 | -0.105 | -0.019 | -0.064 | 0.139 | 0.423 | 0.319 | 0.088 | 0.138 | 0.404 | 0.098 | 0.060 | 0.280 | 0.166 |
| EC3 | 0.330 | 0.539 | 0.037 | 0.056 | 0.225 | -0.151 | 0.255 | 0.152 | 0.008 | -0.164 | 0.161 | 0.315 | -0.333 | -0.014 |
| AS1 | 0.528 | 0.244 | -0.324 | -0.379 | -0.115 | 0.041 | -0.080 | -0.110 | 0.158 | 0.096 | -0.023 | 0.158 | -0.015 | 0.109 |
| AS2 | 0.578 | 0.272 | -0.051 | -0.386 | -0.203 | -0.074 | -0.092 | 0.199 | 0.089 | 0.113 | 0.021 | -0.012 | 0.092 | 0.269 |
| AS3 | 0.671 | 0.333 | -0.122 | -0.146 | -0.189 | -0.105 | -0.124 | 0.145 | 0.211 | 0.231 | 0.124 | -0.056 | 0.045 | 0.093 |
| AS4 | 0.449 | 0.048 | -0.035 | -0.042 | 0.127 | -0.051 | 0.081 | 0.371 | -0.240 | 0.030 | -. 210 | 0.097 | -0.177 | 0.484 |
| RP1 | 0.727 | 0.147 | 0.194 | -0.205 | 0-.150 | 0.032 | -0.211 | 0.054 | 0.116 | 0.043 | 0.001 | 0.001 | -0.015 | -0.041 |
| RP2 | 0.759 | 0.067 | -0.073 | -0.060 | -0.171 | -. 059 | -0.183 | 0.049 | 0.195 | 0.174 | -0.052 | -0.180 | 0.021 | 0.072 |
| RP3 | 0.577 | -. 089 | -0.272 | 0.143 | 0.019 | 0.052 | -0.240 | 0.075 | 0.022 | 0.383 | -0.012 | 0.107 | -0.044 | 0.089 |
| OP1 | 0.691 | 0.162 | 0.148 | -0.251 | -0.004 | -0.189 | 0.280 | -0.149 | 0.152 | -0.051 | -0.183 | -0.184 | 0.093 | 0.024 |
| OP2 | 0.589 | 0.113 | 0.268 | -0.305 | -0.063 | -0.240 | 0.317 | -0.112 | -0.010 | 0.014 | -0.244 | -0.074 | 0.097 | -0.018 |
| PI1 | 0.686 | -. 006 | -0.270 | 0.067 | 0.039 | -0.094 | 0.132 | 0.008 | -0.054 | -0.084 | -0.228 | 0.308 | 0.216 | 0.037 |
| PI2 | 0.610 | 0-. 149 | -0.324 | 0.084 | 0.081 | -0.078 | 0.197 | 0.130 | 0.076 | -0.258 | -0.278 | 0.045 | 0.158 | -0.167 |
| W1 | 0.477 | 0.131 | -0.525 | -0.053 | 0.056 | 0.244 | 0.003 | -0.037 | 0.114 | -0.136 | -0.228 | -0.059 | 0.014 | -0.065 |
| W2 | 0.534 | -. 016 | -0.537 | 0.167 | 0.143 | 0.339 | -0.075 | 0.027 | -0.001 | -0.158 | -0.045 | -0.148 | -0.028 | 0.143 |
| G1 | 0.161 | 0.552 | 0.242 | 0.621 | -0.147 | 0.088 | 0.053 | 0.019 | 0.028 | 0.076 | 0.145 | -0.013 | 0.199 | 0.010 |
| G2 | 0.067 | 0.491 | 0.249 | 0.678 | -0.142 | 0.035 | 0.116 | 0.119 | 0.065 | 0.090 | -0.057 | -0.194 | 0.196 | 0.060 |
| G3 | 0.031 | 0.469 | 0.323 | 0.623 | -0.174 | 0.107 | -0.093 | 0.116 | -0.080 | -0.073 | -0.073 | -0.025 | 0.104 | 0.032 |

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.
a. 14 components extracted.

## Interpretation

Component matrix or factor pattern represent how the factors are correlated with the variables. Having higher correlation between the factors and variables, classify those together Rajivan et al. (2017) Table 4.

| Table 4ROTATED COMPONENT MATRIXA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Component |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| RQ1 | 0.189 | 0.137 | 0.093 | 0.119 | 0.124 | -0.033 | -0.133 | 0.144 | -0.078 | 0.811 | -0.059 | 0.078 | 0.062 | 0.065 |
| RQ2 | 0.083 | 0.028 | . 297 | 0.040 | 0.168 | 0.074 | 0.151 | 0.009 | 0.137 | 0.605 | 0.195 | -0.095 | -0.287 | -0.161 |
| RQ3 | 0.003 | 0.281 | . 054 | -0.015 | 0.025 | 0.000 | 0.493 | 0.089 | -0.012 | 0.527 | -0.062 | -0.157 | -0.093 | 0.107 |
| RQ4 | 0.085 | 0.279 | . 070 | 0.365 | 0.256 | 0.134 | 0.185 | -0.026 | 0.207 | 0.360 | -0.070 | 0.470 | -0.043 | -0.097 |
| S1 | 0.290 | 0.152 | . 514 | 0.072 | 0.246 | 0.070 | 0.070 | -0.181 | 0.341 | 0.253 | 0.096 | 0.182 | 0.130 | -0.155 |
| S2 | 0.142 | 0.154 | . 594 | 0.146 | 0.134 | 0.007 | 0.143 | 0.065 | 0.521 | 0.163 | 0.053 | -0.007 | 0.142 | -0.082 |
| S3 | 0.060 | 0.050 | . 140 | 0.060 | -0.062 | -0.035 | -0.073 | 0.113 | 0.890 | -0.044 | 0.082 | -0.018 | 0.011 | 0.052 |
| CK1 | 0.274 | 0.019 | 0.789 | -0.123 | 0.148 | 0.003 | 0.050 | 0.053 | 0.063 | 0.172 | 0.131 | 0.162 | 0.038 | 0.024 |
| CK2 | 0.065 | 0.069 | 0.848 | . 160 | 0.090 | -0.012 | 0.011 | 0.193 | 0.119 | -0.012 | -0.014 | -0.041 | -0.026 | -0.051 |
| CK3 | -0.023 | 0.283 | 0.745 | . 208 | 0.007 | 0.082 | 0.011 | 0.177 | -0.094 | -0.001 | -0.036 | -0.206 | -0.198 | 0.048 |
| CO1 | 0.561 | 0.240 | 0.415 | . 078 | 0.116 | 0.069 | 0.136 | 0.109 | 0.153 | 0.122 | -0.004 | 0.373 | 0.180 | -0.012 |
| CO 2 | 0.548 | 0.259 | 0.450 | . 097 | 0.214 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.115 | -0.027 | 0.249 | 0.101 | 0.173 | 0.049 | 0.134 |
| E1 | 0.046 | 0.178 | 0.173 | . 080 | 0.113 | 0.143 | 0.158 | 0.824 | 0.147 | 0.105 | -0.036 | 0.003 | 0.048 | -0.016 |
| E2 | 0-. 085 | 0.134 | 0.149 | . 020 | 0.026 | -0.117 | 0.022 | 0.786 | 0.042 | 0.059 | 0.122 | -0.044 | 0.094 | 0.058 |
| SK1 | 0.247 | 0.027 | 0.088 | . 076 | 0.813 | -0.076 | 0.072 | 0.046 | 0.042 | 0.010 | -0.031 | -0.033 | 0.013 | -0.042 |
| SK2 | 0.395 | 0.149 | 0.101 | . 116 | 0.669 | 0.071 | 0.110 | 0.125 | 0.112 | 0.244 | 0.054 | 0.034 | -0.243 | 0.007 |
| SK3 | 0.036 | 0.204 | 0.076 | 0-. 051 | 0.797 | 0.024 | -0.036 | 0.057 | -0.020 | 0.068 | 0.126 | -0.067 | -0.175 | -0.093 |
| SK4 | 0.112 | 0.317 | 0.208 | 0.164 | 0.738 | -0.061 | 0.152 | -0.005 | -0.077 | 0.089 | -0.077 | -0.028 | 0.136 | 0.101 |
| SK5 | 0.040 | 0.684 | 0.033 | 0.058 | 0.318 | 0.040 | 0.050 | 0.210 | 0.047 | 0.184 | -0.063 | 0.076 | -0.096 | 0-. 118 |
| SK6 | 0.124 | 0.858 | 0.068 | -0.067 | 0.043 | 0.041 | 0.105 | 0.012 | -0.019 | 0.066 | -0.057 | 0.033 | -0.097 | 0.091 |
| SK7 | 0.192 | 0.734 | 0.167 | 0.067 | 0.195 | -0.014 | 0.061 | 0.107 | 0.225 | 0.016 | 0.069 | 0.242 | -0.033 | -0.008 |
| SK8 | 0.244 | 0.820 | 0.137 | 0.094 | 0.142 | -0.099 | 0.127 | 0.104 | 0.021 | 0.076 | -0.006 | 0.087 | 0.137 | 0.010 |
| NV1 | 0.306 | 0.164 | -0.005 | 0.251 | -0.015 | -0.043 | -0.031 | 0.178 | 0.107 | -0.057 | 0.185 | 0.019 | 0.083 | 0.723 |
| NV2 | -0.128 | -0.038 | -0.003 | 0.018 | -0.130 | -0.089 | -0.075 | 0.159 | 0.036 | -0.064 | . 036 | -0.084 | 0.810 | 0.017 |
| NV3 | -0.364 | -0.285 | -0.050 | -. 348 | -0.033 | -0.164 | 0.014 | -0.162 | -0.017 | -0.071 | 0.039 | -0.347 | 0.470 | -0.039 |
| NV4 | 0.078 | 0.174 | -0.249 | 0.341 | -0.073 | 0.065 | 0.485 | 0.130 | 0.392 | 0.087 | 0.185 | -0.223 | -0.175 | 0.233 |
| PE1 | 0.463 | -0.058 | 0.179 | 0.434 | 0.180 | 0.057 | 0.415 | -0.074 | 0.020 | 0.186 | 0.161 | 0.380 | 0.073 | 0.064 |
| PE2 | 0.165 | 0.063 | 0.085 | 0.833 | 0.058 | 0.129 | 0.118 | 0.005 | 0.184 | 0.031 | 0.141 | 0.013 | 0.002 | 0.109 |
| PE3 | 0.149 | 0.021 | 0.153 | 0.853 | 0.054 | -0.054 | -0.024 | -0.057 | 0.070 | 0.009 | 0.097 | 0.000 | 0.066 | -0.021 |
| EC1 | 0.258 | -0.055 | 0.175 | -0.047 | 0.036 | 0.241 | 0.094 | -0.043 | 0.004 | 0.010 | 0.811 | 0.098 | 0.017 | 0.026 |
| EC2 | -0.017 | -0.222 | 0.029 | 0.046 | 0.211 | 0.018 | 0.054 | 0.037 | 0.052 | 0.096 | -0.174 | -0.676 | 0.162 | -0.053 |
| EC3 | 0.140 | -0.033 | -0.034 | 0.234 | 0.022 | 0.158 | 0.049 | 0.130 | 0.166 | 0.006 | 0.821 | 0.074 | 0.029 | 0.005 |
| AS1 | 0.638 | 0.018 | -0.022 | 0.281 | -0.006 | -0.225 | 0.227 | -0.086 | -0.047 | 0.153 | 0.167 | -0.091 | -0.182 | 0.018 |
| AS2 | 0.816 | 0.083 | 0.009 | 0.132 | 0.130 | -0.033 | 0.040 | -0.098 | 0.031 | -0.006 | 0.122 | -0.028 | 0.060 | -0.130 |
| AS3 | 0.802 | 0.184 | 0.010 | 0.206 | 0.106 | 0.135 | 0.021 | 0.011 | 0.069 | 0.018 | 0.143 | -0.074 | -0.092 | 0.137 |
| AS4 | 0.314 | 0.233 | 0.058 | 0.289 | . 103 | -. 018 | -0.058 | 0.190 | 0.115 | -0.102 | 0.229 | -0.044 | 0.089 | -0.609 |
| RP1 | 0.646 | 0.146 | 0.383 | 0.095 | . 226 | . 046 | 0.041 | 0-. 056 | 0.040 | 0.096 | 0.140 | 0.134 | -0.119 | 0.054 |
| RP2 | 0.714 | 0.283 | 0.181 | 0.169 | . 150 | . 082 | 0.082 | 0.144 | 0.074 | 0.060 | -0.075 | 0.042 | -0.197 | 0.057 |
| RP3 | 0.360 | 0.438 | 0.186 | 0.405 | . 017 | . 005 | -0.044 | 0.114 | -0.005 | 0.067 | -0.051 | -0.268 | -0.234 | 0.006 |
| OP1 | 0.563 | 0.028 | 0.154 | -0.037 | . 212 | . 014 | 0.465 | 0.133 | 0.392 | 0.060 | 0.103 | 0.182 | -0.037 | -0.040 |
| OP2 | 0.445 | 0.074 | 0.178 | -0.116 | . 263 | -. 024 | 0.437 | -0.059 | 0.442 | -0.037 | 0.134 | 0.161 | -0.032 | -0.135 |
| PI1 | 0.282 | 0.418 | 0.134 | 0.341 | . 096 | -. 003 | 0.565 | 0.076 | -0.045 | 0.024 | 0.179 | -0.047 | -0.008 | -0.143 |


| PI2 | 0.184 | 0.316 | 0.111 | 0.277 | .233 | -.065 | 0.576 | 0.342 | -0.110 | -0.142 | 0.052 | 0.119 | -0.013 | 0.002 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| W1 | 0.319 | -0.060 | 0.004 | 0.503 | .062 | -.090 | 0.391 | 0.250 | -0.199 | 0.022 | -0.033 | 0.045 | -0.224 | 0.018 |
| W2 | 0.239 | 0.080 | 0.017 | 0.647 | .085 | .005 | 0.176 | 0.416 | -0.214 | 0.201 | -0.134 | 0.043 | -0.088 | -0.077 |
| G1 | 0.066 | 0.013 | 0.009 | 0.071 | -.002 | .896 | 0.019 | -.051 | 0.024 | 0.117 | 0.175 | -0.055 | -0.016 | 0.104 |
| G2 | 0.034 | -0.018 | -0.040 | -0.026 | -.006 | .940 | 0.030 | 0.098 | 0.062 | -0.065 | 0.030 | -0.035 | -0.053 | -0.019 |
| G3 | -0.050 | -0.006 | 0.101 | 0.011 | -.045 | .852 | -0.068 | -0.060 | -0.112 | -0.019 | 0.125 | 0.122 | -0.063 | $0-.103$ |

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.
Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.
a. Rotation converged in 14 iterations.

## Interpretation

It is derived by multiplying factor pattern/ component matrix with orthogonal transformation matrix/ component transformation matrix. It is likely to better off the situation that is not necessarily true for all the situations Table 5.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Table } 5 \\ \text { COMPONENT TRANSFORMATION MATRIX } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Component | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| 1 | 0.554 | 0.383 | 0.347 | 0.340 | 0.323 | 0.057 | 0.258 | 0.171 | 0.162 | 0.200 | 0.136 | 0.122 | -0.085 | -0.002 |
| 2 | 0.325 | -0.458 | -0.182 | 0.236 | -0.270 | 0.494 | 0.042 | -0.231 | 0.094 | -0.122 | 0.430 | 0.085 | -0.069 | 0.056 |
| 3 | -0.051 | -0.109 | 0.555 | -0.569 | 0.171 | 0.291 | -0.210 | -0.179 | 0.288 | 0.076 | 0.141 | 0.127 | 0.144 | -0.137 |
| 4 | -0.415 | 0.375 | -0.016 | 0.086 | -0.110 | 0.716 | -0.024 | 0.325 | -0.106 | 0.098 | -0.026 | -0.039 | -0.157 | 0.035 |
| 5 | -0.243 | -0.187 | 0.398 | 0.333 | -0.376 | -0.185 | 0.034 | 0.415 | 0.339 | -0.097 | 0.140 | -0.140 | 0.348 | 0.052 |
| 6 | -0.116 | -0.533 | 0.288 | 0.323 | 0.358 | 0.090 | -0.077 | 0.002 | -0.368 | 0.318 | -0.187 | -0.263 | -0.131 | -0.127 |
| 7 | -0.229 | -0.166 | -0.390 | -0.129 | 0.500 | 0.040 | 0.438 | 0.190 | 0.378 | 0.017 | 0.228 | -0.231 | 0.084 | -0.128 |
| 8 | 0.144 | 0.091 | -0.005 | 0.069 | 0.344 | 0.096 | -0.346 | 0.201 | -0.300 | -0.648 | 0.174 | -0.139 | 0.313 | -0.143 |
| 9 | 0.340 | -0.175 | 0.050 | -0.491 | -0.145 | 0.008 | 0.120 | 0.503 | -0.264 | 0.067 | 0.087 | -0.291 | -0.146 | 0.365 |
| 10 | 0.135 | 0.134 | 0.029 | 0.058 | -0.025 | 0.051 | -0.257 | -0.212 | 0.429 | -0.157 | -0.206 | -0.697 | -0.318 | 0.08 |
| 11 | 0.016 | 0.082 | -0.235 | 0.092 | 0.169 | 0.011 | -0.427 | -0.065 | 0.055 | 0.460 | 0.163 | -0.068 | 0.447 | 0.518 |
| 12 | -0.187 | 0.276 | 0.164 | -. 0022 | -0.126 | -0.166 | 0.187 | -0.340 | -0.336 | 0.120 | 0.620 | -0.378 | -0.009 | -0.110 |
| 13 | 0.118 | 0.048 | 0.088 | -0.034 | -0.077 | 0.265 | 0.490 | -0.287 | -0.134 | -0.086 | -0.417 | -0.216 | 0.559 | 0.133 |
| 14 | 0.290 | 0.049 | -0.229 | -0.076 | -0.267 | 0.048 | -0.174 | 0.176 | 0.014 | 0.369 | -0.065 | -0.184 | 0.254 | -0.694 |

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.
Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

## FINDINGS

From the component and rotated matrix table, the following table arises which shows that for in a factor, the number of variables is highly correlated with each other Table 6.

| Table 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| FACTORS AND VARIABLES |  |
| FACTORS | NO. OF VARIABLES |
| 1 | AS1, AS2, AS3, RP1, RP2 |
| 2 | SK5, SK6, SK7, SK8 |
| 3 | CK1, CK2, CK3, S3 |
| 4 | PE2, PE3, W1 |

Citation Information: Vashisth, R. \& Gupta, J. (2022). Impact of relationship selling in banking sector. Academy of Marketing Studies Journal, 26(5), 1-10.

| 5 | SK1, SK2, SK3, SK4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 6 | G1, G2, G3 |
| 7 | NV4, PI2 |
| 8 | E1, E2, W2 |
| 9 | S3 |
| 10 | RQ1, RQ2, RQ3 |
| 11 | EC2 |
| 12 | EC11 |
| 13 | NV2 |
| 14 | NV1 |

## CONCLUSION

This is an orthogonal method of rotation which minimizes the number of variables with high loadings on a factor, thereby enhancing the interpretability of the factors. Orthogonal rotation results in factors that are uncorrelated.
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[^0]:    $>$ Target population: Sales persons working in the banks.
    $>$ Sampling method: Convenience sampling method.
    > Sample size: 85 responses

