LITERATURE ON BEHAVIOURAL LEADERSHIP AND INVESTOR

Meghna Kaur, University of Rajasthan

ABSTRACT

Traditional explanations of leadership have its control in the market but in late 20th century it is obvious that people or businesses who give money to help start businesses are weird because of wrong thinking and people or businesses who give money to help start businesses are found to practice satisfice they are not useful as stated in traditional explanations of leadership and producing a lot with very little waste Market Educated guess seems to be useless/pointless. Behavioural Finance tries to find the hit/effect of emotional and thinking-related factor on investment decision making. This paper reviews the conception of behavioural leadership time-based and compare it with traditional explanations of leadership. It also summarizes important explanations of why things work or happen the way they do, important authors and important events or patterns of things in Behavioural leadership and gives the list of some important biases, experience-based thinking and frame dependence.

Keywords: Behavioural Finance, Leadership, Investment Decision Making, Bias.

INTRODUCTION

The introduction of Behavioural leadership slowly moves a study of people or businesses who give money to help start businesses behavour in the stock market but Behavioural leadership has become a full-ran away field that has its own ways of thinking/basic truths/rules and explanations of why things work or happen the way they do backed up by strong experiments but there are big differences in the areas of Behavioural finance between developed and developing countries Zahera & Bansal (2018) According to traditional explanations of finance people or businesses who give money to help start businesses first or most important goal is to earn maximum return out of their investment but the expected rate of return differs depending available to buy knowledge, risk perception of different factors and the risk-taking ability of the individual person or business who gives money to help start a business. As claimed by traditional explanations of why things work or happen the way they do, market and people or businesses who give money to help start businesses are clear and sensible, the people or businesses who give money to help start businesses show useful features/ qualities/ traits, people or businesses who give money to help start businesses have self-control and they are not confused by thinking-related errors or information processing errors. These ideas you think are true of information having a left half that's a perfect mirror image of the right half, completely clear and sensible people or businesses who give money to help start businesses, and utility biggest increase/best possible extent make the usual explanations of why things work or happen the way they do very distant from reality.

The usual finance way of thinking covers some explanations of why things work or happen the way they do defining the sequence of money-based decisions by a human being, on the basis of which the following explanations of traditional finance were formed: Expected Utility Educated guess by Von Neumann et al. (1944), Life Cycle Educated guess Permanent Income Educated guess by Festinger (1957), (producing a lot with very little

waste) Market Educated guess by (Fama, 1991). The usual explanations of finance decide the sequence of money-based decisions by a human being (Jurevien & Ivanova, 2013).

Opposite from what's expected Behavioural finance is the study of the way of thinking of the person or leadership who gives money to help start a leadership and its effect on their investment decisions. It focuses on the fact that people or businesses who give money to help start businesses are not always clear and sensible and get influenced by the biases, experience-based thinking and frame dependence. In Behavioural finance people or businesses who give money to help start businesses are treated as usual/commonly and regular/ healthy and not clear and sensible Statman (2014), they have limits to their self-control, they are influenced by their own biases and they can make thinking-related errors that can lead to incorrect decisions and sometimes can lead to big changes in stock market, some examples are Tulip Bubble 1630 and Mississippi Company bubble 1719 which are recorded by (Mackay, 2015).

Explanation of Games and Money-based Behaviour by Von Neumann et al. (1944) gives the comparison between mathematical models and standard behavior of people. Mix of stocks, bonds, etc./document collection Explanation of why something works or happens the way it does by Markowitz (1952) is based on the idea you think is true that all the people or businesses who give money to help start businesses have preference for return and dislike for risk and they measure their risks and returns on the basis of having to do with measuring things with numbers models in other words by using mean and variance and has led down the foundation for capital market explanation of why something works or happens the way it does. In independent studies by Treynor (1962), Sharpe (1964) and Lintner, (1965) offered the valuable thing pricing model popularly known as Capital Valuable thing Pricing Model and is useful in calculating the related to ideas about how things work or why they happen price of the securities in the market and helps in understanding the mispricing of the securities. All the above contributors have made an idea you think is true that all the people or businesses who give money to help start businesses are clear and sensible but it is obvious that people or businesses who give money to help start businesses show weird because of wrong thinking behavior which leads to mispricing of securities in the market. In another explanation of why something works or happens the way it does by assumes markets are producing a lot with very little waste and all securities are correctly priced and show/and obvious its built-in value.

REFERENCES

Fama, E.F. (1991). Efficient capital markets: A review of theory and empirical work. *The Journal of Finance*, 25(2), 383-417.

Festinger, L. (1957). A theory of cognitive dissonance: Stanford Univ Pr. Fornell, C., & Larcker, DF (1981).

Jurevien, D., &Ivanova, O. (2013). Behavioural Finance: Theory and Survey / FinansinėElgsena: TeorijaIrTyrimas. *Mokslas - LietuvosAteitis*, 5(1), 53-58.

Lintner, J. (1965). The Valuation of Risky Assets and the Selection of Risky Investments in Stock Portfolios and Capital Budgets. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 47, 13-37.

Mackay, C. (2015). Extraordinary popular delusions, Templeton Foundation Press.

Markowitz, H. (1952). Portfolio selection. The Journal of Finance, 7(1), 77-91.

Sharpe, W.F. (1964). Capital asset prices: A theory of market equilibrium under conditions of risk. *The Journal of Finance*, 19(3), 425-442.

Statman, M. (2014). Behavioral finance: Finance with normal people. Borsa Istanbul Review, 14(2), 65-73.

Treynor, J.L. (1962). Jack Treynor's' Toward a Theory of Market Value of Risky Assets'. Available at SSRN 628187.

Von Neumann, J., Morgenstern, O., & Kuhn, H.W. (1944). Theory of games and economic behavior (commemorative edition). Princeton university press. (Reprint 2007)

Zahera, S.A., & Bansal, R. (2018). Do investors exhibit behavioral biases in investment decision making? A systematic review. *Qualitative Research in Financial Markets*, 10(2), 210-251.