

A PROPOSAL FOR RE-ENGINEERING ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESSES IN SAUDI UNIVERSITIES TO COPE WITH CRISES: AN EXPERIENCE OF PRINCE SATTAM BIN ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY WITH CORONAVIRUS (COVID-19)

Mansour Z. Al-Khathlan, Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University

ABSTRACT

Humanity is susceptible to natural and non-natural catastrophes and calamities. They influence human survival at financial, societal, and educational levels. Coronavirus (Covid-19) pandemic is one of the most severe natural crises. It affects humans according to their immunity and may end in death. As it spreads from gathering, it has severely affected many aspects of life including the educational sector. With this backdrop, this study aimed at investigating the preparedness level of Saudi universities in responding to this difficult situation. It focused on Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University (PSAU) from an administrative perspective to deal with the crisis. To achieve this aim, this research study was initiated by revealing the reality of crisis management at the university and considering the re-engineering of administrative processes. A descriptive-analytical approach was used. A questionnaire was used as a tool for data collection. The sample of the study consisted of administrative leadership at the university. It was found that there was a high-degree possibility of the reality of crisis management at PUAS to address emergency crises (Covid-19 as a model). However, the university suffered from some obstacles that might weaken its ability to respond to the crisis, necessitating establishing a particular unit for crisis management. It emphasized the prediction of future crises considering the available data and information to facilitate administrative work during the time of crisis.

Keywords: Administrative Process, Covid-19, Crisis Management, Re-Engineering, Saudi Universities.

INTRODUCTION

The states and institutions are always exposed to several forms of crises and disasters that may impede their performance. Some disasters are natural, such as earthquakes, volcanoes, torrents, tornados, infectious diseases, and epidemics, while others are human-made, such as toxic gas emissions, laboratory experiments beyond control, wars, and ethnic and religious conflicts. They directly affect all the segments of life. The outbreak of wars between countries, for instance, stops life in those countries at economic, social, and scientific levels. Presently, the world is under the influence of one of the most severe crises, namely, the Coronavirus (Covid-19) pandemic. It is a natural crisis which affects humans directly as Coronavirus is the deadliest virus known to human which is potentially life-threatening. The spread of this virus worldwide has affected human life massively disrupting everyday life leading to economic, scientific, and many other crises. As it spreads from gathering and crowding, the presence of students in one place may cause the spread of this deadly epidemic.

The institutions at various levels of education have directly been affected by this global crisis. In response, they must plan to manage crises. They must take advantage of previous crises to learn ways to deal with future crises. Efforts are made to know the extent of crisis management in the United States of America. Crisis management plans have been analyzed in schools that were exposed to previous crises. It is observed that the schools that have suffered from crises in the past are developing actionable plans. The experiences of such schools can be used to develop plans for managing crises (Adams & Kristions, 2006). Further, the impact of strategic planning on crisis management at the Science and Technology University in Sana'a was also studied. The results show a positive impact of the strategic planning practice, environmental analysis, vision and mission of the university, and the strategic objectives on crisis management (Mohammad, 2016). However, despite the planning and the abilities to benefit from the various Arab and international experiences of some institutions and agencies, many efforts have been nullified in this novel situation created by the Coronavirus (Covid-19). This new situation has messed up many of the precautions taken by the institutions.

The emergence of the Coronavirus crisis has brought the administrative operations in Saudi universities and educational institutions to a complete halt. So, the 'administrative process re-engineering' is highlighted here. The effects of Covid-19 on Saudi universities are divided into two domains: educational and administrative. Education has been shifted to online education systems and the application of learning management systems, which has led to a significant reduction in the Coronavirus effects on the educational side of universities. However, proper planning is required to benefit from previous experiences in the crisis management field. It is indicated that re-engineering operations are imperative for institutions to maintain survival and continuity. It contributes to addressing crises, which requires professional and practical experiences (Wahhabi, 2018). The essential skills are identified which are needed for effective crisis management. These include crisis response skills, skills to benefit from crises after their occurrence, crisis-sensing skills, recovery skills, and crisis prevention skills (Saqr, 2009).

Moreover, it is recommended to prepare surveys of anticipated crises, to develop appropriate plans and programs, to pay attention to the continuous evaluation of crises after their occurrence to take lessons from these to confront the coming crises, and to acquire Arab and foreign experiences in managing educational crises (Al-Hawri, 2019). It is noticed that many previous studies have focused on the educational side. However, the administrative side requires more consideration. An integrated and compatible administration is crucial for universities to make new decisions and implement them.

The world has been exposed to the Covid-19 pandemic, which has led universities to resort to sudden administrative and academic procedures to deal with the crisis. The research clarifies integrating engineering principles and methods in universities to achieve the desired aims of its application. It may be useful as a preliminary step for developing the necessary administrative procedures to deal with major crises and disasters such as the Covid-19. It recognizes the importance of redesigning operations, achieving the desired performance levels, reducing error levels, and limiting the impact of emergency crises. It also deals with modern variables such as re-engineering administrative processes, crisis management, and Covid-19. This study investigates the crisis management reality at PSAU to confront emergency crises (Covid-19 as a model). It further presents a vision for establishing the crisis management unit at PSAU to re-engineer administrative processes to address emergency crises (Covid-19 as a model). This study is conducted during the first semester of the academic year 1442 AH. It is limited to the administrative leadership of the university, starting from the heads of the

departments to the deans of the colleges. To achieve the research aim, the descriptive-analytical method has been adopted. The research is based on a field study that includes the distribution of questionnaires among the sample. The statistical analysis program SPSS is used. At the same time, the theoretical part is covered through previous studies.

LITERATURE REVIEW

During the Coronavirus crisis, the adoption of the re-engineering concept is vital to manage and make the required changes in institutions radically. Re-engineering is identified as the radical redesign of the administrative processes in the institution creatively. It aims to achieve superior results to satisfy the beneficiaries. It provides services that are of the required quality, speed, and cost. It is also defined as a fundamental rethinking and radical redesign of administrative processes, which is aimed at achieving substantial improvements in critical performance measures that include cost, quality, service, and speed (Harush, 2016). It is also known as a new model that includes some mechanisms to improve methods of operating organizations. It helps to improve the trainers' capabilities of competition. It builds new visions for the organization that help propose and implement a new series of strategies (Ben, 2016). The current research is defined as redesigning the administrative processes of the university to achieve a radical change in performance. It includes quality, service, and speed. It is aimed at increasing the ability of the universities to respond to emergency crises. The re-engineering process starts according to two approaches. The first approach starts after the occurrence of the disaster, whereas the second approach starts before the crisis occurs. This is what PSAU and other Saudi universities have tried to establish.

All the universities around the world, including Saudi universities, are facing a severe crisis. It can be divided into two parts. The first part is administrative, and the second, academic. The difference between universities exists in how they confront the crisis and find administrative and academic ways to overcome it. The scientific methods of crisis management include planning, organizing, directing, follow-up, team formation, leadership, communication, information system, decision-making, and evaluation (Al-Yahya, 2006). Different studies were carried out to identify the crisis management level among those in charge of the educational process, such as department heads and officials. The results have shown the availability of crisis management elements with a medium degree. However, the studies have considered the simple crises that may be during the academic year, such as torrential rains, very high temperatures, or wars that may hinder the educational process and lead to the closure of schools and universities for some time (Ali, 2016; Zoubi, 2014). As for the Covid-19 crisis, the scale of its impact on human lives is altogether different. In the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, Naif Arab University for Security Sciences has established a Crisis Management Unit. It has utilized previous knowledge to specialize in multiple tasks. It collects data and information, links the unit with all modern means of communication, counts potential crises, develops safety measures to be applied, trains human cadres, prepares the necessary scenarios, and intensifies the role of devices information technology to raise awareness of the various risks and crises for their prevention (Al-Zavi, 2011; Rifai, 1998).

In 1919, the closure of schools and universities in 43 American cities for four weeks reduced the number of disease-ridden and deaths caused by the Spanish flu epidemic in the United States of America (Markel et al., 2007). In 2009, another type of influenza, the H1N1 flu, spread in many countries. The early closure of schools and universities reduced the number of infected students (Davis et al., 2015). However, the closure of schools and universities leads to

the cessation of all administrative processes. The same happened during the Coronavirus pandemic as schools and universities remained closed during March 2020 in 73 countries. According to a UNESCO report issued on March 10, 2020, 56 countries announced general closure throughout the country, and 17 countries announced partial closure. It has deprived 421 million learners worldwide, whereas the partial closure has placed 577 million learners at risk of contracting the virus. So, it is required that school leaders have special skills to deal with crises both administratively and educationally. To reduce the adverse effects of the crisis, homeschooling was adopted with available technical means. It was not accepted at first, however, later, it provided educational and cognitive innovation (Al-Mikhli, 2020; Okell & Khaldi, 2016; Al-Sakarneh, 2013).

It is evident through the presentation of the theoretical side and related studies that Saudi Arabia has not been interested in pre-planning to confront emergency crises. Therefore, it is necessary to establish a unit for crisis management to deal with crises and prepare in advance for what may happen, considering constant communication with civil society institutions.

Analytical Procedure

The study used the descriptive-analytical method to analyze data. It relies on descriptive statistics (frequencies, ratios, means, and standard deviations). The Alpha Cronbach coefficient was used to measure the statistical stability of the questionnaire and chi-square test for suitable alignment. The research population consists of (335) individuals including all administrative officials (directors of administrative units), and academic leaders (deans, college agents, and heads of departments). The research sample consists of (72) individuals from the whole community of the study. Table 1 shows the sample of the study in detail.

Variable	Respondent	Frequencies	Percentage
Administrative career	Dean	8	11.1
	College Agent	16	22.2
	Head of the Department	32	44.4
	Director of the Department	16	22.2
Administrative experience	Less than 5 years	24	33.3
	From 5 to less than 10	32	44.4
	From 10 to less than 15	8	11.1
	From 15 and more	8	11.1
Total		72	100%

A questionnaire, consisting of two main parts, was used for data collection. The first part was comprised of demographic data and the second was divided into four domains: the crisis management reality (Covid-19 as a model), crisis management during its occurrence (information, communication, and decision-making), after crises, and the obstacles that PSAU confronted to manage administrative operations during Coronavirus (Covid-19). Table 2 demonstrates the statistical stability of the questionnaire.

It is evident from Table 2 that all the reliability coefficients for all domains and the whole questionnaire range between 0.96 to 0.98. It indicates the remarkable stability of the questionnaire to best achieve the research objectives. Statistical methods have been used to analyze the collected data through the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS Version 25). The lower and upper limits of the cell length are shown in Table 3.

Domains	No. of items	Alpha Cronbach
First domain	20	0.98
Second domain	21	0.98
Third domain	13	0.96
Fourth domain	36	0.96
Whole questionnaire (general reliability)		0.97

Agreement level	Cell length	Decoding
Very high	1.00-1.79	1
High	1.80-2.59	2
Medium	2.60-3.39	3
Weak	3.40-4.19	4
Very weak	4.20-5.00	5

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section contains the description of the main findings. It also interprets the findings of the study. The first domain was the reality of crisis management at PSAU to face emergency crises (Covid-19 as a model). Table 4 shows the results for the first domain.

No.	Items	Means	Standard Deviations	Order	Explanation
1	The university administration is preparing an integrated plan for crisis management.	2.11	1.379	1	High
2	There are clear procedures and instructions for dealing with crises.	2.22	1.324	4	High
3	All university employees are aware of the goal of the crisis management plans.	2.33	1.343	9	High
4	Warning tools and equipment are available to anticipate crises.	2.22	1.141	5	High
5	There are leaders at the university who are highly skilled at predicting crises.	2.11	1.236	2	High
6	The university is keen to prepare a training plan for its employees to deal with crises.	2.22	1.256	6	High
7	The university administration defines specific roles for university employees to deal with crises.	2.33	1.256	10	High
8	There is coordination between the university and civil society to manage crises.	2.22	1.256	7	High
9	Sufficient information is available for university leaders to predict future crises.	2.11	1.256	3	High
10	The university seeks for the initiative to collect correct and accurate information related to crises.	2.22	0.949	8	High
11	A historical record of past experiences is available that includes findings from past crises.	2.33	0.881	11	High
12	The university is interested in attending conferences and seminars on crisis management.	2.78	1.141	15	Medium
13	Adequate preparation is available to prevent crises from occurring or recurring.	2.67	0.822	14	Medium
14	University seeks the help of experts and specialists from outside the university when preparing a crisis management plan.	3.00	0.949	18	Medium
15	Setting regulations and systems for safety and risk prevention to be circulated to university employees.	2.56	1.174	13	High
16	The availability of material and human resources to deal with crises when these arise.	2.78	1.038	16	High

17	Continuous updating and development of databases according to new crises.	2.44	1.174	12	High
18	The university is working on the participation of its employees in preparing operational plans to face crises.	3.11	1.108	19	Medium
19	Civil society institutions provide the university with indicators to predict crises and prepare for facing these.	2.78	0.633	17	Medium
20	The university formulates possible scenarios before the occurrence of crises.	3.22	1.038	20	Medium
Mean of the whole first domain items.		2.56	1.26		High

As shown in Table 4, the mean for all the items of the first domain is 2.56 with a standard deviation of 1.26. It indicates a high degree of approval of this domain. It is found that the university has many steps and procedures in supporting the current reality that can be relied upon in facing crises. Item No. 1 comes in the first place with a mean of 2.11 and a standard deviation of 1.37 with a high degree of approval. It shows the requirement of including a complete and clear plan for crisis management to face crises. The frequency of distribution for the first domain is shown in Table 5.

Explanation	Approval Degree						Domain		
	S. d	Means	Objected	Disagree	Neutral	Agree			Strongly Agree
Approval	1.26	2.56	8	8	16	24	16	Frequencies	The reality of crisis management
			11.1	11.1	22.2	33.3	22.2	Percentages	

Table 5 shows that 55.5% of the research sample agrees with a very high degree. It indicates that the reality of crisis management at PSAU is possible. Furthermore, this result is verified by the Chi-square test. Table 6 shows the results.

First Domain	Chi-square Value	Freedom Degree	P-value
The reality of crisis management at Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University.	12.44	4	0.014

It is evident from Table 6 that the level of significance (0.014) is more than 1%. It indicates that the research sample individuals believe that the reality of crisis management at PSAU to face emergency crises (Covid-19 as a model) is possible to a high degree.

The second domain was managing crises while they are occurring (information, communication, and decision-making). Table 7 shows the results for the second domain.

No.	Items	Mean	Standard Deviation	Order	Explanation
1	There is a specialized department to collect information about the university for use in crisis management.	2.67	1.256	20	Medium
2	Qualified personnel are available to handle the information to manage the crisis.	2.33	1.163	8	High
3	The information is updated continuously to help manage the crisis.	2.56	1.266	14	High
4	Information can be obtained easily.	2.56	1.073	15	High
5	All data and information related to the crisis are analyzed.	2.56	1.073	16	High
6	Communication exists between the information gathering team and decision-makers.	2.56	1.073	17	High
7	Crisis management team members are given confidence in their work during a crisis.	2.11	0.881	3	High

8	Crisis information is clear and transparent.	2.63	1.228	19	Medium
9	The university has rapid contact systems to help manage crises.	2.11	0.742	4	High
10	The university administration takes steps of scientific thinking when making decisions to face crises.	2.44	1.174	12	High
11	The crises faced by the university shall be controlled and prevented from spreading within an appropriate period.	2.33	1.256	9	High
12	The causes of crises are studied internally and externally so that these do not affect again if they occur.	2	1.236	2	High
13	Crisis team members are distinguished by the competency and skills to face crises as they arise.	.781	1.266	1	Very High
14	Information and communications are confidential during crises.	2.56	0.963	18	High
15	The university employs are qualified personnel to deal with communications devices in times of crisis.	2.44	1.205	13	High
16	Communication between different university departments increases in times of crises.	2.89	1.001	21	Medium
17	Various media are used to reach the correct information to prevent the spread of rumors about the crises.	2.11	1.001	5	High
18	The university administration determines an official spokesperson during the crisis.	2.33	1.061	10	High
19	The university administration consults with all parties involved in the crisis to take the appropriate decision.	2.11	1.001	6	High
20	The university makes its decisions about the crisis after an analysis of the information.	2.33	1.061	11	High
21	The needs of university employees are taken into consideration when making decisions related to the crisis.	2.22	0.923	7	High
	Mean of the whole second domain items.	2.11	0.74		High

It is evident from Table 7 that the mean for all the items of the second domain is 2.11 with a standard deviation of 0.74, which indicates the high degree of approval of the research sample on this domain. It is concluded that the research sample individuals believe that the university provides the necessary information to face crises when they occur. It tries to overcome administrative problems on an ongoing basis through continuous communication methods. The frequency of distribution of the second domain is shown in Table 8.

Item		Approval Degree					Means	St. dv	Explanation
		Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Objected			
Managing crises while these are occurring.	Frequencies	16	32	16	0	0	2.11	0.74	Approval
	Percentage	22.2	44.4	33.3	0	0			

As shown in Table 8, 66.6% of the research sample is agreed that the university provides the necessary information to face crises during their occurrence. Furthermore, Chi-square is calculated to verify the results shown in Table 9.

Second domain	Chi-square value	Freedom degree	P-value
Managing crises while they are occurring (information, communication, and decision-making).	5.33	2	0.70

It is shown in Table 9 that the level of significance of 0.07 is more significant than 5%. It indicates that the members of the research sample believe that the university overcomes administrative problems.

The third domain was after crises. Table 10 shows the results for the third domain.

No.	Items	Means	Standard deviations	Order	Explanations
1	All information about the crisis is organized and arranged for reference when needed.	2.33	1.061	2	High
2	The university fully treats the causes of crises, ensuring that they will rarely occur in the future.	2.44	1.073	5	High
3	Work continues as expected after the crisis has passed.	2.67	1.163	10	High
4	The damage caused by the crises is evaluated.	2.56	0.963	6	High
5	Appropriate mechanisms are available to work after a crisis.	2.11	0.742	1	High
6	The effects resulting from facing the crisis are removed, and the situation will be restored to what it was before the crisis.	2.38	0.864	4	High
7	Leaders undertake crisis management with a high degree of professional competence to benefit from previous crisis experiences.	2.56	1.266	7	High
8	The university evaluates previous crisis management plans and programs intending to develop and improve these.	2.56	1.073	8	High
9	Provide recommendations and suggestions for taking advantage of the negatives and positives.	2.89	1.001	12	Medium
10	The university benefits from other universities in methods of dealing with crises.	2.56	0.837	9	High
11	The university provides an opportunity for its employees to improve their performance in dealing with future crises.	2.75	0.836	11	Medium
12	Buildings, facilities, and fixtures are evaluated for safety after a crisis.	2.33	0.822	3	High
13	The university provides incentives for workers to come up with new ideas that help manage crises.	2.89	0.742	13	Medium
	The mean of the whole items.	2.56	0.96		High

As illustrated in Table 10, the mean for all the statements of the third domain is 2.56 with a standard deviation of 0.96 indicating a high approval degree of the research sample on this domain. It reflects the possibility of avoiding the effects resulting from the crises and evading their recurrence again. The frequency distribution for the third domain is shown in Table 11.

Item		Approval degree					Means	St. dv	Explanation
		Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Objected			
What is after crises	Frequencies	8	32	16	16	0	2.56	1.26	Approval
	Percentage	11.1	44.4	22.2	22.2	0			

As shown in Table 11, 55.5% of the research sample agrees with a high degree with the procedures that the university takes after the occurrence of crises.

The fourth domain was the obstacles that PSAU faced managing administrative operations during the Covid-19 crisis. The results are shown in Table 12.

No.	Items	Means	St. dev.	Order	Explanation
1	The lack of a clear vision of transferring the administrative process during the crisis to electronic management.	2.78	1.141	32	Medium
2	Some university employees are not aware of the existence of a crisis.	2.33	0.671	20	High
3	Not forming crisis management teams.	2.89	1.205	34	Medium
4	The lack of clear administrative regulations based on electronic procedures instead of paper during exposure to the Coronavirus crisis.	2.89	1.205	35	Medium

5	The absence of specific crisis management agencies at the university level.	2.56	1.174	27	High
6	Centralization of decisions is needed for the management method during crises.	1.89	0.881	3	High
7	Slow management of decisions as the events of the Corona crisis progress.	2.50	1.333	26	High
8	Lack of alternative management plans during crises.	2.67	1.343	29	Medium
9	Lack of planning for administrative crises.	2.33	0.949	21	High
10	The weak conviction of some university employees in using electronic management in crises.	1.89	0.571	4	High
11	The insufficient skills of some university employees in employing technology in management during crises.	2.00	0.671	11	High
12	Lack of knowledge of methods of dealing with crises at the beginning of their occurrence.	1.89	0.571	5	High
13	Powers overlap during crises and the lack of exact powers.	2.56	1.266	28	High
14	The lack of specialized courses to train university employees to deal with electronic administrative systems.	2.22	1.038	17	High
15	Some university employees have complained about the problems of employing technology in management.	1.79	1.038	2	Very High
16	The limited use of university employees for electronic administrative systems in the standard work of the university.	2.33	1.256	22	Medium
17	Resistance to change from some university employees in the transition to electronic management in crises.	2.11	0.742	15	High
18	Lack of moral and material incentives for technically distinguished university employees.	1.89	0.742	6	High
19	Inadequate training and technical development for university employees.	2.22	0.923	18	High
20	The absence of a culture of dealing with electronic administrative systems among university employees.	1.89	0.571	7	High
21	Lack of solutions to the beneficiaries' problems when switching to electronic management.	1.89	0.742	8	High
22	Lack of evidence demonstrating risk management during crisis exposure.	2.00	0.671	12	High
23	Frequent communication and communication problems among university employees to organize administration in times of crisis.	1.67	0.475	1	Very high
24	Weak technical infrastructure in the university to ensure the stability of electronic administrative systems.	2.67	1.256	30	Medium
25	Weak technical support services are provided to university employees.	2.44	1.433	23	High
26	Lack of user manuals for the electronic management systems used in the department.	2.78	1.236	33	Medium
27	There are problems with the internet service and its stability that affect performance, especially during crises.	2.00	1.163	13	High
28	The lack of readiness of electronic management systems to deal with the crises.	2.44	1.433	24	High
29	Problems in transferring and exchanging information from the university to external agencies related to the crisis.	2.22	1.038	19	High
30	Insufficient qualification and necessary training for university employees in the field of crisis management.	2.11	1.205	16	High
31	The lack of sufficient powers granted to university authorities to deal effectively with crises.	1.89	0.742	9	High
32	The lack of technical capabilities for differentiation between various administrative alternatives.	1.89	0.571	10	High
33	The university is limited to some electronic administrative systems, with no alternatives during crises.	2.00	0.475	14	High
34	Weak privacy and security measures in electronic management systems.	3.00	1.343	36	Medium
35	Lack of cooperation with local community authorities regarding the procedures followed during exposure to crises.	2.67	1.256	31	Medium
36	Lack of dedicated crisis management units such as risk management or crisis management.	2.44	1.352	25	High
	Mean of the whole fourth domain items.	2.11	0.742		Very high

Table 12 demonstrates that the mean score for all items of the fourth domain is 1.38 with a standard deviation of 0.53. It indicates a very high degree of approval of the research sample on this domain. It is concluded that the research sample individuals strongly support the presence

of many obstacles that prevent the university from carrying out its duties towards crises. The frequency distribution for the fourth domain is shown in Table 13.

Item		Approval Degree					Means	St. dv	Explanation
		Strongly agreed	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Objected			
The obstacles that faced Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University to manage administrative operations during the (Covid-19) crisis.	Frequencies	16	32	16	0	0	2.11	0.74	Approval
	Percentages	22.2	44.4	33.3	0	0			

As determined in Table 13, 66.6% of the research sample agrees to a high degree that administrative and technical obstacles exist that prevent confronting and handling the crises at the university. This supports the aim of the current study emphasizing the necessity of relying on an independent unit to manage crises and overcome those obstacles.

A Proposed Vision for Establishing a Crisis Management Unit

The present study proposes to establish a crisis management unit at PSAU to re-engineer administrative processes to address emergency crises like the Covid-19 situation. It relies on a constructive approach to building that perception. This approach is defined as ‘an approach followed in creating and developing a new program or knowledge structure that was not previously known in the same way’ (Aga & Alaustaz, 2004). The proposed vision is to plan and be prepared to address the crisis faced by the university. It reduces educational losses resulting from mismanagement during an emergency or anticipated crisis. It further prepares leaders and qualified personnel in crisis management. It spreads knowledge and awareness of administrative crisis management and clarifies the application of crisis management strategy. It increases the efficiency of the administrative process during unusual times of environmental crises, epidemics, or other calamities. It studies the expected crises and prepares the plans, programs, and procedures necessary to confront them. It continues the evaluation of crises after their occurrence to avoid exposure to these in the future. It will achieve outstanding performance in managing administrative crises at PSAU. It will predict future crises, reducing losses resulting from crises, and raising the professionalism of those responsible for addressing crises.

The proposed plan was presented in its initial form to a group of referees to have their suggestions and opinions. Considering their observations and suggestions, some amendments were made. The final arrangement is shown in Figure 1.

The findings show that PSAU needs several measures to deal with crises. It includes a complete and clear plan for crisis management to address catastrophes. The findings show that the reality of crisis management at the university to tackle emergency crises (Covid-19 as a model) is possible to a high degree. It is determined that the university provides the necessary information to face crises during their occurrence and tries to overcome administrative problems on an ongoing basis through continuous communication methods. It also reflects the possibility of avoiding the effects of such crises by evading their recurrence again. However, it has been

determined that individuals from the research sample strongly support the presence of many obstacles that prevent the university from carrying out its duties towards crises. It has supported the necessity of relying on an independent unit to manage crises and overcome obstacles.




FIGURE 1
THE SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF UNIT ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study recommends the establishment of a crisis management unit in all education sectors dealing with administrative and educational crises. It is, further, suggested to pay attention to the continuous development of professional competencies in crisis management. It is important to initiate the role of social media in raising awareness of crises. There is a dire need to update the information and data and activate the electronic communication means to facilitate administrative work in crises.

REFERENCES

- Adams, C.M., & Kritsonis, W.A. (2006). An analysis of secondary schools' crisis management preparedness: National implications. *Online Submission, 1*(1).
- Aga, I., & Alaustaz, M. (2004). *Introduction to the design of educational research*.
- Al-Hawri, A. (2019). A proposal for establishing a Crisis Management Unit at the Ministry of Education in the Republic of Yemen in light of some Arab and international experiences. *The Jordanian Journal of Educational Sciences, 15*(3).
- Ali, K. (2016). Crisis management by heads of academic departments. *Journal of the Faculty of Basic Education, 22*(94), 705-724.
- Al-Mikhlifi, T.R. (2020). *Developments in education in Saudi Arabia after the Corona pandemic*.
- Al-Sakarneh, B.K. (2013). *Organizational and administrative development*.
- Al-Yahya, S. (2006). Crisis management in the intermediate government schools for girls in Madinah. *King Saud University Journal, 19*(1).

- Al-Zavi, W. (2011). *Crisis management for the directors of public and private schools in Taif*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Umm Al-Qura University, Saudi Arabia.
- Ben, B.L. (2016). *The impact of modern approaches to organizational change on human resource development, process re-engineering approach*.
- Davis, B.M., Markel, H., Navarro, A., Wells, E., Monto, A.S., & Aiello, A.E. (2015). The effect of reactive school closure on community influenza-like illness counts in the state of Michigan during the 2009 H1N1 pandemic. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 60(12), e90-e97.
- Harush, N. (2016). *Management science from the traditional school to engineering*. Dar Al-Ayyam for Publishing and Distribution.
- Mohammad, Y. (2016). *Strategic planning and a major in crisis management: A field study on the University of Science and Technology of Sana'a*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Arab Academy for Banking and Financial Sciences, Sana'a Yemen.
- Okell, W., & Khalidi, R. (2016). Re-engineering of administrative processes and their role in achieving competitive advantage. *International Journal of Economic Performance, University of M'hamed Bougara Boumerdes*, 3.
- Rifai, M.M. (1998). The role of crises in re-engineering operations. third annual conference on disaster and crisis management. *Crisis Research Unit, Ain Shams University, College of Commerce*.
- Saqr, A. (2009). *The degree of availability of crisis management skills for the managers of UNRWA Schools in Gaza and ways to develop them*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Islamic University of Gaza, Palestine.
- Wahhabi, K. (2018). Process re-engineering as an entrance to enhance competitive advantage. *Journal of Financial, Accounting, and Administrative Studies, Arabi Bin Mahidi Oum El-Bouaghi University*, 10.
- Zoubi, M. (2014). The degree of availability of crisis management elements in the directorates of education in the province of Irbid from the point of view of department heads. *Dirasat*, 41(1).