

# AN INVESTIGATION ON BRAND BOYCOTT: UNDERSTANDING NEGATIVE PSYCHOLOGY OF CONSUMERS IN THE ERA OF DIGITAL MARKETING

**Ram Komal Prasad, National Institute of Industrial Engineering**  
**Sanjeev Verma, National Institute of Industrial Engineering**

## ABSTRACT

*Purpose: In the present conceptual paper, we explore the emergent anti-consumption attitude against brands and make out marketing policies and strategies concerning at the pursuance for consumer commitment issues. We discuss how anti-consumption and anti-brand actions between marketers and consumer are challenged even for accepted branding truths and paradigms. We discuss how marketing seems more like public relations; where the brand building gives way to brand fortification We demonstrate that a different negative emotion has different behavioural value related to brands (brand change, brand rejections, brand criticizeing etc.) and fills the gap in negative emotion research related to brands and provides conceptual evidence about the influence of these negative emotions on consumers' behavioural psychology and relevant outcomes for marketing.*

*Design/methodology/approach: Innovation oriented objectives are searched out by using phenomenological methods (interview to the respondent) over more structured approaches to an inquiry on the subject. Consumers' lived experiences with brands, the technique was also better suited to the task of establishing consumer the validity of the brand relationship proper information was chosen to maximize chances of uncovering insight on brand-related phenomena. Variations in age, academics, gender, income cadre, profession etc. allowed attention to socio-cultural factors and psychology driving relationship behaviours in both interpersonal and consumer behaviour domains.*

*Findings: The final step in preliminary specification of the consumer-brand negativity concerns to the development of an indicator of overall relationship quality, depth, and strength. Judging from research in the interpersonal field, an informed relationship quality construct is identified that can serve as a meaningful starting point for the comprehensive brand negativity framework. Brand negativity plans to study variable in the human psychological relationships in literature. It has been shown to predict a range of important dyadic consequences including relationship stability and satisfaction.*

*Practical Implication: Marketers can understand the consumers' negative psychology and design their marketing strategies shooting to consumers' psychology for a positive response. Marketers would analyse the negativity paradigms and devise their marketing efforts in the digital environment where psychology changes rapidly regarding purchase and consumption.*

*Originality/Value: Conceptual investigation of the brand on consumers' negative-psychology that pave the way to boycott and rejection. Brand related psychology always induced in the mindset of consumers in digitally empowered customers.*

**Keywords:** Brand Boycott, Customers Negativity, Consumers' Psychology, Consumer Behaviour.

## INTRODUCTION

In marketing management, consumer behavior principally manages positive utilization of products with various pattern and demeanor. The marketer fulfills purchasers' needs and wants through products and services contributions by distinguishing proof of these necessities and needs, and gives product contributions more adequately and productively than contenders. Comprehensive and fastidious information of buyers and their utilization conduct is basic for a firm to endure, contend, and develop in a focused business condition.

Brands are contained products' name logo, image, or motto. Marketing additionally has an impalpable nature that fills in as a lot of vows to shoppers with respect to trust, consistency, desires, and carrying out (Kotler, 1999) product or services. In shopper conduct, brands are considered as the most significant resource of the organization behind its customers (Ambler, 2000; Doyle, 2001; Jones, 2005). A brand's quality has been seen as impact by purchaser discernments and comprehension about what they have realized, watched, comprehended, and trapped wind of the brand (Keller, 2003).

Brands are profoundly significant resources for firms. Marketers plan to make solid brands with a rich and clear learning structure in buyer memory. It is related with product or service's characteristics and capacities; its imagery has a focal job in contemporary utilization culture in the population (Elliott, 1997). Brands are fit for creating compelling enthusiastic responses, regardless of whether these are sure or negative. A wide scope of positive and negative reactions to brands have just been inspected by numerous analysts for example brand love (Wang et al., 2004; Carroll & Ahuvia, 2006), brand attachment (Thomson et al., 2005; Thomson et al., 2006), brand enthusiasm (Fournier, 1998), brand fulfillment (Oliver, 2000; Fournier & Mick, 1999; Giese & Cote, 2000) and brand delight (Oliver et al., 1997; Durgee, 1999; Swan & Trawick, 1999; Kumar et al., 2001), relating seriously to brands (Fournier & Alvarez, 2013), brand hate (Hegner et al., 2017; Zarantonello et al., 2016), brand repugnance (Park et al., 2017), negative feelings towards brands (Romani et al. 2012). We have understood that there is expanding interest in negative frames of mind of purchasers towards products and brands, for example, resistance and avoidance against utilization (Lee et al., 2009) because of various reasons in economic situations.

Anti-branding practices happen in a great deal of structures; purchaser noncompliance, buyer resistance, boycotting, counter-social developments and non-utilization (Cherrier, 2009). The other explanation may incorporate negative sensation toward brands, negative feelings, strictness, ethnicity, social intrusion, bunch blacklist, aversion and abhorrence, buyer deceit, negative words to mouth, and so on. The normal for every one of these structures is to oppose the quality and effects of brand pictures that structure solid quality trust among shoppers.

These unpleasant brand battles stir individual's attention to unconscious utilization and reshape their obtaining choice. We have seen purchaser negative sensation if there is an occurrence of the swadeshi development (boycott of foreign goods, 1991 by M. Fridman), refreshment including sodas for unreasonable utilization of caffeine, phosphoric corrosive, ethylene glycol, the high name of a pesticide including DDT and Lindane (Coca-Cola, Pepsi 2006 by McKelvey), food outlets (KFC, McDonald by Goyal & Singh, 2007), Chinese products (stop buying/using, 2014), slavery and loot of foreign companies, unpatriotic, causes unemployment and has destructive upon countries' economy (Patanjali ayurveda and consumer products, Agarwal & Agrawalla, 2017) etc. Conversely, there is dearth of conceptual research available on consumers' negative response to brands, consumers' anti-consumption and anti-

brand action as well as brand dissatisfaction. Earlier contributors have contributed on an exploration of other specific brand negative emotions.

### **Evaluation of the Digital Era**

The era of the digital environment and digital convergence has opened up new opportunities for marketers. The era of individualization, networking, and digitalization along with virtual domain is posing new challenges for managers dealing with marketing management. The present era is quite imperative to revisit marketing strategies and practicing them significantly to contribute to the marketing value chain. The upcoming e-marketing discipline is offering new opportunities for innovations, profitability, and affordability in regime of empowered customers. Digital marketing environment is now exploring new tools as internet availability, access to social media, investigating the mind set and perception of customers scrutinize the various component of customers traits and creating a new group of consuming, media has been used as a vehicle of circulation powerful information to users, developing strong customers relations and managing them properly, collecting the feedback from online customers experience etc.

The changing scenario of marketing resulting in a combination of market, company policies with the help of information technologies and formulation of clear strategic platform that can enable organizational development or benchmark process. The era has identified important indicators that result in the designing and development of the right message to consumers and to motivate them towards need creation. Digital enabled marketing can benefit through better consumer segmentation, demand forecasting and consumer analytics. This era is enabling the practicing managers to understand the consumers' demographics; media uses pattern, better campaign management, customer engagement, and consumer interaction. The digital era is providing a platform to organizations to reduce psychological losses and mitigating the impact of any negative consumer remarks or negative information about the product by engaging consumers into some meaningful analysis and conversations.

The web grown-up as a platform for broadcasting information, the reliability, security, and privacy of the customer related information. Now the Web-2.0 concept which attempts to understand the rules for success on a new platform supported by the creation of information user network by facilitating the flow of ideas and knowledge by allowing the efficient generation, dissemination, sharing and refining the information content. As we discuss a powerful branding and successful brand image are very important for any organization that thrives on positioning and consumer-brand relationships.

### **Digital era and Consumer Negativity**

The computerized period is set apart by web based life on brand management. Web-based social networking influence brand management since shoppers have turned out to be urgent to the creators of brand success. There are a plenty of correspondence channels (both conventional and web based life channels) in a dynamic and developing procedure of marketing and consumer behaviour. The qualities of buyer produced brand stories can add to a company's sought after brand meaning yet they can likewise add new importance to a brand that challenges the brand's yearned personality. While firm-created brand stories commonly, steady and reasonable after some time. Shopper produced brand stories are bound to change after some time. Firms are not confined to simply tuning in to buyer created brand stories by observing what is said about the

brand after some time. Firms can likewise attempt to effectively impact purchaser produced brand stories and their effect on brand execution, which is spoken to by the bolt among brands and customers. They can animate and advance shopper created brand stories that advantage the brand, just as respond to negative purchaser produced brand stories that damage the brand. They may further utilize buyer produced brand stories to supplement their very own accounts. Accordingly, firms may profit by organizing shopper created brand stories with their very own accounts to guarantee a brand's accomplishment in the commercial centre.

Meanwhile, buyer created brand stories that are spread through web-based social networking may likewise influence purchasers' informal organizations. New associations between shoppers could emerge on the grounds that customers trade their image stories and get, refine, and further scatter the brand stories told by different purchasers. Similarly, buyers collaborate with brands by recounting to mark stories, and purchaser brand systems are built up that can be seen by different shoppers and the firm.

Furthermore, systems of brands may happen in light of the fact that shoppers recount anecdotes about different brands or when brands supporter with one another or threaten each other in recounting to their accounts. At long last, the effect of online life on customer created brand stories and brand execution may rely upon marketing qualities, firm/brand attributes and buyer brand relationship qualities. These attributes may impact how solid brands are influenced by online life and how viably they can explore the internet based life state of the consumers. For instance, high perceive-ability of utilization should make marks progressively powerless to online networking as a result of the open idea of the utilization procedure and, therefore, shoppers' high buy choice association. Then again, for brands that are for the most part connected with private utilization, online life ought to be less significant.

### **Negative Sensation toward Brands and Consumers behavioural Concerns**

Most of conduct speculations on purchasers have some component of comprehension about them, in that they propose that customers use recollections about brands here and there, shape or structure to choose brands from the huge determination of choices. The buyer decision procedure can be considered to comprise of two phases before buy. The main aim is recognizing reasonable choices of favoured brands, which comprise the thought set (thought); the second is to pick a choice from the thought set (choice) (Howard & Sheth, 1969; Nedungadi, 1990). A few scholars have suggested that negative convictions may add to every one of these phases in an alternate manner, with compensatory and non-compensatory models normally used to clarify these two procedures (Lussier & Olshavsky, 1979; Louis Isadore Kahn & Baron, 1995; Reed, 1996). For instance, non-compensatory models would recommend that shoppers take out brands during the thought procedure dependent on negative convictions about brands, or by surveying brands and barring them dependent on the way that they don't meet determination criteria (Kahn & Baron, 1995; Kalamas et al., 2008). Compensatory models, then again, would propose that negative data is used related to positive data to assess a brand (Kahn & Baron, 1995; Kalamas et al., 2008). The two sorts of models lead to various ramifications about how negative convictions will fit into the procedure. While non-compensatory and compensatory models clarify how negative discernments may impact brand thought and choice, there is likewise proof that negative recognitions are a consequence of past utilization of a brand. Such input could impact either the idea or decisions organize inside what's to come.

Most of conduct speculations on customers have some component of discernment about them, in that they propose that buyers use recollections about brands here and there, shape or

structure to choose brands from the wide scope of choices. The shopper decision procedure can be considered to comprise of two phases before buy. The main is recognizing appropriate choices of favoured brands, which establish the thought set (thought); the second is to pick a choice from the thought set (determination) (Howard & Sheth, 1969; Nedungadi, 1990). A few scholars have suggested that negative convictions may add to every one of these phases in an alternate manner, with compensatory and non-compensatory models ordinarily used to clarify these two procedures (Lussier & Olshavsky, 1979; Kahn & Baron, 1995; Reed, 1996). For instance, non-compensatory models would propose that buyers dispense with brands during the thought procedure dependent on negative convictions about brands, or by evaluating brands and barring them dependent on the way that they don't meet determination criteria (Kahn & Baron, 1995; Kalamas et al., 2008). Compensatory models, then again, would recommend that negative data is used related to positive data to assess a brand (Kahn & Baron, 1995; Kalamas et al., 2008). The two kinds of models lead to various ramifications about how negative convictions will fit into the procedure. While non-compensatory and compensatory models clarify how negative observations may impact brand thought and choice, there is likewise proof that negative recognitions are an after effect of past use of a brand. Such input may impact either the thought or choice stage later on.

### **Anti-Consumption within Symbolic Consumption**

Our new structure would map congruency connections between conceivable selves, product symbolism and the three situations in connection to (against) - utilization, showing how social and individual conditions are vital to the creation and flow of brand implications in the commercial centre. It would be corresponding nature of the connections among utilization and against utilization, indicating how the connection between dislikes (Bourdieu, 1984) and the undesired self (Ogilvie, 1987) gives the navigational signs and co-ordinates for the genuine (Ogilvie, 1987) or conceivable selves (Markus & Nurius, 1986). The new system additionally tries to show just because, the reflexive and intelligent nature of the between connection among utilization and hostile to utilization inside representative utilization, which has not been conceptualized before inside the more extensive ecological settings.

The new system likewise catches the dynamic connection between large scale (for example condition) and smaller scale (for example singular) level highlights buyer worries, for example, about the moral sourcing of products, the earth and the effect of globalization outline the strains created by the logic between the full scale and the small scale negativity. These talks regularly identify with different parts of hostile to utilization, for example, customer strengthening (Shaw & Brailsford, 2006), downshifting and intentional effortlessness (Schor, 1998). Be that as it may, there is digressive arrangement of the self in connection to the more extensive natural and worldwide settings. Individual purposes behind specific kinds of utilization can have more extensive social ramifications, which can bring about potential clashes between specific parts of self.

### **Anti Brand Belief**

Shoppers express their characters through the brands they use; they believe in general maintaining a strategic distance from positively products and brands in light of the confusion between the brand and their self-ideas. Aaker, (1999) and Krishnamurthy & Küçük (2009) characterize these particular concerns less than three essential classifications which are

experiential evasion come about because of neglected desires, personality shirking drove by emblematic incongruence and good shirking by ideological inconsistency. At the point when a specific brand or product doesn't fulfil purchaser's desires, it redirects buyer inclination to different options after this negative involvement. Certain products or brands show the picture of undesired self in shopper's discernment; a buyer may oppose obtaining these products. The results of specific organizations disregarding corporate obligation and certain nations saw as supporting force disparity may be the explanation behind opposing against specific brands. Iyer & Muncy (2008) express that shoppers' adversary of devotion towards specific brands or product passes on a person's promise to the evasion of purchasing a brand in light of pessimistic experience identified with it and saw inadequacy related with product, accordingly close to home and social elements assume pivotal jobs in framing buyer's buying choice and decide customer's disposition towards specific products and brands.

### **Ethnocentricity**

Ethnocentric individuals are against foreign brands as they accept that buying these brands will hurt the household economy, cause loss of employments and increment the intensity of industrialist organizations and reliance of more unfortunate economies to them. The disparity and constrained reliance that worldwide organizations cause may build the negative frames of mind of buyers against these organizations' products since they boost the act of purchasing from the socially dependable manufacturer (Huneke, 2005). Ethnocentric customers have thought buying foreign brands/products is wrong to be sure shameless (Shimp & Sharma, 1987). Furthermore, their mistreatment upon the economy, they may likewise overlook ecological calamities they cause while battling for less expensive creation and using common assets as though they were interminable. These frames of mind of worldwide organizations could stir the attention to customers and these shoppers may abstain from purchasing the results of the worldwide brands. In this manner, it could be attested that ethnocentric purchasers are progressively sensitive towards outside brands' activities and their products' unsafe impacts on shoppers and this affectability empower their opposition against remote brands. Purchaser's frames of mind impactlessly affect their acquiring conduct and ethnocentric individuals have a partiality against imported products/marks as they are faithful to their very own nation (Shankarmahesh, 2006). There are significant focuses that trigger ethnocentric shoppers to maintain a strategic distance from foreign brands. As a matter of first importance, their affection for their nation and their anxieties for their nation's financial conditions and workforce impede them from purchasing imported brands.

### **Religiosity**

The purchasing conduct isn't just limited by social, political and monetary chances yet additionally affected by social systems of buyers' surroundings (Willer, 2006). Stolz (2009) characterizes strictness as individual inclinations, emotions, convictions, and activities speaking to a current (or independent) religion and in this unique situation. '*Religion*' might be characterized as the entire of social image frameworks dealing with the issues of importance and possibility through an extraordinary reality impacting people's regular day to day existence, values, mentalities, practices, and inclinations. Thinking about its pertinence to the business world, the exploratory job of strictness in clarifying shopper conduct is profoundly valuable in

the present focused worldwide markets (Moschis & Ong, 2011). Responsibility to strict qualities influences shopper obtaining conduct (Francis & Kaldor, 2002; Mokhlis, 2009).

Shopper steadfastness may block purchasers from purchasing brands that are not good with their strict convictions. Online people group boycotting Israel's brands state that customers' purchasing these brands backs up the war bringing about the passing of numerous Palestine unfortunate casualties and these brands are dangers for their strict qualities. Dynamic dialog sheets and useful news about their negative results as far as strictness reinforce the effect and extent of such aggregate characters. While analyzing the importance of strictness to against marking, strict purchaser's basic point may likewise be characterized as improving prosperity regarding confidence, life fulfillment and wellbeing (Moschis & Ong, 2011). Rearranging the material life and expanding otherworldliness are underscored both by certain shoppers favoring hostile to marking and strictness. Strictness bolsters commitment to profound life and separation from common materialistic concerns (Vermeir & Verbeke, 2008). Schwartz & Huismans (1995) look at the ideas of strictness and universalism in their investigation and suggest that solidarity with nature; ecological concerns and broadmindedness are more connected with universalism as opposed to strictness.

Marketing strategists endeavor to establish brands to join remarkable characters (Levy, 1999; Aaker, 1997), to give mental self-view congruency between the brand and the customer and to make passionate associations with shoppers through brands (Smith et al., 2007; Belk & Tumbat, 2005). Purchasers don't generally acknowledge these marketer driven methodologies. They make protection from socially established brand implications take after a continuum of reactions, going from detached to dynamic purchasing practices. Inactive obstruction incorporates types of thriftiness (Connolly & Prothero, 2003; Lastovicka et al., 1999), brand evasion (Banister & Hogg, 2004; Lee et al., 2009), deliberate rearrangements (Leonard-Barton, 1981; Zavestoski, 2002), and willful dispossession (Cherrier & Murray, 2007). Dynamic obstruction incorporate communicating disappointment (Ward & Ostrom, 2006), culture-sticking (Klein & Ettensoe, 1999), boycotting (Sen et al., 2001), partnership centered counter (Barclay et al., 2005), social presentation (Maxwell, 2003), genuineness festivities (Kozinets, 2002), transformative celebrations (Kates, 2003), and hostile to mark activism (Kozinets & Handelman 2004). Hostile to mark activists look for radical monetary, political, and social changes in connection to brands. Monetary changes may incorporate modernizing the practices and strategies of companies (e.g., deficient worker benefits, false marketing/advertising practices).

## **Environmental Concerns**

Recently, worldwide awareness towards environmental condition and biological equalization has risen deferentially because of certain worldwide ecological targets, for example, handling environmental change, protecting the world's common assets or fighting destitution (Campbell, 1990). Schlegelmilch et al. (1996) depict ecological cognizance as a thought process raising people's attention to the contrary natural results related with mankind reckless practices just as organizations, products or marks and reshaping their frames of mind and practices so as to diminish the risks of these operators' tasks upon nature. Ecological awareness may energize hostile to marking. Cherrier (2009) accentuates attention to ecological effects of lavishness and inefficient utilization cause purchasers to respond against customer culture and the brands forcing this culture. Therefore, a naturally cognizant shopper is bound to help the general opponent of utilization of these brands to build their own life fulfilment via thinking about nature. Through the instruction framework, globalization and different media means individuals'

awareness toward natural issues has expanded essentially and their obtaining choices are likewise shaped by thinking about environmental and social supportability (Vermeir & Verbeke, 2008). In this way, earth cognizant shoppers are bound to oppose brands forcing purchaser culture because of their colossal perils upon environmental supportability.

### **Brand Detachment**

Passionate detachment is a procedure by which the psychotic framework settle inside clash by keeping up enthusiastic separation towards others (Horney, 1993), and it more often than not goes before the last disintegration of relational connections. In marketing management the executives, connections among purchasers and brands look somewhat like relational connections (Fournier, 1998) separation can be viewed as a mental state going before the end of the association with a given brand. It is showed by the lessening in utilization, confounding and opposing frame of mind towards the buy and uses viz. dismissal and detachment. Brand separation is characterized as

*"The psychological state of distance with regards to brand resulting from the weakening or the dissolution of the affective bond existing between the consumer and the brand".*

This mental is successful and intellectual, just as conduct.

### **Negative Brand Belief**

Most consumer behaviour theories incorporate the idea that conduct hypotheses fuse the possibility that purchasers assess brands as per their positive and negative angles (Lussier & Olshavsky, 1979; Fishbein et al., 1980; Biehal & Chakravarti, 1986; Bagozzi & Warshaw, 1990; Kahn & Baron, 1995; Moorthy et al., 1997). In marketing examines where respondents are incited with a brand and asked what convictions they hold (Krishnan, 1996), both positive and negative convictions are inspired. Essentially, when given negative convictions and asked which brands are connected to those, customers can evoke brands, even in a free-reaction setting (Bird & Ehrenberg, 1970; Woodside & Trappey, 1992; Winchester & Romaniuk, 2003). While it is apparent that shoppers do hold negative convictions about brands, it isn't clear what the relationship is between buy conduct and such convictions. Along these lines, understanding the commitment these settle on to the purchaser decision procedure is a significant region of research. The disregard of negative brand convictions might be because of the supposition that negative reactions pursue perfect inverse examples to non-negative characteristics. Consequently, if brand customers are bound to give positive convictions about a brand (Barwise & Ehrenberg, 1985), at that point they will be more averse to give negative convictions about brands. Be that as it may, investigations of the negative side of develop in different zones of marketing examination recommend that this suspicion might be unwarranted. For instance, disappointment is currently viewed as a different build from low fulfilment (LaBarbera & Mazursky, 1983) and marketer aggravation is viewed as particular from amiability (Greyser, 1973; Aaker & Bruzzone, 1985). This recommends the negative conviction side ought to be the subject of a particular investigation to test the job and commitment that negative convictions play in the purchaser decision process.



## Negative Information and Consumer Decision Making

Most buyer conduct speculations have some component of comprehension about them, in that they recommend that buyers use recollections about brands here and there, shape or structure to choose brands from a wide scope of choices. Extensively, the purchaser decision procedure can be considered to comprise of two phases before buy. The main is distinguishing reasonable alternatives for favoured brands, which establish the thought set (thought); the second is to pick a choice from the thought set (Howard & Sheth, 1969; Nedungadi, 1990). A few scholars have suggested that negative convictions may add to every one of these phases in an alternate manner, with compensatory and non-compensatory models ordinarily used to clarify these two procedures (Lussier & Olshavsky, 1979; Kahn & Baron, 1995; Moorthy et al., 1997). For instance, non-compensatory models would recommend that buyers wipe out brands during the thought procedure dependent on negative convictions about brands, or by evaluating brands and barring them dependent on the way that they don't meet choice criteria (Kahn & Baron, 1995).

Compensatory models, then again, would propose that negative data is used related to positive data to assess a brand (Kahn & Baron, 1995). The two sorts of models lead to various ramifications about how negative convictions will fit into the procedure. While non-compensatory and compensatory models clarify how negative observations may impact brand thought and determination, there is likewise proof that negative discernments are an after effect of past utilization of a brand. Such input may impact either the thought or choice stage later on.

Bird & Ehrenberg (1970) point out that there are three kinds of client bunches for any brand in the market. The first is '*current customers*'; these are customers who at present have the brand in their collection. The second is '*past customers*'; these are customers who have encountered the brand previously, yet never again have the brand as a component of their collection of favoured brands. The third is the individuals who have not had any real client involvement with the brand; Bird & Ehrenberg (1970) allude to them as the '*never attempted*'. Negative convictions, used along these lines, would expel unsuitable brands from the decisions accessible, leaving the purchaser with fewer brands in their thought set (Moorthy et al., 1997). This has suggestions for which customers would be relied upon to have more significant levels of negative convictions. On the off chance that negative convictions are used to reject brands before thought, the customer will never get the chance to encounter the brand. This would imply that purchasers who have never utilized a brand are the destined to hold negative convictions about that brand (Keller, 1993).

## Brand Hate

Marketing scholars/ researchers have generally accentuated the positive parts of utilization, and professionals have been particularly most keen on handy ramifications of the positive types of learning as opposed to the negative ones; for instance, getting whether and to what degree buyers are eager to purchase or utilize an organization's product has been a higher priority than understanding why they are not slanted to do as such (Dalli & Romani, 2006). Research on positive feelings toward brands is, in this manner, immense and settled (Bagozzi, 2000; Laros & Steenkamp, 2005; Richins, 1997). Recently, researchers have concentrated on brand love, which, maybe, is the most serious positive feeling that customers feel toward brands (Batra et al., 2012; Carroll & Ahuvia, 2006). Shoppers who love a brand are basic focuses for organizations, as they are increasingly faithful, progressively slanted to speak well about the brand and increasingly impervious to negative data (Batra et al., 2012). On the other hand, the

exploration on negative feelings toward brands is rare (Dalli & Romani, 2006; Fournier & Alvarez, 2013; Romani et al., 2012; Leventhal et al., 2014). However the idea of brand hate, which is, may be, the most serious and significant negative feeling that purchasers may feel toward brands, has to a great extent been dismissed as an object of research. As of late, the importance of brand abhor has been called attention to by a few promoting researchers. In any event three distinctive research streams have called for more investigation into the job of solid, negative sentiments that customers experience toward brands.

To begin with, the brand relationship writing has as of late called for more investigations into negative buyer brand connections (Fournier & Alvarez, 2013; Park et al., 2013). Second, the writing on hostile to mark networks has indicated that purchasers accumulate in "*hate groups*" to express their negative sentiments toward brands, share negative encounters with different shoppers and (now and again) plan and make a move against the objectives of their detest (Hollenbeck & Zinkhan, 2010; Krishnamurthy & Kucuk, 2009). This marvel appears to concern particularly marks that is especially adored by purchasers, as they are frequently the ones detested the most, as indicated by the alleged "*negative twofold peril*" (Kucuk, 2008). Third, the services marketing writings has demonstrated how shoppers create detest emotions when they experience scenes of services disappointment (Grégoire et al., 2009; Johnson et al., 2013). These sentiments bring about negative ramifications for the organization and the brand, with customers abstaining from belittling the brand or speaking seriously about it. Notwithstanding scholastic writings, the marvel of brand abhor has demonstrated to be significant in managerial settings also. For instance, in 2007 and 2012, Apple was associated with work embarrassments with its production network, Foxconn Technology Group in China, on account of poor working conditions. Local minimal effort aircrafts, for example, Spirit Airlines, Ryan-air and Easy-jet have been broadly censured for low quality assistance, deficient security conditions and general terrible treatment of explorers. In the design business, Abercrombie and Fitch has frequently been sentenced for the organization's ability to enlist just gorgeous, model-like individuals as shop partners in their stores and for needing their image to be worn by non-stout individuals. All through every one of these models, organizations face continuous shopper negative emotions toward their brands. These emotions, which can't be precisely portrayed as the nonattendance of brand love or purchaser disappointment, regularly ordinarily bring about customer support decrease or discontinuance, whining or in any event, boycotting activities. Understanding buyers' solid negative emotions toward brands can assist organizations with reacting viably to brand despise and potentially anticipate it. Intellectual marketing research writing perspectives abhor for the most part as an intricate feeling, comprising of a few essential as well as auxiliary feelings. Be that as it may, there is less clearness on the particular sorts of feelings establishing despise.

In addition, clinicians concur that ethical infringement speak to the most widely recognized predecessor of despise, despite the fact that they recognize the presence of other potential causes, for example, the apparent loner (as far as character) between one individual and the objective of hate. As for the potential results of abhor, intellectual research writing shows that distinctive social inclinations are related with detest. To adapt to felt hate, individuals may assault their objective of abhor (assault systems), separation themselves from the object of their detest (evasion methodologies) or face the objective (approach procedures).

Johnson et al. (2013) offer a second conceptualization of brand hate. These researchers see "*disdain*" as shoppers' solid restriction to the brand; basically spoke to by the idea of retribution, which can emerge from experienced basic episodes (product or services related). In

their exact investigations, Johnson et al. (2013) show that brand hate is likewise clarified by the feeling of disgrace. They found, truth be told, that felt disgrace goes about as a significant middle person in the process that carries individuals to carry on scornfully. Alba & Lutz (2013) characterize "*brand hatred*" as "*genuine brand disgust*". Brand hatred is utilized to portray a circumstance where the buyer is "*held hostage*" by the organization, for instance, as a result of high exchanging costs, a nearby syndication or some different appearances of leave obstructions. The consequences of this contempt are articulations of purchaser's dissatisfaction by means of online networking, postings on detest destinations on the web and conveying negative impacts in day by day associations with different shoppers. The fourth conceptualization of brand detest originates from the investigation by Romani et al. (2012). These authors treat the sentiment of detest as a feeling descriptor in their build of negative feelings toward brands and view the sentiment of hate as an outrageous type of abhorrence of the brand. At long last, Bryson et al. (2013) characterize brand detest in conventional terms as "*an extraordinary negative passionate effect toward the brand*", which can begin from four potential predecessors: country of origin of brand, customer disappointment with the product, negative generalizations of customers of the brand and corporate social execution. Brand hate brand or even to carry on practices that exhibit this dismissal, with run of the refine practices including negative verbal, boycott, and damage coordinated at the objective of one's image.

## **Brand Rejection**

Brand rejection occurs as a component of the end and improvement of the buyer decision process (Tversky 1972; Abougomaah et al., 1987). In the literature, the shopper decision procedure has been proposed as far as various stages (Lussier & Olshavsky 1979; Abougomaah et al., 1987; Moorthy et al., 1997). By and large, buyers restricted down accessible alternatives in their mindfulness set into a thought set and afterward buyers assess choices in their thought set to settle on a last buy choice. Besides, there is a disposal stage in which buyers need to surrender alternatives and pick their last decision (Narayana & Markin, 1975; Nedungadi, 1990; Hulland, 1992; Desai & Hoyer, 2000). Be that as it may, regardless of this broad hypothetical incorporation of the possibility of disposal of brands, the central enthusiasm of numerous investigations is the procedure of brand incorporation in customer thought set (Lee et al., 2009) rather how brands get rejected in shoppers' decision choices. To address this disregard, the rising brand the dismissal examine zone has some ongoing improvements with respect to why customers reject brands.

## **METHODOLOGY: INTERVIEW AND OBSERVATIONS**

We present the opinions of the respondents who were identified and called for a formals interviews/ enquire on brands negativity in the city of Lucknow (India) across three types of beliefs viz. Hinduism, Islam (Shia & Sunni sects) and Jainism. The religious and cultural practices of the participants were recorded by perusing them in purchase decision process in stores for consumers' products. Brand negativity were apparently found across the religious norms as they were asked to express opinions on brand consumptions across the age, educational qualification, profession, income slab etc. The participants were requested politely to express their ideologies to be used for academic purpose only and thus they express openly without being hesitant on the brand purchase and use issues, discussion were invited on choice of consumers goods, lifestyle goods etc. We found absolute negative attitude that led to boycott of brands of

some promoters and organizations and we analyse the practices through content analysis focusing their attitude as well as inclination to purchase and consume the identified specific products.

### **Consumer Boycotts**

A buyer boycott is

*“An endeavour by at least one gathering to accomplish certain goals by asking singular buyers to avoid making chosen buys in the commercial centre” (Friedman 1985).*

Boycott are of two essential sorts: financial or promoting arrangement boycott expect to change the boycott target’s advertising rehearses, for example, bringing down cost, though the later political or social/moral control (Smith, 1990) boycott endeavour to constrain their objectives toward explicit moral or socially dependable activities, running from mindful business and assembling practices to the help of explicit causes.

Remarkably, boycott are subjectively not quite the same as a person's close to home choice to retain utilization of a decent in that they comprise a composed, aggregate, yet non-compulsory (no conventional assents can be forced on non-compliers) refusal to devour a decent. In this sense, the fundamental a person’s choice to take an interest in a blacklist are like those basic individuals' support in labour developments, for example, strikes (Gallagher & Gramm, 1997), which are sorted out and aggregate yet can’t order singular investment. Earlier research (Garrett, 1987) joins the individual choice to boycott to a scope of different elements, for example, boycott awareness, individual qualities (social obligation), social weight, the believability of the boycott leaders, boycotting costs, and the harmoniousness between boycott objectives and member demeanours. Be that as it may, both the nonappearance of a reasonable hypothetical system and the scarcity of observational help undermine the hugeness of these finding. Next, we draw on social problem hypothesis and reference bunch hypothesis to build up a theoretical model of the individual blacklist choice.

### **FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION**

Negative feelings to brands as customer negative enthusiastic responses evoked by brands are mind boggling substances (extending from principle wellsprings of emblematic implications to accomplices, till focal components in informal organizations and utilization networks). Brand pessimism administers the brains of concerned customers because of different negative psychology research projects as acrimony, hate, ethnicity, detachment, feelings ruled by negative musings, end and improvement of the shopper decision process, negative data is used related to positive data to assess the utility of a brand, appropriate choices and buyer insight and so forth.

Contingent on explicit negative feelings, various results emerge that influence customer and brand connections, brand animosity, substitute accessibility, value war, and objectivity. Blacklist mindfulness, individual qualities, social weight, believability of the boycott leaders, boycotting costs, harmoniousness between boycott objectives and member dispositions and so forth are the key components in advanced marketing time. Buyers are delicate in handling environmental change, protecting the characteristic assets, battling destitution, biological maintainability, and social supportability also in present computerized stage. Singular

inclinations, strict emotions, convictions and qualities, portrayal of existing religion, strict order, frames of mind, practices, and inclinations assume significant job in boycotting marvels. The disjointedness between the brand and their self-ideas, representative incongruence, unsatisfied buyer's desires, moral shirking, ideological contradiction prompts brand boycott in the carefully enabled time. Negative frame of mind towards brands, which structure persevering and purposive shirking and potential responses towards brands, would be compelling and tireless.

## REFERENCES

- Aaker, D.A., & Bruzzone, D.E. (1985). Causes of irritation in advertising. *Journal of Marketing*, 49(2), 47-57.
- Aaker, J.L. (1999). The malleable self: The role of self-expression in persuasion. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 36(1), 45-57.
- Abougomaah, N.H., Schlacter, J.L., & Gaidis, W. (1987). Elimination and choice phases in evoked set formation. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 4(4), 67-72.
- Agarwal, V., & Agrawalla, S. (2017). Patanjali's marketing mix: the monk's new Ferrari. *Emerald Emerging Markets Case Studies*, 7(4), 1-30.
- Alba, J.W., & Lutz, R.J. (2013). Broadening (and narrowing) the scope of brand relationships. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 23(2), 265-268.
- Ambler, T. (2000). Marketing metrics. *Business Strategy Review*, 11(2), 59-66.
- Bagozzi, R.P. (2000). On the concept of intentional social action in consumer behavior. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 27(3), 388-396.
- Bagozzi, R.P., & Warshaw, P.R. (1990). Trying to consume. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 17(2), 127-140.
- Banister, E.N., & Hogg, M.K. (2004). Negative symbolic consumption and consumers' drive for self-esteem: The case of the fashion industry. *European Journal of Marketing*, 38(7), 850-868.
- Barclay, L.J., Skarlicki, D.P., & Pugh, S.D. (2005). Exploring the role of emotions in injustice perceptions and retaliation. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 90(4), 629.
- Barwise, T.P., & Ehrenberg, A.S. (1985). Consumer beliefs and brand usage. *Journal of the Market Research Society*.
- Batra, R., Ahuvia, A., & Bagozzi, R.P. (2012). Brand love. *Journal of Marketing*, 76(2), 1-16.
- Belk, R., & Tumbat, G. (2005). The cult of Macintosh. *Consumption Markets & Culture*, 8(3), 205-217.
- Biehal, G., & Chakravarti, D. (1986). Consumers' use of memory and external information in choice: Macro and micro perspectives. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 12(4), 382-405.
- Bird, M., & Ehrenberg, A.S. (1970). Consumer attitudes and brand usage. *Journal of the Market Research Society*, 12(4), 233-247.
- Bourdieu, P. (1984). A social critique of the judgement of taste. *Traducido del francés por R. Nice. Londres, Routledge*.
- Brailsford, I., & Shaw, D. (2006). You Don'T Have to Be Paranoid to Shop Here But Being Sceptical Helps: Empowered New Zealand Consumers, Past and Present?. *ACR Asia-Pacific Advances*.
- Campbell, J.P. (1990). Modeling the performance prediction problem in industrial and organizational psychology.
- Carroll, B.A., & Ahuvia, A.C. (2006). Some antecedents and outcomes of brand love. *Marketing Letters*, 17(2), 79-89.
- Cherrier, H. (2009). Anti-consumption discourses and consumer-resistant identities. *Journal of Business Research*, 62(2), 181-190.
- Cherrier, H., & Murray, J.B. (2007). Reflexive dispossession and the self: constructing a processual theory of identity. *Consumption Markets & Culture*, 10(1), 1-29.
- Connolly, J., & Prothero, A. (2003). Sustainable consumption: consumption, consumers and the commodity discourse. *Consumption, Markets and Culture*, 6(4), 275-291.
- Dalli, D., & Romani, S. (2006). Consumption experiences and products meanings, éds.
- Desai, K.K., & Hoyer, W.D. (2000). Descriptive characteristics of memory-based consideration sets: influence of usage occasion frequency and usage location familiarity. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 27(3), 309-323.
- Doyle, P. (2001). Shareholder-value-based brand strategies. *Journal of Brand Management*, 9(1), 20-30.
- Durgee, J. (1999). Deep, soulful satisfaction. *Journal of Consumer Satisfaction, Dissatisfaction and Complaining Behavior*, 12.
- Elliott, R. (1997). Existential consumption and irrational desire. *European Journal of Marketing*, 31(3/4), 285-296.

- Fishbein, M., Jaccard, J., Davidson, A.R., Ajzen, I., & Loken, B. (1980). Predicting and understanding family planning behaviors. In *Understanding attitudes and predicting social behavior*. Prentice Hall.
- Fournier, S. (1998). Consumers and their brands: Developing relationship theory in consumer research. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 24(4), 343-373.
- Fournier, S. (1998). Special session summary consumer resistance: societal motivations, consumer manifestations, and implications in the marketing domain. *ACR North American Advances*.
- Fournier, S., & Alvarez, C. (2013). Relating badly to brands. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 23(2), 253-264.
- Fournier, S., & Mick, D.G. (1999). Rediscovering satisfaction. *Journal of Marketing*, 63(4), 5-23.
- Francis, L.J., & Kaldor, P. (2002). The relationship between psychological well-being and Christian faith and practice in an Australian population sample. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 41(1), 179-184.
- Friedman, M. (1985). Consumer boycotts in the United States, 1970-1980: Contemporary events in historical perspective. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 19(1), 96-117.
- Gallagher, D.G., & Gramm, C.L. (1997). Collective bargaining and strike activity.
- Garrett, D.E. (1987). The effectiveness of marketing policy boycotts: Environmental opposition to marketing. *Journal of Marketing*, 51(2), 46-57.
- Giese, J.L., & Cote, J.A. (2000). Defining consumer satisfaction. *Academy of Marketing Science Review*, 1(1), 1-22.
- Goyal, A., & Singh, N.P. (2007). Consumer perception about fast food in India: an exploratory study. *British Food Journal*, 109(2), 182-195.
- Grégoire, Y., Tripp, T.M., & Legoux, R. (2009). When customer love turns into lasting hate: The effects of relationship strength and time on customer revenge and avoidance. *Journal of Marketing*, 73(6), 18-32.
- Greyser, S.A. (1973). Irritation in advertising. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 13(1), 3-10.
- Hegner, S.M., Fenko, A., & Teravest, A. (2017). Using the theory of planned behaviour to understand brand love. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 26(1), 26-41.
- Hollenbeck, C.R., & Zinkhan, G.M. (2010). Anti-brand communities, negotiation of brand meaning, and the learning process: The case of Wal-Mart. *Consumption, Markets and Culture*, 13(3), 325-345.
- Horney, M.A. (1993). Case studies of navigational patterns in constructive hypertext. *Computers & Education*, 20(3), 257-270.
- Howard, J.A., & Sheth, J.N. (1969). The theory of buyer behavior. *New York*, 63.
- Hulland, J.S. (1992). An empirical investigation of consideration set formation. *ACR North American Advances*.
- Huneke, M.E. (2005). The face of the un-consumer: An empirical examination of the practice of voluntary simplicity in the United States. *Psychology & Marketing*, 22(7), 527-550.
- Iyer, R., & Muncy, J.A. (2008). Service recovery in marketing education: It's what we do that counts. *Journal of Marketing Education*, 30(1), 21-32.
- Johnson, Z., Massiah, C., & Allan, J. (2013). Community identification increases consumer-to-consumer helping, but not always. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 30(2), 121-129.
- Jones, R. (2005). Finding sources of brand value: Developing a stakeholder model of brand equity. *Journal of Brand Management*, 13(1), 10-32.
- Kahn, B.E., & Baron, J. (1995). An exploratory study of choice rules favored for high-stakes decisions. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 4(4), 305-328.
- Kalamas, M., Laroche, M., & Makdessian, L. (2008). Reaching the boiling point: Consumers' negative affective reactions to firm-attributed service failures. *Journal of Business Research*, 61(8), 813-824.
- Kates, S.M. (2003). The collective consumer-brand relationship. *ACR North American Advances*.
- Keller, K.L. (2003). Brand synthesis: The multidimensionality of brand knowledge. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 29(4), 595-600.
- Klein, J.G., & Ettensoe, R. (1999). Consumer animosity and consumer ethnocentrism: An analysis of unique antecedents. *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*, 11(4), 5-24.
- Kotler, P. (1999). Political Marketing-Generating Effective Candidates, Campaigns, and Causes. In *Handbook of Political Marketing* (3-18). Sage Publications.
- Kozinets, R.V. (2002). The field behind the screen: Using netnography for marketing research in online communities. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 39(1), 61-72.
- Kozinets, R.V., & Handelman, J.M. (2004). Adversaries of consumption: Consumer movements, activism, and ideology. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 31(3), 691-704.
- Krishna, D. (1996). The Problematic and Conceptual Structure of Classical Indian Thought: About Man, Society and Polity.
- Krishnamurthy, S., & Kucuk, S.U. (2009). Anti-branding on the internet. *Journal of Business Research*, 62(11), 1119-1126.

- Krishnan, H.S. (1996). Characteristics of memory associations: A consumer-based brand equity perspective. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 13(4), 389-405.
- Kucuk, S.U. (2008). Consumer Exit, Voice, and 'Power' on the Internet. *Journal of Research for Consumers*, 15.
- Kumar, A., Olshavsky, R.W., & King, M.F. (2001). Exploring alternative antecedents of customer delight. *Journal of Consumer Satisfaction, Dissatisfaction and Complaining Behavior*, 14, 14.
- LaBarbera, P.A., & Mazursky, D. (1983). A longitudinal assessment of consumer satisfaction/dissatisfaction: the dynamic aspect of the cognitive process. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 20(4), 393-404.
- Laros, F.J., & Steenkamp, J.B.E. (2005). Emotions in consumer behavior: a hierarchical approach. *Journal of Business Research*, 58(10), 1437-1445.
- Lastovicka, J.L., Bettencourt, L.A., Hughner, R.S., & Kuntze, R.J. (1999). Lifestyle of the tight and frugal: Theory and measurement. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 26(1), 85-98.
- Lee, M.S., Motion, J., & Conroy, D. (2009). Anti-consumption and brand avoidance. *Journal of Business Research*, 62(2), 169-180.
- Leonard-Barton, D. (1981). Voluntary simplicity lifestyles and energy conservation. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 8(3), 243-252.
- Leventhal, R.C., Sarkar, A., & Sreejesh, S. (2014). Examination of the roles played by brand love and jealousy in shaping customer engagement. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*.
- Levy, S.J. (1999). *Brands, consumers, symbols and research: Sidney J Levy on marketing*. Sage.
- Lussier, D.A., & Olshavsky, R.W. (1979). Task complexity and contingent processing in brand choice. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 6(2), 154-165.
- Markus, H., & Nurius, P. (1986). Possible selves. *American Psychologist*, 41(9), 954.
- Maxwell, L.E. (2003). Home and school density effects on elementary school children: The role of spatial density. *Environment and Behavior*, 35(4), 566-578.
- McKelvey, S.M. (2006). Coca-Cola vs. PepsiCo-A" Super" Battleground for the Cola Wars?. *Sport Marketing Quarterly*, 15(2), 114.
- Mokhlis, S. (2009). Relevancy and measurement of religiosity in consumer behavior research. *International Business Research*, 2(3), 75-84.
- Moorthy, R.S., Mermoud, A., Baerveldt, G., Minckler, D. S., Lee, P.P., & Rao, N.A. (1997). Glaucoma associated with uveitis. *Survey of Ophthalmology*, 41(5), 361-394.
- Moorthy, S., Ratchford, B.T., & Talukdar, D. (1997). Consumer information search revisited: Theory and empirical analysis. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 23(4), 263-277.
- Moschis, G.P., & Ong, F.S. (2011). Religiosity and consumer behavior of older adults: A study of subcultural influences in Malaysia. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 10(1), 8-17.
- Narayana, C.L., & Markin, R.J. (1975). Consumer behavior and product performance: An alternative conceptualization. *Journal of Marketing*, 39(4), 1-6.
- Nedungadi, P. (1990). Recall and consumer consideration sets: Influencing choice without altering brand evaluations. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 17(3), 263-276.
- Ogilvie, D.M. (1987). The undesired self: A neglected variable in personality research. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52(2), 379.
- Oliver, R.L. (2000). Customer satisfaction with service. *Handbook of Services Marketing and Management*, 247-254.
- Oliver, R.L., Rust, R.T., & Varki, S. (1997). Customer delight: foundations, findings, and managerial insight. *Journal of Retailing*, 73(3), 311-336.
- Park, C.W., Eisingerich, A.B., & Park, J.W. (2013). Attachment–aversion (AA) model of customer–brand relationships. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 23(2), 229-248.
- Reed, E.S. (1996). *Encountering the world: Toward an ecological psychology*. Oxford University Press.
- Richins, M.L. (1997). Measuring emotions in the consumption experience. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 24(2), 127-146.
- Romani, S., Grappi, S., & Dall'Aglio, D. (2012). Emotions that drive consumers away from brands: Measuring negative emotions toward brands and their behavioral effects. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 29(1), 55-67.
- Schlegelmilch, B.B., Bohlen, G.M., & Diamantopoulos, A. (1996). The link between green purchasing decisions and measures of environmental consciousness. *European Journal of Marketing*, 30(5), 35-55.
- Schor, J.B. (1998). *The overspent American: Upscaling, downshifting, and the new consumer*, 10. New York: Basic Books.

- Schwartz, S.H., & Huismans, S. (1995). Value priorities and religiosity in four Western religions. *Social Psychology Quarterly*.
- Sen, S., Gürhan-Canli, Z., & Morwitz, V. (2001). Withholding consumption: A social dilemma perspective on consumer boycotts. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 28(3), 399-417.
- Shankarmahesh, M.N. (2006). Consumer ethnocentrism: an integrative review of its antecedents and consequences. *International Marketing Review*, 23(2), 146-172.
- Shimp, T.A., & Sharma, S. (1987). Consumer ethnocentrism: construction and validation of the CETSCALE. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 24(3), 280-289.
- Smith, S., Fisher, D., & Cole, S.J. (2007). The lived meanings of fanaticism: Understanding the complex role of labels and categories in defining the self in consumer culture. *Consumption Markets & Culture*, 10(2), 77-94.
- Smith, T.W. (1990). *Ethnic images* (No. 19). National Opinion Research Center, University of Chicago.
- Stolz, J. (2009). Explaining religiosity: towards a unified theoretical model 1. *The British Journal of Sociology*, 60(2), 345-376.
- Swan, J., & Trawick, I.F. (1999). Delight on the Nile: an ethnography of experiences that produce delight. *Journal of Consumer Satisfaction, Dissatisfaction and Complaining Behavior*, 12.
- Thomson, C.J., Rindfleisch, A., & Arsel, Z. (2006). Emotional branding and the strategic value of the doppelgänger brand image. *Journal of Marketing*, 70(1), 50-64.
- Thomson, M., MacInnis, D.J., & Park, C.W. (2005). The ties that bind: Measuring the strength of consumers' emotional attachments to brands. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 15(1), 77-91.
- Vermeir, I., & Verbeke, W. (2008). Sustainable food consumption among young adults in Belgium: Theory of planned behaviour and the role of confidence and values. *Ecological Economics*, 64(3), 542-553.
- Wang, C.L., Siu, N.Y., & Hui, A.S. (2004). Consumer decision-making styles on domestic and imported brand clothing. *European Journal of Marketing*, 38(1/2), 239-252.
- Ward, J.C., & Ostrom, A.L. (2006). Complaining to the masses: The role of protest framing in customer-created complaint web sites. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 33(2), 220-230.
- Willer, B., & Leddy, J.J. (2006). Management of concussion and post-concussion syndrome. *Current Treatment Options in Neurology*, 8(5), 415-426.
- Winchester, M., & Romaniuk, J. (2003). Evaluative and descriptive response patterns to negative image attributes. *European Marketing Academy*.
- Woodside, A.G., & Trappey, R.J. (1992). Finding out why customers shop your store and buy your brand: Automatic cognitive processing models of primary choice. *Journal of Advertising Research*.
- Zarantonello, L., Romani, S., Grappi, S., & Bagozzi, R.P. (2016). Brand hate. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 25(1), 11-25.
- Zavestoski, S. (2002). The social-psychological bases of anti-consumption attitudes. *Psychology & Marketing*, 19(2), 149-165.