SCALE DEVELOPMENT OF ENTREPRENEURIAL COMPETENCY OF SME OWNER IN INDONESIA

Fenika Wulani, Widya Mandala Catholic University Tuty Lindawati, Widya Mandala Catholic University Antonius Jan Wellyan T. Putro, Widya Mandala Catholic University Ani Suhartatik, Widya Mandala Catholic University

ABSTRACT

This study aims at developing the scale of entrepreneurial competence of SME owners in Indonesia. In this study, competency indicators are made from three stages of development, namely exploratory competency items, expert judgment, and scale validation. The result of the study shows that 5 competency dimensions are covering 26 indicators. The dimensions of entrepreneurial competence are managerial (9 indicators), strategic (4 indicators), service quality (6 indicators), development (4 indicators), and performance competencies (3 indicators).

Keywords: Entrepreneurial Competency, SME Owner, Scale Development, Indonesia.

INTRODUCTION

Business in Indonesia is currently very much dominated by SMEs (Asia Pacific Foundation of Canada, 2018; OECD/ERIA, 2018) hence SME is an important factor for economic growth in Indonesia ("Indonesia SMEs: Increased Government Support to Overcome Challenges," n.d.; "SMEs Powering Indonesia's Growth," 2019). However, the contribution of SMEs to Indonesia's GDP is still relatively not high (Asia Pacific Foundation of Canada, 2018; OECD/ERIA, 2018). Factors of SMEs such as managerial ability and leadership are important factors for the success of SMEs (Garavan et al., 2016). Whereas in general, the quality of human capital in Indonesia must still be improved in relation to the level of education and competence (Dwinanda, 2016; Rakhmat & Tarahita, 2018). In addition, management capability is one of the obstacles to the progress of SMEs in Indonesia (Irjayanti & Azis, 2012). Indeed, the Indonesian government has also made various efforts to improve the education and competence of these SMEs by providing various training related to products and businesses (OECD/ERIA, 2018). But for the competency improvement program to be effective, of course, topics related to training materials must be relevant to the needs of SME practitioners.

Empirically, the competence of SMEs and its influence on business performance is now starting to get much attention from researchers. These researchers tend to use the scale from Man (2001) such as Rahman et al. (2015); Sánchez (2012); Sarwoko et al. (2013). However, it is possible that SMEs in Indonesia need to have special competencies by considering Indonesian contextual factors. This refers to the behavioral approach, that individual behavior is the result of individual factors themselves and their environment (Pinder, 1998). Entrepreneur competency is a combination of the individual internal characteristics and external factors, so there is no single character set that could apply to in all situations (Jain, 2011). Socio-cultural factors can determine entrepreneurial competency characteristics (Ahmad et al., 2011; Man & Lau, 2005; Man et al., 2008) and competency need to fit to the business context (Rasmussen et al., 2011;

Huck & McEwen, 1991; Kyndt & Baert, 2015). Furthermore, entrepreneurial characteristics may exist universally but some other characters are bound to certain cultures as well (Ahmad, 2007; Sajilan, 2015). Entrepreneur competence is an important factor determining the competitiveness of an SME and the owner must face different competitive contexts with large companies (Man, Lau, & Chan, 2002), so that the competency needs may differ across the context of business level (Mitchelmore & Rowley, 2010). In the small business context, there are little managerial demands that require simpler management (Man & Lau, 2005), and tend to focus more on routine activities (Volery et al., 2015). In other words, the business and socio-cultural context in which the business exists requires appropriate entrepreneurial competence. Therefore, a competency scale is needed the one that takes into account the Indonesia contextual factors to capture the relevant competencies. This study identifies a list of competencies needed by SME businesses to succeed in improving their business and to be able to adapt to the challenges of the current and future business environment.

THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

In a business organization, a leader's competence will greatly affect the performance of his/her business. Referring to the theory of resource-based view (RBV) that valuable, scarce, hard to imitate, and irreplaceable company resources can be a competitive advantage for the company (Barney et al., 2001). Based on this perspective, entrepreneurial competence is a key valuable resource for companies (Tehseen & Ramayah, 2015). This competency will be a competitive advantage for entrepreneurs and provide business success (Tehseen & Ramayah, 2015). Competence is a skill, ability, experience, attitude, and other traits that can influence the management of SMEs (Taipale et al., 2015). Entrepreneur competence is a combination of individual characteristics and external factors, including socio-cultural understanding (Jain, 2011), individual ability to do his/her job successfully - it can be personality traits, knowledge, skills, experience (Man et al., 2002), and is needed for successful business performance (Mitchelmore & Rowley, 2010). Empirical results from various studies reviewed by Jain (2011) show that entrepreneurial competencies include a number of competencies, such as self-efficacy, creativity, risk-taking propensity, and proactiveness, commitment, focus on quality, trust in employees, and using feedback. Studies (Chandler & Jansen, 1992) at medium companies find that entrepreneurial competencies covering dimensions of human, ability to recognize opportunity, technical, political, and drive to see venture through fruition. While Ahmad's study (2007) on small and medium level SMEs in Malaysia and Australia found universal competency dimensions that are ethical, conceptual, learning, and opportunity; and special dimensions of competence, which are likely to be the effects of cultural factors, namely familism, social responsibility, and relationship.

The entrepreneurial competency scale developed by Man (2001) is one of the scales that is often used by researchers to measure entrepreneurial competencies. This scale contains 53 indicators spread over 8 dimensions, showing various competencies:

- 1. Opportunity -the ability of individuals to identify, assesses, and looks for new opportunities.
- 2. Relationship -the ability of individuals to build and maintain and use the network of relationships that they build.
- 3. Conceptual -the ability of individuals to think intuitively, be innovative and assess risk.
- 4. Organizing -the ability of individuals to perform management functions, namely planning, organizing, directing, and controlling.

- 5. Strategic -the ability of individuals to have a vision, plan, and implement their business strategies.
- 6. Commitment -the ability of individuals to mobilize and maintain the business and its values in the business.
- 7. Learning -the ability of individuals to actively learn continuously and adapt.
- 8. Personal-the ability of individuals to manage time, overcome problems, and motivates themselves for high performance.

Nevertheless, concerning the current business environment, Morgan (2014) suggests that there are rapid changes in technological sophistication and abundant data. Facing changes in the business environment, managers must be able to be leaders who inspire, and think out of the box, help and empower followers by removing barriers, mastering technology, setting examples, working collectively, sharing information, and providing recognition and feedback immediately. Businesses must also have management skills and the ability to manage change (*Business & entrepreneurship skills and experience*," 2017). Network, and communication skill internally and externally (Jain, 2011). Thus, the competence of entrepreneurs may be different across contextual phenomena (Jain, 2011).

METHODOLOGY

This study uses a comprehensive scale development process including exploring competency indicators through interviews with SME owners, conducting a literature study to capture the abilities that must be owned by individual SMEs in the future, confirming the list of interview results and literature study by requesting the assessment of experts to validate the list of indicators, and analyzing its dimensionality, reliability and validity. This study uses Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) with SPSS tools to identify the dimensionality or factors/dimensions that occur based on the list of indicators, Cronbach Alpha for reliability testing, and Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) for validity assessment.

DATA ANALYSIS

Item Generation

The initial phase of this study uses the interview result done by Wulani et al. (2019) that finds a set of behavioral and skills items carried out by way of SME owners in Indonesia. Their exploration study was carried out by interviews with 10 micro-level SME owners successful in sales in the past year and 10 SME owners whose sales being static in the creative industry in Surabaya, the second largest business city in Indonesia. Their survey uses a list of questions covering the managerial and leadership behaviors of SME owners. The successful SME owners are given 48 lists and static SME owners are given 45 lists of their managerial and leadership behaviors. From the list, there are 21 lists of behaviors that are both carried out by the two groups of SME owners. In the next phase, the study uses a list of 48 behaviors of successful SME owners. Table 1 shows the list of competencies items as a result of the in-depth interview with SME owners.

To confirm the 48 lists of competency behavior, a focused group discussion was conducted 15 SME with. The result shows that the competency behaviors are confirmed. But there is one additional behavior that has not yet emerged during the interview, namely "*Business environment scanning*". The researchers then conducted a literature study to identify the existence of competency behaviors needed by SMEs in the current and future business context.

The study resulted in an additional 10 behaviors, bringing about a total of 59 competency behaviors (Table 1).

Item Review

In the next stage, this competency list is analyzed using the content validity test, which adopts the Lawshe procedure (1975; in Templeton, Lewis, & Snyder, 2002). This test was carried out using an evaluation panel by 6 experts. The experts were given a competency list document and were asked to respond to the relevance of each form of competency on a 3 point scale namely 1=irrelevant, 2=important (but not essential/main), and 3=essential/main. The response results were calculated based on the CVR formula (i.e. CVR = (nN / 2)/(N / 2)), i.e. n is the frequency of the number of experts giving ratings on competency indicators as important and essential, N is the total number of experts (Templeton et al., 2002). The level of significance of the CVR is 0.05. Indicators with insignificant CVR value will be dropped. These six experts include two lecturers with a business management background who have knowledge of competency and experience in serving the community whose object is SME, one staff member of the Surabaya City Cooperative and SME Office who has the task of managing the SMEs, and 1 person who is a consultant for small business companies in Surabaya, one manufacturing manager, and one successful business owner who started from a small business. Researchers explain to the expert team about the definition of competence and how to fill and assess indicators.

The assessment result shows that of the 59 items of competency behavior, there are 19 items of competency that are considered important and essential by less than 6 experts. For example, adding items to product variations, provide work rules, and have caution in doing business. These indicators are dropped and not used in further validation tests. However, there are 3 indicators considered for inclusion in further validation testing. These indicators are to follow a particular community, collaborate with other SMEs, and desire to learn business independently from various media. Referring to the results of the literature study, two important factors that need to be done by business people are to follow certain communities (*HBR guide to performance management*, 2017) and collaborate with other SMEs (Morgan, 2014). Thus there are 43 items of behavior that will be further identified in the validation process.

	Table 1									
	ITEM GENERATION									
1	Following the various types of business	31	Enthusiastic in running a business							
	training									
2	Giving recognition for employee work	32	Focus on running a business							
3	Looking for product development ideas	33	Performing performance evaluation							
4	Adding product variations	34	Carrying out the production process every day							
5	Taking credit offered by financial	35	Promoting products by distributing a tester							
	institutions									
6	Following certain communities	36	Bringing lots of products during the exhibition							
7	Conducting regular visits to outlets for	37	Meeting employee needs							
	inventory checking and product conditions									
8	Giving a unique characteristic to the product	38	Having employees with special expertise							
9	Managing product inventory	39	Having performance targets							
10	Managing consumer payment transactions	40	Having a vision							
11	Managing product delivery to consumers	41	Implementing an open financial system for employees							
12	Maintaining good relations with employees	42	Inviting employees to participate in decision making							

13	Having persistence in running a business	43	Setting product prices
14	Managing sales revenue	44	Developing networks
15	Willingness to learn business	45	Developing product distribution channels
	independently from various media		
16	Sharing information with successful SMEs	46	Recognizing the right moment to promote the product
17	Providing quality products to consumers	47	Become a trainer
18	Repairing the work of employees that are	48	Selecting products based on market potential
	not appropriate		
19	Promoting products by using products	49	Scanning business environment
20	Establishing good relationships with	50	Having the ability to assess the business environment
	consumers		
21	Doing Innovation	51	Have a strong will to move forward
22	Regularly promoting products via social	52	Have a business knowledge and information
22	Regularly promoting products via social media	52	Have a business knowledge and information
22 23		52 53	Have a business knowledge and information Confidently running a business
	media		Confidently running a business
23	media Taking part in the exhibition	53	
23 24	mediaTaking part in the exhibitionProviding work rules to employees	53 54	Confidently running a business Able to adapt to the business environment
23 24 25	mediaTaking part in the exhibitionProviding work rules to employeesCollaborating with other SMEs	53 54 55	Confidently running a business Able to adapt to the business environment Able to communicate to get the attention of other parties
23 24 25 26	media Taking part in the exhibition Providing work rules to employees Collaborating with other SMEs Developing employee skills	53 54 55 56	Confidently running a business Able to adapt to the business environment Able to communicate to get the attention of other parties Can be trusted
23 24 25 26 27	mediaTaking part in the exhibitionProviding work rules to employeesCollaborating with other SMEsDeveloping employee skillsUnderstanding consumer desires	53 54 55 56 57	Confidently running a business Able to adapt to the business environment Able to communicate to get the attention of other parties Can be trusted Having the ability to convince other parties
23 24 25 26 27	mediaTaking part in the exhibitionProviding work rules to employeesCollaborating with other SMEsDeveloping employee skillsUnderstanding consumer desires	53 54 55 56 57	Confidently running a businessAble to adapt to the business environmentAble to communicate to get the attention of other partiesCan be trustedHaving the ability to convince other partiesHaving the ability to adapt to the cultural values of the
23 24 25 26 27 28	mediaTaking part in the exhibitionProviding work rules to employeesCollaborating with other SMEsDeveloping employee skillsUnderstanding consumer desiresHaving caution in running a business	53 54 55 56 57 58	Confidently running a businessAble to adapt to the business environmentAble to communicate to get the attention of other partiesCan be trustedHaving the ability to convince other partiesHaving the ability to adapt to the cultural values of the community
23 24 25 26 27 28	mediaTaking part in the exhibitionProviding work rules to employeesCollaborating with other SMEsDeveloping employee skillsUnderstanding consumer desiresHaving caution in running a businessHaving basic knowledge about the production processTaking part in the SME's contest	53 54 55 56 57 58 59	Confidently running a businessAble to adapt to the business environmentAble to communicate to get the attention of other partiesCan be trustedHaving the ability to convince other partiesHaving the ability to adapt to the cultural values of the communityHaving an understanding of technology and its

Note: Statements / indicators number 1-48 are taken from Wulani et al. (2019)'s study. Statements / indicators number 49-59 Statement are the result of the literature study and FGD conducted in this study. Statements / indicators in bold are dropped indicators as a result of panelist review in this present study.

Scale Validation

As an initial step in the process of validating a list of 43 items of competency generated in the assessment process by 6 experts, the researchers then collected data using questionnaires distributed to SME owners in various industries in East Java. The distribution of questionnaires was done in training activities or regular meetings of SME owners in East Java or privately directly to SME owners in their workplaces. 376 respondents filled out the questionnaires. 339 questionnaires were completely filled out (response rate of 90.15%) and valid for further analysis. Most respondents were women (75.5%), aged between 35- <45 years (33.6%), had high school / vocational education (46.9%), were married (86.4%), had SMEs between 1-<5 years (41.3) %), having less than 3 employees (58.1%), its SME products are food (36.9%).

In this survey, respondents were asked to respond to 43 items of competency on a 5-point scale (1=strongly disagree, 5=strongly agree). Respondents were also asked to give their responses to 53 competency indicators developed Man (2001).

Dimensionality testing: The first stage of instrument validation testing is to perform dimensionality testing using Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) to identify correlations between indicators on the same dimension/factor and Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) to identify the fit of the dimension measurement model/factors as a result of EFA testing. Table 2 shows the results of EFA testing using principal component analysis and varimax rotation. This test shows that the 43 indicators spread across 7 dimensions (or factors). Identification is done based on the cut-off value of factor loading of 0.4 and identification of factor loading which only has a high value above the cut-off value only on one factor. The identification results showed that factor 7 must be dropped because it only contains 1 indicator (COMP5) which has a factor loading value

above 0.4. In addition, 8 indicators must be dropped (COMP 12, COMP 13, COMP 16, COMP 18, COMP 35, COMP 36, COMP 39, COMP 41) because they have a factor loading value of more than 0.4 on more than 1 dimension/factor. As a result, there are 34 indicators that spread in 6 dimensions/factors.

	Tabl DIMENSIONAL		SULT							
Items	Items	Factor Loading								
code	ittins	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
COMP1	Following the various types of business	-	_				0.582			
COMP 2	training is Giving recognition for employee work					0.558				
COMP 2 COMP 3	Taking part in the exhibition					0.338	0.627			
COMP 4	Looking for product development ideas						0.027			
COMP 4	Following certain communities						0.518	0.718		
5								0./10		
COMP 6	Doing Innovation			0.525						
COMP 7	Giving a unique characteristic to the product			0.748						
COMP 8	Managing product inventory			0.707						
COMP 9	Managing consumer payment transactions			0.555						
COMP 10	Managing product delivery to consumers			0.600						
COMP	Maintaining good relations with employees	0.441								
11	Maintaining good relations with employees	0.441								
COMP 12	Collaborating with other SMEs						0.415	0.580		
COMP 13	Developing employee skills					0.430		0.458		
COMP	Understanding consumer desires	0.536								
14	Understanding consumer desires	0.550								
COMP 15	Having persistence in running a business	0.628								
COMP	Having basic knowledge about the	0.446			0.431					
16	production process									
COMP	Managing sales revenue	0.473								
17										
COMP	Willingness to learn business independently	0.422			0.409					
18	from various media									
COMP 19	Enthusiastic in running a business	0.735								
COMP	Focus on running a business	0.678								
20										
COMP 21	Performing performance evaluation	0.489								
COMP	Sharing information with successful SMEs		0.441							
22										
COMP 23	Providing quality products to consumers			0.429						
COMP	Having employees with special expertise					0.683				
24						0.671				
COMP 25	Having performance targets					0.671				
COMP 26	Having a vision					0.553				

	Table DIMENSIONAL		SULT						
Items	Items Factor Loading								
code			2	3	4	5	6	7	
COMP	Setting product prices			-	0.679				
27									
COMP	Developing networks				0.562				
28									
COMP	Developing product distribution channels				0.568				
29									
COMP	Recognizing the right moment to promote the				0.482				
30	product								
COMP	Establishing good relationships with	0.594							
31	consumers		0.661						
COMP	Selecting products based on market potential		0.661						
32	Compiler 1 since a linear set		0.740						
COMP 33	Scanning business environment		0.740						
COMP	Having the ability to appear the business		0.705						
34	Having the ability to assess the business environment		0.705						
COMP	Have a strong will to move forward	0.600	0.457						
35	have a strong will to move for ward	0.000	0.457						
СОМР	Have a business knowledge and	0.476	0.489						
36	information								
COMP	Confidently running a business	0.603							
37									
COMP	Able to adapt to the business environment		0.604						
38									
COMP	Able to communicate to get the attention of		0.438		0.402				
39	other parties								
COMP	Can be trusted	0.586							
40									
COMP	Having the ability to convince other parties	0.398	0.401						
41			0.575		┨				
COMP 42	Having the ability to adapt to the cultural		0.575						
	values of the community		0.499						
COMP 43	Having an understanding of technology and its development, especially relating to		0.488						
40	business management								
	ousiness management	1					I	1	

Notes: Statements / indicators in bold are dropped indicators as a result of EFA test, statements/indicators in italic are dropped indicators as a result of CFA test.

Based on the identification of a standardized regression weight and the modification index on CFA result, there are 8 indicators (COMP1, COMP2, COMP3, COMP4, COMP20, COMP32, COMP34, COMP38, and 1 factor that must be dropped (factor 6). This identification results 26 indicators that spread on 5 dimensions/factors. The measurement model that includes 5 dimensions/factors showed the fit model on these 5 factors with χ^2 (df = 289, 5%) = 580.843, CMIN/df = 2.010, RMSEA = 0.055, CFI = 0.936, and TLI = 0.928. In addition, this 5-factor model was compared a 1-factor model where all indicators enter into one single factor. The result showed that the 5 factor model has a better fit model than the 1 factor mode (χ^2 (df = 299, 5%) = 1014.762, CMIN/df = 3.394, RMSEA = 0.084, CFI = 0.844, and TLI = 0.830). The five factors formed are called managerial competencies (factor1), strategic competencies (factor2), service quality competencies (factor3), development competencies (factor4), and performance competencies (factor5).

Reliability and inter-correlation: The next test is a reliability analysis using Cronbach Alpha. The results showed that the five dimensions/factors formed have high Cronbach Alpha values. Table 3 showed that all variables are reliable. Table 3 also showed that there are moderate and significant correlations between competency dimensions/factors. There were no indications of multicollinearity between dimensions/factors (correlation value $r^2 < 0.8$).

Table 3 RELIABILITY AND INTER-CORRELATION									
Factor1 Factor2 Factor3 Factor4 Factor									
Managerial competencies (factor1)	0.895								
Strategic competencies (factor2)	0.689**	0.788							
Service quality competencies (factor3)		0.610**	0.854						
Development competencies (factor4)	0.703**	0.662**	0.688^{**}	0.861					
Performance competencies (factor5)	0.645**	0.599**	0.564**	0.552**	0.781				

Notes: ** p < .01, n = 339, Cronbach's alpha in parentheses along the diagonal

Convergent validity: Furthermore, at the end of the test, a convergent validity test was conducted to identify the correlation between the scale/competency instrument which is produced in this study with the scale from Man (2001). This instrument has 8 competencies dimensions: *opportunity (comop), relationship (comrel), conceptual (comcon), organizing (comorg), strategic (comstra), commitment (comco), learning (comler), and personal strength (comper).* Table 4 showed that there is a moderate correlation between the dimensions of the competency dimensions as a result of this study have moderate interrelationships with the competency dimensions of Man (2001). The managerial competencies have the highest correlation with personal competencies and the strategic competency dimension has the highest correlation with opportunities and relationship competencies. Furthermore service quality, development, and performance competencies have the highest correlation with organizing competencies.

Table 4 INTERCORELLATION BETWEEN COMPETENCY SCALES											
comop comrel comcon comorg comstra omco comler comper											
Managerial competencies	0.630**	0.658^{**}	0.540^{**}	0.621**	0.580^{**}	0.566**	0.653**	0.677**			
Strategic competencies	0.684**	0.684**	0.651**	0.681**	0.641**	0.588^{**}	0.647**	0.599**			
Service quality competencies		0.526**		0.556**	0.501**	0.432**		0.549**			
Development competencies	0.573**	0.644**	0.561**	0.645**	0.608^{**}	0.556**	0.569**	0.610**			
Performance competencies	0.471**	0.549**	0.512**	0.572**	0.522^{**}	0.474**	0.468**	0.522**			

Note: ** p < 0.01

DISCUSSION

This study found that the competency instrument of SME owners includes 5 dimensions, namely managerial, strategic, service quality, development, and performance. The managerial competency dimension is the individual personality characteristics that are needed to carry out the role of the manager of a business. This competency includes 9 indicators such as maintaining good relations with employees and consumers, being persistent, and enthusiastic about doing business. The strategic competency dimension individual's abilities to understand factors in the

external environment of his business. This dimension includes 4 indicators such as sharing information with successful SMEs and adapting to the cultural values of the community. The dimension of service quality is an individual's ability to provide added value to consumers relating to their products and services. This dimension includes 6 indicators such as managing product shipping and payment and giving uniqueness to the product. The development dimension is an individual's ability to develop themselves and their businesses. This dimension includes 4 indicators such as developing network and distribution channels. The performance dimension is an individual's abilities to obtain high performance. This dimension includes 3 indicators such as having performance targets and employees with expertise.

This study indicated that there are differences in the number of dimensions and competency indicators with the scale of Man (2001), which has 8 dimensions with 53 indicators. However, this study found that 4 indicators are the same as the indicators on the competency scale (Man, 2001), namely understanding the needs of consumers, evaluating work, developing networks, and conducting business with confidence. This difference is possible to occur as a consequence of differences in the characteristics of the object of research, the cultural context of the community, and consideration of the needs of competence in current and future business situations. The study Man (2001) used a qualitative design by interviewing a number of SMEs in Hong Kong by not limiting the number of employees the SME had. Furthermore Man (2001) conducted a quantitative study with a survey through the distribution of questionnaires in general SME. In both quantitative and quantitative studies, the sample, in general is SMEs in service industries. This instrument development tends to focus on micro-level SMEs and engaged in the industry most of which produce goods. In the context of micro-level SMEs, business people face several challenges such as marketing products, limited labor capacity, competition, and limited costs (Tambunan, 2019). The competition faced is not only from fellow SMEs in Indonesia which are very large in number (Rahadi, 2016), but also from other countries such as China that are able to sell products at low prices (Tambunan, 2019).

The business context that is faced by SME in Indonesia requires a number of important competencies that are more referring to the ability to compete to provide satisfaction to consumers and high motivation to survive and develop. This is seen in the competencies generated in this study. Business people seem to need competence related to carrying out management functions and key functional areas such as improving product quality, managing payments, inventory, and shipping products, determining prices, and understanding consumer needs. As an effort by the Indonesian government to improve the ability of SME owners in terms of business management, the department of cooperatives and MSMEs, as well as the private sector, has conducted various training for them *("Indonesia SMEs: Increased Government Support to Overcome Challenges,"* 2016). The SME owners who were interviewees and survey respondents also participated in the training activities. One of the important things in these training activities is that SME owners can share information about their keys to success and promotional events conducted by the government.

Another interesting thing is the importance of the ability of business owners to establish good relations with their employees. Based on interviews with SME owners, most of them employ their families and neighbors as employees (Wulani et al., 2019). This presents a challenge for them. By considering the cultural factors of Hofstede, Indonesian people tend to have high collectivity (Hofstede, 1983; Hofstede, 2007). Communities with high collectivity values expect that there is harmony between members in the group and relationships between members (Hofstede, 2007). In the work context, the relationship between superiors and

subordinates is based on morals and that the relationship between members/superiors is more important than assignments. As a consequence, superiors' direct feedback to their subordinates can damage their relationships (Hofstede, 1998). Based on interviews with SME owners at the stage of developing competency items, they generally try to maintain good relations with their employees by not giving loud reprimand to their low performance (Wulani et al., 2019). This cultural context appears on two competency indicators found in this study, namely the ability to maintain good relations with subordinates and the ability to adapt to cultural values.

CONCLUSION

This study found that there are 5 dimensions of the competence of SME owners, namely managerial, strategic, service quality, development, and performance. The current scale which resulted from this study only has 26 competency indicators compared to the number of indicators from other competency scales such as the Man (2001)' scale which has 53 indicators. With this smaller number of indicators, it is possible to increase the response rate (Lassk et al., 2001). The SME owner's competency scale provides indicators that can capture competency needs that are relevant to the micro SME and cultural context as well as take into account future competency needs. The list of indicators on the competency scale can be a reference in creating training programs for SMEs at the micro-level. However, this scale still needs more validation testing in different cultural contexts so that it can be more generalized.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, N.H. (2007). A cross cultural study of entrepreneurial competencies and entrepreneurial success in SMEs in Australia and Malaysia. The University of Adelaide.
- Ahmad, N.H., Wilson, C., & Kummerow, L. (2011). A cross-cultural insight into the competency-mix of SME entrepreneurs in Australia and Malaysia. *International Journal of Business and Management Science*, 4(1), 33–50.
- Asia Pacific Foundation of Canada. (2018). Survey of Entrepreneurs and MSMES in Indonesia: Building The Capacity of MSMEs Through Human Capital. Retrieved from https://apfcanadamsme.ca/sites/default/files/2018-10/2018 Survey of Entrepreneurs and MSMEs in Indonesia_0.pdf
- Barney, J., Wright, M., & Ketchen, D.J. (2001). The resource-based view of the firm: Ten years after 1991. *Journal* of Management, 27, 625–641.
- Business & entrepreneurship skills and experience. (2017). Retrieved June 8, 2017, from https://www.innovationpolicyplatform.org/content/business-and-entrepreneurship-skills-and-experience
- Chandler, G. N., & Jansen, E. (1992). The founder's self-assessed competence and venture performance. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 7(3), 223–236.
- Dwinanda, R. (2016). Indonesia needs to improve human resources quality. Retrieved September 7, 2019, from https://www.republika.co.id/berita/en/national-politics/16/10/05/oekuwv414-indonesia-needs-to-improve-human-resources-quality
- Garavan, T., Watson, S., Carbery, R., & O'Brien, F. (2016). The antecedents of leadership development practices in SMEs: The influence of HRM strategy and practice. *International Small Business Journal: Researching Entrepreneurship*, 34(6), 870–890.
- HBR guide to performance management. (2017). Boston: Harvard Business Review Press.
- Hofstede, G. (1983). The cultural relativity of organizational practices and theories. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 14(2), 75–89.
- Hofstede, G. (1998). Think Locally, Act Globally: Cultural constraints in personnel management. *Management International Review*, 38(2), 7–26.
- Hofstede, G. (2007). Asian management in the 21st century. Asia Pacific Journal of Management, 24(4), 411-420.
- Huck, J.F., & McEwen, T. (1991). Competencies Needed for Small Business Success: Perceptions. Journal of Small Business Management, 29(4), 90.
- Indonesia SMEs: Increased Government Support to Overcome Challenges. (n.d.). Retrieved September 7, 2019, from

http://www.gbgindonesia.com/en/main/why_indonesia/2016/indonesia_smes_increased_government_supp ort_to_overcome_challenges_11603.php

Indonesia SMEs:Increased Government Support to Overcome Challenges.(2016). Retrieved September 12, 2019, from

 $http://www.gbgindonesia.com/en/main/why_indonesia/2016/indonesia_smes_increased_government_support_to_overcome_challenges_11603.php$

- Irjayanti, M., & Azis, A.M. (2012). Barrier factors and potential solutions for Indonesian SMEs. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 4, 3–12.
- Jain, R.K. (2011). Entrepreneurial Competencies. Vision: The Journal of Business Perspective, 15(2), 127–152.
- Kyndt, E., & Baert, H. (2015). Entrepreneurial competencies: Assessment and predictive value for entrepreneurship. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 90, 13–25.
- Lassk, F.G., Marshall, G.W., Cravens, D.W., & Moncrief, W.C. (2001). Salesperson job involvement: A modern perspective a new scale. *Journal of Personal Selling and Sales Management*, 21(4), 291–302.
- Man, T.W. (2001). Entrepreneurial competencies and the performance of small and medium enterprises in the Hong KOng services sector. The Hong Kong Polytechnic.
- Man, Thomas W.Y., & Lau, T. (2005). The context of entrepreneurship in Hong Kong: An investigation through the patterns of entrepreneurial competencies in contrasting industrial environments. *Journal of Small Business* and Enterprise Development, 12(4), 464–481.
- Man, Thomas W.Y., Lau, T., & Chan, K. F. (2002). The competitiveness of small and medium enterprises: A conceptualization with focus on entrepreneurial competencies. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 17(2), 123–142.
- Man, T.W., Lau, T., & Snape, E. (2008). Entrepreneurial competencies and the performance of small and medium enterprises: An investigation through a framework of competitiveness. *Journal of Small Business and Entrepreneurship*, 21(3), 257–276.
- Mitchelmore, S., & Rowley, J. (2010). Entrepreneurial competencies: A literature review and development agenda. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research*, 16(2), 92–111.
- Morgan, J. (2014). The future of work. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- OECD/ERIA. (2018). SME Policy Index. ASEAN 2018: Boosting Competitiveness and Inclusive Growth. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264305328-en
- Pinder, C. (1998). Work Motivation in Organizational Behavior. Prentice Hall.
- Rahadi, R.A. (2016). Opportunities and Challenges for micro-small and medium business in Indonesia Facing Asean Economic. *Journal of Management and Entrepreneurship, 18*(1), 45–53.
- Rahman, S.A., Amran, A., Ahmad, N.H., & Taghizadeh, S.K. (2015). Supporting entrepreneurial business success at the base of pyramid through entrepreneurial competencies. *Management Decision*, 53(6), 1203–1223.
- Rakhmat, M., & Tarahita, D. (2018). Indonesia seeks to raise human capital. Retrieved September 7, 2019, from Indonesia Seeks to Raise Human Capital
- Rasmussen, E., Mosey, S., & Wright, M. (2011). The Evolution of Entrepreneurial Competencies: A Longitudinal Study of University Spin-Off Venture Emergence. *Journal of Management Studies*, 48(6), 1314–1345.
- Sajilan, S. (2015). Cultural orientations, entrepreneurial competencies and smes business success : The Contingent Roles of Environmental Turbulence and Network Competence. *Review of Integrative Business Research* and Economics, 4(2), 20–35.
- Sánchez, J. (2012). The influence of entrepreneurial competencies on small firm performance. *Latin American Journal of Psychology*, 44(2), 165–177.
- Sarwoko, E., Surachman, A., & Hadiwidjojo, D. (2013). Entrepreneurial Characteristics and Competency as Determinants of Business Performance in SMEs. *Journal of Business and Management*, 7(3), 31–38.
- SMEs Powering Indonesia's Growth. (2019). Retrieved September 7, 2019, from https://www.ibcsd.or.id/updates/news/smes-powering-indonesias-growth/
- Taipale, E.K., Lampela, H., & Heilmann, P. (2015). Survival Skills in SMEs Continuous Competence Renewing and Opportunity Scanning. *Journal of East-West Business*, 21(1), 1–21.
- Tambunan, T. (2019). Recent evidence of the development of micro, small and medium enterprises in Indonesia. *Journal of Global Entrepreneurship Research*, 9(1).
- Tehseen, S., & Ramayah, T. (2015). Entrepreneurial competencies and SMEs Business Success: The contingent role of external Integration. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 6(1).
- Volery, T., Mueller, S., & Von Siemens, B. (2015). Entrepreneur ambidexterity: A study of entrepreneur behaviours and competencies in growth-oriented small and medium-sized enterprises. *International Small Business Journal: Researching Entrepreneurship*, 33(2), 109–129.

Wulani, F., Lindawati, T., Putro, A.J.W.T., & Suhartatik, A. (2019). The effectiveness of SMEs: Exploratory study of SME owners' competency in Indonesia. *Journal of International Business Research*, 18(1), 1–8.