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ABSTRACT

The effects of unclaimed inheritance estate problems lead to the expansion of transfer during lifetime practice or hibah (Islamic inter vivos). Hibah enables the heirs to acquire donor’s wealth and it is not subjected to inheritance estate management after the death of donor. The practice of hibah has evolved from the traditional method of giving which is a direct transfer through Form 14A at the land office to contemporary methods of giving via trust hibah. However, due to limited Malaysian legislation regarding the hibah practice, there are numerous disputes among heirs or related parties. Hence, this study proposes a comprehensive hibah law to explain substantive jurisdictions strengthen Syariah Court and act as references to the Islamic estate planners.
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INTRODUCTION

The issue of unclaimed inheritance estate is a dire problem as it is worth approximately RM. 60 billion in 2016 (Aziz, 2016; Harta pusaka tidak dituntut mencecah angka RM.60 bilion, 2016). It leads to the difficulties among legal heirs to acquire the transfer of wealth and develop it. As such, there are many researchers such as Abdul & Ahmad (2013), Hasbullah & Daud, (2015) and Muhamad & Hussain (2014) suggest that estate planning such as hibah (Islamic inter vivos) should be made.

Inter vivos practices aim to assist children with poor economic conditions. This demonstrates donor’s concern of donee’s welfare (McGarry, 2016; Wu & Li, 2014). The underlying motive of this transfer is altruism motive. For an example, a child starts to live with his/her own family. In this case, the parents will provide the needs of their children’s marriages such as dowries, financial assistance, renting or purchasing home (Halvorsen & Thoresen, 2011; Shenk et al., 2010). The support can be seen as an effort from parents to ensure the stability of their children’s life after living separately from them as a new couple.
LITERATURE REVIEW

Hibah or Islamic inter vivos is a long-term practice among Muslim communities in Malaysia particularly hibah to the immediate family members. However, the practice does not apply systematically as donor transfers directly to donee(s) or legal heirs by changing the registered ownership through Form 14A at the land office without any concern about the implications of the practice (Abdul & Yaakub, 2010; Ahmad et al., 2017; Buang, 2003; Ismail, 2015). Among the earliest recorded case in Malaysia regarding to hibah dispute is Kiah v Som (Mujani et al., 2011). Hibah related cases mostly occur among the immediate family members who are also donor’s legal heirs. Table 1 illustrates several examples of such cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Hibah</th>
<th>Example Cases</th>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Court</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parents to children</td>
<td>Abdul Wahid Bin Dato Haji Abdul Rahim Gulam Rasool Shaik &amp; Others v Shariahbi Binti Ibrahim Mysoory &amp; Others 2 MLJ 211.</td>
<td>Death sickness (maradhal mawut) gift</td>
<td>High Court, Kota Bharu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tengku Hj Jaafar Tengku Muda &amp; Anor v Government of Pahang 2 MLJ 74.</td>
<td>Giving a piece of land to two daughters</td>
<td>Supreme Court, Kuala Lumpur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Muhammad b. Awang &amp; Anor v Awang b. Deraman &amp; Others 2 JH 165.</td>
<td>Giving to specific children only</td>
<td>Syariah Appeal Court, Kedah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ibrahim Yusuff v Eshah Hj. Ishak &amp; Others 2 JH 158.</td>
<td>Transfer registration only to one children</td>
<td>Syariah Appeal Court, Kelantan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband to wife</td>
<td>Roberts @ Kamrulzaman v Ummi Kalthom 1 MLJ 163.</td>
<td>Conflict between hibah and joint-</td>
<td>High Court Malaya, Kuala Lumpur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wan Mahmud b. Wan Abdul Rahman &amp; 3 Others v Aminah bt. Hj. Taib &amp; 2 Others 2 JH 331.</td>
<td>acquired property</td>
<td>Syariah Appeal Court, Kota Bharu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandparents to grandchildren</td>
<td>Ibrahim b Hj. Abu Bakar v Mohd Sah b. Mohd Ali &amp; Others 2 JH 279.</td>
<td>Hibah without transfer ownership</td>
<td>Syariah Appeal Court, Kuantan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ibrahim bin Salleh v Zainuddin bin Idris dan 5 Others 1 JH 113.</td>
<td>Hibah validation</td>
<td>Mahkamah Rayuan Syariah, Kota Bharu</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

LIST OF HIBAH-RELATED CASE TO NON LEGAL HEIRS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Hibah</th>
<th>EXAMPLE CASES</th>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Court</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parents to step-children</td>
<td>Abu Talib@ Musa bin Muda lwn Che Alias bin Che Muda 2 JH 191.</td>
<td>Hibah validation</td>
<td>Syariah High Court, Kuala Terengganu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents to adopted children</td>
<td>Eshah bt. Abdul Rahman v Azuhar b. Ismail 2 JH 219.</td>
<td>Hibah revocation</td>
<td>Syariah High Court, Terengganu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Salmiah bt Che Hat v Zakaria b Hashim 1 JH 79.</td>
<td>Hibah validation</td>
<td>Syariah Court, Bukit Mertajam</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Meanwhile, hibah disputes also occur among non-legal heirs of the family as shown in Table 2. For instance, hibah practice leads to the conflicts between Civil Court and Syariah Court such as Latifah bte Mat Zin v Rosmawati bte Sharibun & Ors MLJ 101 and Jumaaton and Ors v. Raja Hizarrudin Nong 6 MLJ 556. The main conflict is to determine the jurisdiction of the court to discuss cases related to hibah after the amendment of 121(1A) Malaysia Federal Constitution. This amendment has stipulated that the Civil High Court and the courts thereunder have no jurisdiction in matters within the jurisdiction of the Syariah Court (Abdul & Yaakub, 2011).

These facts indicate that hibah is a long-practiced instrument among Muslim in Malaysia and become an important inheritance estate planning mechanism (Buang, 2009). Nonetheless, hibah should not only focus on hibah practices but should also emphasize to link the principles of hibah in Islamic jurisprudence and current financial system (Muda, 2009). In this regard, hibah has been practised in Takaful, Islamic banking, capital market and estate planning industry (Ali, 2015; Azhar et al., 2014; Azhar & Ishak, 2011; Ismail, 2009; Megat et al., 2013; Muhamad, 2010; Salman & Htay, 2013). Based on these developments, this study aims to identify the requirements of hibah law in Malaysia to ensure the hibah practice can be implemented successfully.

**METHODOLOGY**

To indicate the requirement of hibah law in Malaysia, this study determined relevant materials such as Malaysian Federal Constitution, National Land Code 1965, Islamic Religious Administration Enactment and Syariah Court Enactment. This study also analysed several cases related to hibah to understand current legal issues and practices.

**DISCUSSION**

Although in terms of Malaysian legislation, hibah is stipulated under State List (Federal Constitution which empowers legislative bodies at the state level to enact hibah law), to date, there is no specific and substantive hibah law is formed or enacted in the scope provided by the Federal Constitution. The related laws are only the general provision of Syariah Court jurisdiction to hear relevant cases of hibah such as stipulated in the Islamic Religious Administration Enactment and Syariah Court Enactment at the state level. Most of the cases concentrate on the validity of hibah and its related jurisprudence (Disa, 2009; Muda, 2009; Harun, 2009). Based on that reality, references to the validity of hibah and its related jurisprudence are merely referring to the Islamic jurisprudence books and not directly to the statute. Referring directly to Islamic jurisprudence books is the right action, but the provision statutory is crucial as it is seen as main reference in any disputable matters in Syariah Court. Thus, there is possibility that the Judges refer to only certain scholars’ views depending on the particular current needs. This will affect many different court decisions (Harun, 2009).

For private estate planner operators who intend to develop hibah products, in the absence of clear hibah law, they need to refer to the views or approvals from fatwa bodies or Shariah Advisors. However, this step is just a short-term solution because the fatwa that are issued only to fulfil the demands of these operators and could not be extended to the decision of other states
as each state in Malaysia has a separate fatwa body. As a result, the Muslim community fails to understand the matters related to hibah.

In this context, a comprehensive and uniform hibah law among states in Malaysia needs to be established. This recommendation is in fact not very difficult, as some states in Malaysia have established several laws related to waqf (endowment) and will. The proposal to enact hibah law has been raised since 2004 in numerous workshops and seminars that organized by many parties, but until now the law has not yet to be realized.

Comprehensive and uniform hibah law among states in Malaysia will also beneficial in some cases. Firstly, the hibah law is important to clarify substantive law related to hibah such as hibah contract (ijab (offer), qabul (acceptance) and qabd (actual possession), conditions of hibah (donor, donee and condition of wealth), types of wealth and revocation of hibah so Syariah Court in determining the validity of hibah can refer that it. Even though current practices show that Syariah Court only refer to Islamic jurisprudence books, the amendment of hibah law is advantages for judges to be used as references particularly when there are disputes issues among Muslim scholars. These issues are including qabd via representatives, conditional hibah, hibah wealth usufruct and hibah done secretly (Abdul et al., 2013; Azalan & Said, 2016; Buang, 2007; Othman et al., 2017)

Secondly, hibah law could clarify the position of Syariah Court against hibah cases, especially cases involving hibah through trust or trust hibah. This is in line with the jurisdiction of Syariah Court to hear cases of hibah as stipulated by Syariah Court Enactment or Islamic Religious Administration Enactment, Article 121(1A), Federal Constitution and Section 421(A) National Land Code 1965. Nevertheless, the current situation indicates that disputes in the jurisdiction between the Syariah Court and Civil Court against the hibah cases are still unending. Although the jurisdiction of the Syariah Court is certified in the appeal of the Federal Court, Latifah bte Mat Zin v Rosmawati bte Sharibun & Ors MLJ 101, but in the Kuala Lumpur High Court decision involving Dato’Kadar Shah bin Tun Sulaiman v Datin Fauziah binti Harun 7 MLJ 779 shows otherwise. Based on this situation, hibah law is very significant in the context of determining the jurisdiction of the court for hibah by Muslims in Malaysia.

Thirdly, hibah law also can be referred by Islamic estate planning operators to develop and provide hibah product to comply with the law requirement. For an instance, in the context of conditional hibah like umra and ruqba, the operators have practised different approaches in applying these two instruments. By having a recognized hibah law, it will provide guidelines to the operators (Azalan & Said, 2016; Muhamad, 2012; Othman et al., 2017). This is supported by the decision of Federal Territory Consultative Committee Meeting the 5th which allowed the placement of conditions in hibah (Azhar & Ishak, 2011; Muda, 2009).

Fourthly, about the economic impact, the introduction of hibah law could encourage the donors to transfer his/her wealth during lifetime without undergoing estate management process. This could reduce the number of the unclaimed and abandoned estates. If the estates have not yet been managed, it is difficult for the heirs to develop the lands for agricultural and industrial purposes. Furthermore, heirs will have difficulty to seek assistance from the authorities, financial institutions and government linked companies due to inheritance problem (Manaf & Ismail, 2011; Kamarudin & Abdullah, 2016). On the other side, the government will suffer losses in land tax collection because the heirs refuse to pay the deceased’s land tax (Harun et al., 2013; Taha et al., 2015; Wook et al., 2017).
Lastly, when the practice of giving is simplified, then parents could assist their children. According to McGarry, (2016), parents transfer will lessen the burden on the government’s expenditure through the public transfer and smoothen the children’s consumption. When parents transfer their wealth as a reward for children’s care and services, then the government could also reduce the long-term allocation to elder parents. As the result of this, there are even some countries such as Austria, Denmark, France, Germany, Spain and Sweden which have cut down long-term care expenses (Jiménez-Martín & Prieto, 2015).

CONCLUSION

Unclaimed inheritance estate stimulates the positive development of Islamic estate planning industry in Malaysia. Inarguably, hibah is the most effective Shariah contract for the Islamic estate planning and wealth distribution. Reflecting on this situation, various mechanisms have been applied to adapt the hibah principles as stipulated by Syariah to meet the current needs and situations. This development can facilitate the Islamic estate planning services to utilize hibah as one of the solution for unclaimed inheritance estate, which includes abandoned inheritance land that is worth approximately billions of Ringgit. Extensive hibah applications require a form of legislation that regulates and coordinates all hibah activities in Malaysia. It is time now for a uniform and substantive comprehensive law to be enacted and enforced not only to meet the need of the industry but also for the entire Muslim community
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