Utilization of Micrornas in Colorectal Cancer: A Review.

Buria Naeem and Adil Ayub*

University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Texas. Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, Mount Sinai Health System, New York, USA

Abstract

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the 3rd most common cancer worldwide causing over half a million deaths annually. Five year survival rate for early stage disease is around 90% which makes early disease detection and management immensely important. Current screening practices include the use of fecal occult blood test (FOBT), radiological scans and endoscopy. However, limitations exist with the use of these screening techniques and there prevails a need to develop noninvasive, reliable and cost-effective screening methods. MicroRNAs are sequences of non-coding RNA that regulate expression of many important cellular functions and are estimated to regulate over 30% of human genes. Alterations in the expression of microRNAs contribute to human disease. Expression of microRNAs in development and progression of CRC has gained widespread attention in the last decade. MicroRNAs have shown the potential to be used as molecular markers in diagnosis, prognosis and chemosensitivity of CRC. However, additional research is needed before they can be adapted in the clinical realm.

Keywords: Endoscopy, Colorectal cancer, Prognosis, Diagnosis, MicroRNA

Accepted November 10, 2016

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the 3rd most common cancer worldwide. Each year, about 1.4 million new cases are diagnosed, causing over half a million deaths [1, 2]. Early disease detection together with improved monitoring has shown to significantly reduce mortality rates [3]. However, there is a lack of noninvasive, reliable and cost-effective screening method, which underscores the need to develop new diagnostic tools that can detect CRC transformations in early stages. MicroRNAs are sequences of non-coding RNA that regulate expression of many important cellular functions such as cell differentiation, multiplication and apoptosis. Expression of microRNAs in development and progression of CRC has gained widespread attention in the last decade [4, 5]. Herein, we review the growing body of literature and summarize the latest developments surrounding microRNAs as makers in diagnosis and prognosis of CRC.

Current Screening Practices

The development of CRC involves genetic mutations which are most commonly sporadic but may also arise secondary to hereditary syndromes such as familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) and hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC). These mutations lead to transformation of normal epithelial cells of the intestinal mucosa from adenoma to carcinoma in a sequential manner [6].

1

CRC is known as a "silent disease," as many patients remain symptomless and present at advanced stage when the disease is difficult to cure [7]. The 5-year survival rate for stage I disease is 93.2% as opposed to 8.1% for stage IV cancer, which makes early diagnosis immensely important for treatment and prognosis [8]. There are several screening modalities in current practice including fecal occult blood tests (FOBT), radiologic tests and endoscopic examinations (flexible sigmoidoscopy and colonoscopy). Although FOBT has approximately 95% specificity, its usefulness has been somewhat limited because of its poor sensitivity [9]. In contrast, colonoscopy is far more accurate and is considered the gold standard to identify and remove lesions at early stage. However, this procedure has several practical limitations including the need of bowel preparation and sedation, its invasive nature and cost [10, 11]. Therefore, need exists to develop less invasive screening tests with high sensitivity and specificity.

Micrornas

A. Introduction to MicroRNAs

MicroRNAs are sequences of non-coding RNA that regulate expression of many important cellular functions such as cell differentiation, multiplication and apoptosis through post-translational regulation of mRNA, ultimately leading to inhibition of mRNA translation. To date, about 2588 microRNAs have been identified in humans and

Journal of Advanced Surgical Research 2016 Volume 1 Issue 1

they are estimated to regulate over 30% of human genes [12, 13]. Alterations in the expression of microRNAs contribute to human disease and they are implicated in certain cancers. The first cancer to be associated with their dysregulation was chronic lymphocytic leukemia. This was followed by their utility in Hodgkin lymphoma, lung cancer, breast cancer, non-cancerous diseases of heart, kidney and nervous system and in regulatory pathways of obesity and lipid metabolism [14-20]. The evidence for their clinical utility is growing and holds great avenues for breakthrough in medicine.

MicroRNAs may act as tumor suppressors (known as tsmicroRNAs) where their under expression may promote oncogenesis. In contrast, other microRNAs regulate cell migration and invasion and their overexpression may lead to disease progression [6].

B. Signaling pathways

In the nucleus, RNA polymerase II transcribes primary microRNAs. After processing, this primary transcript is transported to the cytoplasm and worked on by ribonuclease III to produce mature microRNA. The mature stand is ultimately integrated into the RNA-induced silencing complex that negatively regulate the expression of target messenger RNA through various mechanisms [21].

Although it is not entirely clear how the dysregulation of miRNAs occurs in CRC, a number of potential mechanisms have been proposed, including epigenetic modifications and various cellular signaling cascades involving different microRNAs [22]. For example, miR-135 and miR-122 may inactivate and inhibit adenomatous polyposis coli tumor suppressor gene controlled pathways and facilitate cancerous transformation [23, 24]. Other microRNAs such as miR-34, miR-145 and miR-107 mediate the function of p53 and are involved in cell survival, proliferation and angiogenesis, respectively [25].

MicroRNAs have also been implicated in CRC metastasis. Certain microRNAs such as miR-21 miR-31 and miR-200 are dysregulated in epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) that takes places during tumor metastasis. MiR-21 in particular is up-regulated in this pathway; it silences tumor suppressor genes and B-catenin expression, ultimately leading to tumor progression [26, 27].

In addition, microRNAs may also be involved in angiogenesis of cancer tissue. Prominent markers in this regard are miR-107 (suppresses HIF-1 and VEGF expression) and miR-194 (repress thrombospondin 1, which is a barrier to neovascularization in tumors) [28, 29].

Major regulatory pathways affected by microRNAs are summarized in Table 1.

Micrornas in Colorectal Cancer

A. MicroRNAs in detection

The initial work to investigate serum microRNAs as markers of detection in CRC identified 69 microRNAs that

were dysregulated in serum of CRC patients, but were not expressed in healthy volunteers [30]. This was followed by a more comprehensive investigation that discovered 95 different microRNAs in samples from CRC patients, compared to healthy controls [31]. This study found that levels of miR-17-3p and miR-92 were elevated in tissue and plasma samples of CRC patients and significantly reduced after surgery.

Further work on the utilization of microRNAs in CRC demonstrated elevated levels of miR-135 in fecal samples from CRC patients. These levels dropped after surgical resection and it was later established that miR-135 overexpression involves APC and PTEN pathways that are involved in CRC pathogenesis [32]. Another study established the role of fecal miR-106a in CRC. It demonstrated that addition of this RNA assay to the FOBT increased the sensitivity of the test from 60.7% to 70.9%, eliminating 1/4th of the false negative patients [33].

Other studies have shown the utility of plasma mircoRNAs in the detection of CRC. Markers have been discovered that can identify patients with CRC as well as differentiate between different stages of CRC [34, 35]. In addition, expression of markers correlating with tumor location has also been worked on. Gopalan et al [36] showed that expression of a specific marker miR-1288 correlated with stage of disease and location of tumor, with higher expressions correlating with more distal location.

In a recent meta-analysis, heterogeneity of several microRNA biomarkers in terms of sensitivity, specificity and other parameters was compared. It was found that out of all diagnostic RNA markers, heterogeneity becomes insignificant for miR-21. Additionally, its diagnostic performance was compared to other markers such as CEA and carbohydrate antigen and was found to be the most accurate [37].

Recent studies have also investigated the combination of various microRNAs in detection of CRC. Panels have been identified that can detect cancer with accuracy more than a single microRNA. Examples include a combination

Pathway/ mechanism	Regulators	MicroRNAs involved
Apoptosis	p-53, Bcl-2	miR-29 miR-34 miR-195 miR-451
Epithelial- Mesenchymal transition	TGF-B, Zinc finger binding protein (ZEB)	miR-200 miR-21
Angiogenesis	VEGF, HIF-1	miR-22 miR-619 miR-107
Intracellular signal transduction	KRAS	let-7 miR-143 miR-17-92

 Table 1. Major pathways and microRNAs involved in CRC

of miR-409, miR-7 and miR-93 that was successful in discriminating CRC from healthy controls [38]. Another study combined a group of eight plasma microRNAs (miR-532-3, miR-331, miR-195, miR-17, miR-142-3, miR-15, miR-532 and miR-652) that was able to discriminate patients with adenoma from healthy controls [35].

Relationship between various microRNAs and colorectal cancer is summarized in Table 2.

B. MicroRNAs in prognosis of colorectal cancer

The relapse rate after curative surgery in CRC is variable and is dependent on multiple factors including disease stage and adjuvant chemotherapy. Several studies have shown that adjuvant chemotherapy increases the survival of stage II disease [39]. In contrast, others have observed no relapse in stage III patients, even if adjuvant chemotherapy was not received. This dictates the need to identify patients at high risk of recurrence, in order to appropriately cater beneficial adjuvant treatment [40].

It has been discovered that variation in expression of several mircoRNAs predicts survival. The first study to identify this potential role involved serum miRNA-200c [41]. Later on, more studies demonstrated that the levels of miRNA-200c also correlate with disease stage, lymph node invasion and metastasis. Serum levels were also compared after patients were treated with surgical resection and chemotherapy. Interestingly, it was observed that the levels returned to normal in patients with good prognosis. In contrast, in patients who developed disease recurrence or distant metastasis, levels elevated after an initial drop or remained consistently high [42].

Another marker that received attention in terms of predicting CRC prognosis is miR-21. Studies have shown that elevated miR-21 expression were associated with lymph node and distant metastasis, accurately differentiated both patients with adenoma and CRC from healthy controls and correlated with tumor size [43]. Additional work has also identified miR-21 as a marker of disease recurrence, where it can be combined with

traditional patient characteristics to stratify patients as high risk or low risk for recurrence [44]. On the contrary, one particular study found that increased expression of this marker is associated with poorer response to adjuvant chemotherapy, translating to poor clinical outcome [45].

Table 3 summarizes various microRNA markers identified for CRC prognosis.

C. MicroRNAs in Chemosensitivity

MicroRNAs have been studied in predicting the response and resistance to different chemotherapeutic drugs. Studies have shown that elevated levels of miR-21 correlate with poor treatment response to 5-flurouracil [46]. Interestingly, this response was shown to be reversed after genetic knock down of miR-21. Similarly, elevated levels of miR-153 are associated with resistance to oxaliplatin and cisplatin and miR-19a upregulation is linked with decrease response to FOLFOX [47].

Reduced expression of microRNAs has also been correlated with chemosensitivity. Prominent markers of interest include miR-129, miR-15b and miR-1915. Lower expressions of miR-129 and miR-15b have been demonstrated to correlate with increase resistance to 5-flurouracil [48, 49]. MiR-1915 has been indicted to play a role in multi-drug resistant CRC by interacting with the Bcl-2 pathway [50].

Limitations and Future Perspectives

The development of suitable noninvasive biomarkers for the diagnosis and prognosis of CRC is vital given the high burden of disease. MicroRNAs certainly play an important role in the CRC pathways and this has been capitalized to correlate their levels with disease parameters. Many studies have reported associations between expression of microRNAs and the diagnosis, prognosis and chemoreactivity of CRC patients. However, despite promising initial outcomes, there are contradictions, inconsistencies and technical obstacles when it comes to their role in CRC.

MicroRNA profile	Specimen	Dysregulation	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Ref.
miR-135b	Stool	Increased	78	68	[32]
miR-17-3p	Plasma	Increased	64	70	[54]
miR-92a	Plasma	Increased	89	70	[54]
miR-29a	Plasma	Increased	69	89.1	[55]
miR-92a	Plasma	Increased	84	71.2	[55]
miR-221	Plasma	Increased	86	41	[56]
miR-21	Plasma	Increased	90	90	[57]
miR-601	Plasma	Decreased	69.2	72.4	[31]
miR-760	Plasma	Decreased	80	72.4	[31]
miR-21	Serum	Increased	91.9	82.1	[58]
miR-21	Serum	Increased			[59]
miR-92a	Serum	Increased			[59]
miR-106a	Improves sensitivity of FOBT to 70.9%				[33]
miR-135b	Tumor initiation, progression				[60]

Table 2. List of selected microRNAs and their significance in diagnosis of colorectal cancer [6, 21]

 Table 3. List of selected microRNAs identified for CRC prognosis (21)

MicroRNA	Specimen	Dysregulation	Significance
miR-200c	Serum	Increased	Prognostic marker
miR-221	Plasma	Increased	Prognostic marker
miR-141	Plasma	Increased	Prognostic marker
miR-29	Serum	Increased	Liver metastasis
miR-21	Serum	Increased	Prognostic marker

A recent meta-analysis showed that the sensitivity and specificity of microRNA in a pooled data analysis was 78% and 79% respectively but with significant heterogeneity and indicated that the circulating microRNAs may not have sufficient power either to confirm or exclude cancer [37]. Moreover, the clinical value of microRNAs has only been explored in small sample sized studies, necessitating the need of large scale prospective trials in the future.

The technical issues with use of microRNAs start with their source, extraction and processing. MicroRNAs can be isolated from stool or blood (plasma or serum), with more interest seen in blood specimens. Recent studies have recommended plasma as a more suitable source of extraction since coagulation process in the serum cause hemolysis resulting in artificially higher levels of microRNAs [51]. Secondly, differences in preparation i-e filtration, centrifugation, controlling contamination and use of different extraction kits also affect levels of microRNAs. So, it is imperative to standardize extraction and processing mechanisms to control for difference in microRNA yield and potentially eliminate confounding [52]. Once microRNAs are isolated from samples they must be quantified for further analysis. Currently, there is no consensus regarding the use of suitable reference RNA controls and development of an absolute quantification method, both of which are important to make use of microRNAs accurate [53-55].

Another problem with use of microRNAs is disease specificity. As mentioned already, the spectrum of microRNA expression in human disease is enormous with correlations identified in various cancers. It is vital to clarify the interaction between specific microRNAs and CRC and to establish and identify overlap with other diseases. Moreover, there are several important factors to consider while designing RNA based markers for human disease. Ribonuclease, that is present in body fluids can easily degrade RNA molecules and has so far presented the biggest challenge in their development. However, properties of microRNAs make them suitable and promising markers for detection. Packaged into microvesicles and exosomes, they are resistant to degradation by RNase and are relatively stable to degradation by changes in temperature and PH [56-58].

Despite all these limitations, microRNAs have shown the potential to be used as molecular markers in diagnosis, prognosis and chemosensitivity of CRC. To massively screen and identify all possible microRNA markers at full gene level and to understand their function and regulatory pathways completely, we need additional research before they can be adapted in the clinical realm [59-60].

References

- 1. Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics. CA: CA Cancer J Clin 2012; 62: 10-29.
- Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, Parkin DM. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: Int J Cancer 2010; 127: 2893-2917.
- Shaukat A, Mongin SJ, Geisser MS, Lederle FA, Bond JH, Mandel JS. Long-term mortality after screening for colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 2013; 369: 1106-1114.
- Garzon R, Fabbri M, Cimmino A, Calin GA, Croce CM. MicroRNA expression and function in cancer. Trends Mol Med 2006, 12: 580-587.
- 5. Liu M, Chen H. The role of microRNAs in colorectal cancer. J. Genet. Genomics 2010; 37: 347-358.
- Hollis M, Nair K, Vyas A, Chaturvedi LS, Gambhir S, Vyas D. MicroRNAs potential utility in colon cancer: Early detection, prognosis, and chemosensitivity. World J Gastroenterol 2015; 21: 8284-8292.
- Vatandoost N, Ghanbari J, Mojaver M, Avan A, Ghayour-Mobarhan M, Nedaeinia R. Early detection of colorectal cancer: from conventional methods to novel biomarkers. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2015.
- O'Connell JB, Maggard MA, Ko CY. Colon cancer survival rates with the new American Joint Committee on Cancer sixth edition staging. J Natl Cancer Inst 2004; 96: 1420-1425.
- Mandel JS, Bond JH, Church TR, Snover DC, Bradley GM, Schuman LM. Reducing mortality from colorectal cancer by screening for fecal occult blood. Minnesota Colon Cancer Control Study. N Engl J Med 1993; 328: 1365-1371.
- Yitzhak A, Bayme M, Perry ZH, Mizrahi S. Small bowel perforation after capsule endoscopy in a patient with occult gastrointestinal bleeding and undiagnosed Crohn's disease. The American surgeon 2012; 78: E159-161.
- 11. Bretthauer M. Colorectal cancer screening. J Intern Med 2011; 270: 87-98.
- 12. Griffiths-Jones S. miRBase: the microRNA sequence database. Methods in Molecular Biology 2006; 342: 129-38.
- Lewis BP, Burge CB, Bartel DP. Conserved seed pairing, often flanked by adenosines, indicates that thousands of human genes are microRNA targets. Cell 2005; 120: 15-20.
- Jones K, Nourse JP, Keane C, Bhatnagar A, Gandhi MK. Plasma microRNA are disease response biomarkers in classical Hodgkin lymphoma. Clin Cancer Res 2014; 20: 253-264.
- Maes OC, Chertkow HM, Wang E, Schipper HM. MicroRNA: Implications for Alzheimer Disease and other Human CNS Disorders. Curr Genomics 2009; 10: 154-168.

- Phua YL, Chu JY, Marrone AK, Bodnar AJ, Sims-Lucas S, Ho J. Renal stromal miRNAs are required for normal nephrogenesis and glomerular mesangial survival. Physiol Rep 2015; 3.
- Rawal S, Ram TP, Coffey S, Williams MJ, Saxena P, Bunton RW. Differential expression pattern of cardiovascular microRNAs in the human type-2 diabetic heart with normal ejection fraction. Int J Cardiol 2016; 202: 40-43.
- Romao JM, Jin W, Dodson MV, Hausman GJ, Moore SS, Guan LL. MicroRNA regulation in mammalian adipogenesis. Exp Biol Med 2011; 236: 997-1004.
- Schmidt A, Kuppers R. Role of microRNAs in B cell leukemias and lymphomas. Current molecular medicine 2014; 14: 580-597.
- Vosa U, Vooder T, Kolde R, Fischer K, Valk K, Tonisson N. Identification of miR-374a as a prognostic marker for survival in patients with early-stage nonsmall cell lung cancer. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 2011; 50: 812-822.
- 21. Toiyama Y, Tanaka K, Inoue Y, Mohri Y, Kusunoki M. Circulating cell-free microRNAs as biomarkers for colorectal cancer. Surgery today 2016; 46: 13-24.
- Aravalli RN, Steer CJ. Circulating microRNAs: novel biomarkers for early detection of colorectal cancer. Transl Res 2015; 166: 219-224.
- 23. Nagel R, le Sage C, Diosdado B, van der Waal M, Oude Vrielink JA, Bolijn A. Regulation of the adenomatous polyposis coli gene by the miR-135 family in colorectal cancer. Cancer Research 2008; 68: 5795-5802.
- 24. Wang X, Lam EK, Zhang J, Jin H, Sung JJ. MicroRNA-122a functions as a novel tumor suppressor downstream of adenomatous polyposis coli in gastrointestinal cancers. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2009; 387: 376-380.
- 25. He L, He X, Lim LP, de Stanchina E, Xuan Z, Liang Y. A microRNA component of the p53 tumour suppressor network. Nature 2007; 447: 1130-1134.
- 26. Asangani IA, Rasheed SA, Nikolova DA, Leupold JH, Colburn NH, Post S. MicroRNA-21 (miR-21) posttranscriptionally downregulates tumor suppressor Pdcd4 and stimulates invasion, intravasation and metastasis in colorectal cancer. Oncogene 2008; 27: 2128-2136.
- 27. Yu Y, Kanwar SS, Patel BB, Oh PS, Nautiyal J, Sarkar FH. MicroRNA-21 induces stemness by downregulating transforming growth factor beta receptor 2 (TGFbetaR2) in colon cancer cells. Carcinogenesis 2012; 33: 68-76.
- Sundaram P, Hultine S, Smith LM, Dews M, Fox JL, Biyashev D. p53-responsive miR-194 inhibits thrombospondin-1 and promotes angiogenesis in colon cancers. Cancer Res 2011; 71: 7490-7501.
- 29. Xu Q, Liu LZ, Qian X, Chen Q, Jiang Y, Li D. MiR-145 directly targets p70S6K1 in cancer cells to inhibit tumor growth and angiogenesis. Nucleic Acids Res 2012; 40: 761-774.
- 30. Chen X, Ba Y, Ma L, Cai X, Yin Y, Wang K. Characterization

of microRNAs in serum: a novel class of biomarkers for diagnosis of cancer and other diseases. Cell res 2008; 18: 997-1006.

- 31. Ng EK, Chong WW, Jin H, Lam EK, Shin VY, Yu J, et al. Differential expression of microRNAs in plasma of patients with colorectal cancer: a potential marker for colorectal cancer screening. Gut 2009; 58: 1375-1381.
- 32. Wu CW, Ng SC, Dong Y, Tian L, Ng SS, Leung WW. Identification of microRNA-135b in stool as a potential noninvasive biomarker for colorectal cancer and adenoma. Clin Cancer Res 2014; 20: 2994-3002.
- 33. Koga Y, Yamazaki N, Yamamoto Y, Yamamoto S, Saito N, Kakugawa Y. Fecal miR-106a is a useful marker for colorectal cancer patients with false-negative results in immunochemical fecal occult blood test. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention 2013; 22: 1844-1852.
- Hofsli E, Sjursen W, Prestvik WS, Johansen J, Rye M, Trano G. Identification of serum microRNA profiles in colon cancer. Br J Cancer 2013; 108: 1712-1719.
- 35. Kanaan Z, Roberts H, Eichenberger MR, Billeter A, Ocheretner G, Pan J. A plasma microRNA panel for detection of colorectal adenomas: a step toward more precise screening for colorectal cancer. Ann Surg 2013; 258: 400-408.
- 36. Gopalan V, Pillai S, Ebrahimi F, Salajegheh A, Lam TC, Le TK. Regulation of microRNA-1288 in colorectal cancer: altered expression and its clinicopathological significance. Mol Carcinog 2014; 53: E36-44.
- 37. Rokkas T, Kothonas F, Rokka A, Koukoulis G, Symvoulakis E. The role of circulating microRNAs as novel biomarkers in diagnosing colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis. European journal of gastroenterology & hepatology 2015; 27: 819-825.
- Wang S, Xiang J, Li Z, Lu S, Hu J, Gao X. A plasma microRNA panel for early detection of colorectal cancer. Int J Cancer 2015; 136: 152-161.
- 39. Figueredo A, Charette ML, Maroun J, Brouwers MC, Zuraw L. Adjuvant therapy for stage II colon cancer: a systematic review from the Cancer Care Ontario Program in evidence-based care's gastrointestinal cancer disease site group. J Clin Oncol 2004; 22: 3395-3407.
- 40. Gill S, Loprinzi CL, Sargent DJ, Thome SD, Alberts SR, Haller DG. Pooled analysis of fluorouracil-based adjuvant therapy for stage II and III colon cancer: who benefits and by how much? J Clin Oncol 2004; 22: 1797-1806.
- 41. Xi Y, Formentini A, Chien M, Weir DB, Russo JJ, Ju J. Prognostic Values of microRNAs in Colorectal Cancer. Biomark insights 2006; 2: 113-121.
- 42. Toiyama Y, Hur K, Tanaka K, Inoue Y, Kusunoki M, Boland CR. Serum miR-200c is a novel prognostic and metastasispredictive biomarker in patients with colorectal cancer. Ann surg 2014; 259: 735-743.
- 43. Slaby O, Svoboda M, Fabian P, Smerdova T, Knoflickova D, Bednarikova M. Altered expression of miR-21, miR-

31, miR-143 and miR-145 is related to clinicopathologic features of colorectal cancer. Oncology 2007; 72: 397-402.

- 44. Kjaer-Frifeldt S, Hansen TF, Nielsen BS, Joergensen S, Lindebjerg J, Soerensen FB. The prognostic importance of miR-21 in stage II colon cancer: a population-based study. Br J Cancer 2012; 107: 1169-1174.
- 45. Oue N, Anami K, Schetter AJ, Moehler M, Okayama H, Khan MA. High miR-21 expression from FFPE tissues is associated with poor survival and response to adjuvant chemotherapy in colon cancer. Int J Cancer 2014; 134: 1926-1934.
- 46. Deng J, Lei W, Fu JC, Zhang L, Li JH, Xiong JP. Targeting miR-21 enhances the sensitivity of human colon cancer HT-29 cells to chemoradiotherapy in vitro. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2014; 443: 789-795.
- 47. Zhang L, Pickard K, Jenei V, Bullock MD, Bruce A, Mitter R. miR-153 supports colorectal cancer progression via pleiotropic effects that enhance invasion and chemotherapeutic resistance. Cancer res 2013; 73: 6435-6447.
- Karaayvaz M, Zhai H, Ju J. miR-129 promotes apoptosis and enhances chemosensitivity to 5-fluorouracil in colorectal cancer. Cell Death Dis 2013; 4: e659.
- 49. Weirauch U, Beckmann N, Thomas M, Grunweller A, Huber K, Bracher F. Functional role and therapeutic potential of the pim-1 kinase in colon carcinoma. Neoplasia 2013; 15: 783-794.
- 50. Xu K, Liang X, Cui D, Wu Y, Shi W, Liu J. miR-1915 inhibits Bcl-2 to modulate multidrug resistance by increasing drug-sensitivity in human colorectal carcinoma cells. Mol Carcinog 2013; 52: 70-78.
- Wang K, Yuan Y, Cho JH, McClarty S, Baxter D, Galas DJ. Comparing the MicroRNA spectrum between serum and plasma. PloS one 2012; 7: e41561.

- Ren A, Dong Y, Tsoi H, Yu J. Detection of miRNA as noninvasive biomarkers of colorectal cancer. Int J Mol Sci 2015; 16: 2810-2823.
- 53. Ahmed FE, Vos PW, Jeffries C, Wiley JE, Weidner DA, Mota H. Differences in mRNA and microRNA microarray expression profiles in human colon adenocarcinoma HT-29 cells treated with either Intensity-modulated Radiation Therapy (IMRT), or Conventional Radiation Therapy (RT). Cancer genomics proteomics 2009; 6: 109-127.
- 54. Lu J, Getz G, Miska EA, Alvarez-Saavedra E, Lamb J, Peck D. MicroRNA expression profiles classify human cancers. Nature 2005; 435: 834-838.
- 55. Lodes MJ, Caraballo M, Suciu D, Munro S, Kumar A, Anderson B. Detection of cancer with serum miRNAs on an oligonucleotide microarray. PloS one 2009; 4: e6229.
- 56. Cheng H, Zhang L, Cogdell DE, Zheng H, Schetter AJ, Nykter M. Circulating plasma MiR-141 is a novel biomarker for metastatic colon cancer and predicts poor prognosis. PloS one 2011; 6: e17745.
- 57. Giraldez MD, Lozano JJ, Ramirez G, Hijona E, Bujanda L, Castells A. Circulating microRNAs as biomarkers of colorectal cancer: results from a genome-wide profiling and validation study. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2013; 11: 681-688.
- Kanaan Z, Rai SN, Eichenberger MR, Roberts H, Keskey B, Pan J. Plasma miR-21: a potential diagnostic marker of colorectal cancer. Ann surg 2012; 256: 544-551.
- Wang P, Zou F, Zhang X, Li H, Dulak A, Tomko RJ, Jr. microRNA-21 negatively regulates Cdc25A and cell cycle progression in colon cancer cells. Cancer res 2009; 69: 8157-8165.
- Burch JA, Soares-Weiser K, St John DJ, Duffy S, Smith S, Kleijnen J. Diagnostic accuracy of faecal occult blood tests used in screening for colorectal cancer: a systematic review. J Med Screen 2007; 14: 132-137.

*Correspondence to:

Dr. Adil Ayub Department of Thoracic Surgery, Suite 2b-07, 1000 10th Ave Mount Sinai West Hospital New York USA Tel: +13472378312 E-mail: aayub@chpnet.org