

THE IMPACT OF THE QUALITY OF ACCOUNTING INFORMATION ON THE DECISIONS OF ENTREPRENEURS IN SAUDI ARABIA

Bashaier Ahmad Aleisa, Department of Financial Sciences, Community College Imam AbdulRahman bin Faisal University Dammam, Saudi Arabia
Bashir Tijjani, Department of Accounting College of Business Administration Imam AbdulRahman bin Faisal University Dammam, Saudi Arabia

ABSTRACT

This research work examines the impact of the quality of accounting information on the decisions of entrepreneurs in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia. The focus is on how the internal decisions made in these enterprises are affected by accounting information which is characterised by high quality (relevance, reliability, comparability and consistency). The study used a questionnaire instrument, which targeted the managers of the SMEs across four Chambers in the Eastern Province. Pearson's correlation coefficient was employed to provide a picture of the relationship between the variables. It was followed by multiple regression analysis to determine the direction and significance of the relationship between the variables and to test the hypotheses. The findings reveal a statistically significant positive impact of relevance, comparability and consistency of accounting information on decisions made by entrepreneurs in the Eastern Province. In addition, a statistically insignificant relationship was found in relation to the impact of reliability of accounting information on entrepreneurs' decisions. The Saudi Organization for Certified Public Accountants should educate entrepreneurs on why the quality of accounting information is essential to their decisions. It should also ensure that every accountant in these enterprises is registered in its database and meets the acceptable conditions for practicing the accounting profession.

Keywords: Entrepreneurs, Small and Medium Enterprises, Quality of Accounting Information, Decision-making and Saudi Vision 2030.


INTRODUCTION

Accounting information is an important element that plays a role in determining the effectiveness and efficiency of the entity using it, especially when it is characterized by quality, which is indispensable in the making of appropriate decisions. A growing body of literature indicates that quantitative financial data create a meaningful means for management to assess historical performance and respond to future uncertainties. This study aims to examine the impact of the quality of accounting information on decisions made by entrepreneurs at small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia. There is no doubt that SMEs constitute a basic pillar and serve a vital role in supporting the national economy (Ahmad et al., 2020, Ajay & Micah, 2014; Al-Hiyari et al., 2013). It is for this reason that countries around the world strive to ensure that SMEs are managed efficiently and effectively. The Saudi Arabian government recently introduced a national transformation programme, Vision 2030, which focuses on SMEs. Vision 2030 aims to diversify sources of income. It is a package of

social and economic policies that are designed to free the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA) from dependence on oil exports and to build a prosperous and sustainable economic future by focusing on the country's strength and policies (Vision, 2030). At present, 950,000 SMEs are registered in the Kingdom for business purposes, and these provide Saudis with employment opportunities (Jadwa, 2019). The number of SMEs operating in the Kingdom suggests that they play a significant role in its economy. A number of studies have been conducted on the effect of quality of accounting information on the decision-making process; most of these studies have focused mainly on large quoted companies (Odar et al., 2015; Awadh et al., 2016; Dabash, 2016; Zugbi, 2016; Altayeb et al., 2017; Boqandora, 2017; Budairi, 2017; Heidhues & Patel, 2019; Al-Matari et al., 2013). To date, and to the best of the authors' knowledge, no published studies have comprehensively examined the role of the quality of accounting information in decision making by entrepreneurs at SMEs in Saudi Arabia, or even in Gulf countries. Against this backdrop, the current research work seeks to explore the impact of the quality of accounting information on decisions made by entrepreneurs. The remainder of this paper presents the survey results in a number of different sections. In particular, Section 2 presents a brief review of the literature. Section 3 describes the methodology of the study. Section 4 discusses the results obtained from the survey, and section 5 concludes the paper.

LITERATURE REVIEW

This paper is guided by the agency, stakeholder and institutional theories. However, the agency theory is considered to be most relevant to this study, since it is based on the relationship between one party (the principal) who delegates the work to another party (the agent). In this context, the owners of the business are considered to be the principals, and the managers are the agents. The agent is mainly responsible for making decisions on behalf of the owner based on the available accounting information. Accounting information quality is directly related to the decision-making process; as a result, poor information quality may have adverse effects on the quality of those decisions (Huang et al., 1999; Gómez-Guillamón & Vidal, 2008, Karilainen et al, 2014). For example, Bowen (1993) argued that an error in an inventory database may cause managers to make incorrect decisions, resulting in over-stocking or under-stocking, which could have a severe impact on company profitability and customer satisfaction. Accounting information quality is represented by four attributes that are shown in the hierarchy of qualities described in Figure 1 (Dabash, 2016). These attributes reflect four qualitative characteristics of accounting information that serve as a basis for decision making (Abohashish, 2005; Dabash, 2016, Shields, 1998): the primary qualities of relevance and reliability and the secondary qualities of comparability and consistency. These qualities make accounting information understandable and useful for decision and reporting purposes. The attributes of accounting information quality described in Figure 1 help decision-makers to assess past and future events (Abohashish, 2005; Ali, 2011; Saunders & Robinson, 1989). Relevance of accounting information means that there is a close relationship between the information derived from financial accounting and the purposes for which it was prepared (Shubair, 2006; Obaidat, 2007; Budairi, 2017, Beest & Braam, 2006). The role of relevant accounting information in effective decision making has received the attention of several researchers. For example, Obaidat (2007) document that financial data are relevant to investors, creditors, and other stakeholders only if they are capable of making a difference in a decision (Hyndman & Connolly, 2011; Farag, 2011; Rich, 2017, Shanks & Darke, 1998).



Source: (Obaidat, 2007; Dabash, 2016; and Boqandora, 2017)

FIGURE 1 QUALITATIVE CHARACTERISTICS OF ACCOUNTING INFORMATION

Obaidat (2007) argued that reliability in accounting information means that it is verifiable, faithfully represented, and is reasonably free of errors and bias. Palazuelos et al., (2017) provide a compelling argument for the link between reliable accounting data and rational decision making. Using a sample of 471 bank loan officers in Spain, and structural equation modelling, the authors conclude that perceived accounting information quality has a positive impact on trust. Odar et al., (2015) conducted a survey on some selected Slovenian companies and found that financial statements are useful if they are characterized by several attributes, including integration and timeliness. Some researchers have studied the relationship between the comparability of accounting information and decision making (Osborne, 2010; Jayasinghe et al., 2015; Xing & Yang, 2018). Xing & Yang (2018), for example, used beta as a measure of risk among companies listed in the Compustat and CRSP databases from 1962 to 2012. They employed regression analysis to measure the impact of comparable accounting information on systematic risk. They found that accounting information quality is a sufficient and necessary condition for reducing exposure to systematic risks. Xu (2003, 2009) developed a framework for linking the relationships between data quality in accounting information systems and stakeholder groups, such as information managers, information users, internal auditors and information producers. The study found that quality of accounting information can be estimated by four dimensions: accuracy, timeliness, completeness and consistency. Ballou et al., (1993) identified consistency as a dimension that takes place when the representation of the data values is the same in all cases. Similarly, Budairi (2017) revealed that there is a positive relationship between quality of accounting information, and the level of decisions quality in his empirical study on of selected companies in Iraq. Lack of quality in information may have negative effects on decision making (Huang et al., 1999). For instance, Bowen (1993) believed that any mistake in an

inventory database may lead managers to take wrong decision, resulting in over-stock or under-stock, which lead to great impact on the company profitability and customer satisfaction. This view was supported by Klein (1998) who suggests that errors that are stored in the organization database, would ultimately lead to poor decisions. Similarly, Redman (1992) reveals that the organization competitive success may adversely be affected by inaccurate and incomplete data.

Decision making is the heart of administration, which is the starting point for all activities, relationships and interactions with the external environment (Simon, 1997; Farag, 2011). Moreover, the cessation of decision making and actions that take place inside an organization, whatever type, lead to business obstruction, as the importance and gravity of decisions increases as the size of the organization becomes larger, and its activities become more diversified and more in contact with the public (Danos et al., 1989; Kanaan, 1998; Al-Dalabih, 2018). The decision-making process is directly related to management functions, such as planning, organizing, directing and controlling, that take place in each level or activity of an organization (Kyari, 2020). The current study is aimed at determining the link between qualitative characteristics of accounting information (relevance, reliability, comparability and consistency) and the decisions made by entrepreneurs in Saudi Arabia. To do this, the study presents the following hypotheses.

Hypotheses

H1: Relevance of accounting information has no significant impact on the decisions made by entrepreneurs in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia.

H2: Reliability of accounting information has no significant impact on the decisions made by entrepreneurs in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia.

H3: Comparability of accounting information has no significant impact on the decisions made by entrepreneurs in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia.

H4: Consistency of accounting information has no significant impact on the decisions made by entrepreneurs in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia.

METHODOLOGY

This research is explanatory in nature, as it aims to explain and identify the relationship between the quality of accounting information and decisions made by entrepreneurs in the Eastern Province. The population of the study comprises of all the SMEs operating in the Eastern Province; the data which was obtained from the four Chambers in the Eastern Province reveals a total of 39,160 enterprises as at December 2019 (see Table 1). A questionnaire was developed by drawing on instruments that were used in previous studies of quality of accounting information. The questionnaire targeted the managers of 500 SMEs across the four Chambers in the Eastern Province. Questionnaire links were distributed to the targeted sample through email and WhatsApp contacts (on April 15, 2020) provided by the four Chambers in the Eastern Province. The fieldwork relating to this survey was conducted over an 11-day period. A total of three reminders were sent. Different scales were used to construct the questionnaire. For example, a nominal scale was employed mainly in the first part of the questionnaire to obtain background details of the participants. A five-point Likert scale was employed in the second part of the questionnaire where respondents were asked to indicate their agreement (from “*Strongly disagree*” to “*Strongly agree*”) with statements about the variables of the study.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The QuestionPro platform shows that a total of 996 persons looked at the questionnaire without completing participation; 603 dropouts and 393 responses were recorded, of which 245 (62%) were useable, as summarized in Table 2. The Table presents the exact distribution of the responses received from the Chambers that constitute this study. To analyse the responses to the questionnaire, SPSS version 23 was used, and descriptive statistics were calculated. Results of the normality test indicate that the data are normally distributed in the histograms of variables, without significant outliers of the values as the points in the Q-Q plot were found to lie on a reasonably straight line. Also, the range of skewness and kurtosis values is near to zero, which is considered acceptable, as suggested by West et al., (1996).

Province	Al-Ahsa Chamber	Jubail Chamber	Qatif Chamber	Sharqiyah Chamber	Total
Small enterprises	17,585	1,397	2,606	6,482	28,070
Sample size	17	7	3	95	112
Medium enterprises	3,411	1,249	1,640	4,790	11,090
Sample size	16	5	3	109	133

Source: Four Chambers of the Eastern Province

The Alpha Cronbach coefficient was 0.88, which is relatively acceptable. It is a percentage that makes the questionnaire characterized by consistency, which indicates that the stability factor is high; therefore, it is valid for statistical analysis. Pearson's correlation coefficient and multiple regressions were used to test the hypotheses of the study and explore the relationship between the dependent and independent variables. Table 2 presents demographic information about the respondents. Specifically, the Table provides information on their gender, educational qualifications, specialization, size of the enterprise and the chamber to which the respondents belong. It also reveals the types of decisions that are normally made by the entrepreneurs, their total years of experience, the number of employees and the type of accounting information they keep. The questionnaire yielded 245 useable responses across the Eastern Province Chambers. Of the participants, 80.4% were male, and 19.6% were female. The majority of the respondents were well educated: 86.5% had obtained a bachelor's degree out of which 20.4% held a master's degree. Only a minority (13.5%) held non-graduate qualifications, ranging from a diploma to a high school certificate.

Statements	Choice of Answer	Total	
		No.	%
Gender	Male	197	80.4
	Female	48	19.6
Highest educational qualification	High school certificate	9	3.7
	Diploma	16	6.5
	Bachelor degree	126	66.1

	Master degree	50	20.4
	Other	8	3.3
Specialization	Accounting	83	33.9
	Finance	23	9.4
	Business	75	30.6
	Other	64	26.1
Please indicate which Chamber your enterprise belongs to?	Sharqiyah Chamber	194	79.2
	Al-Ahsa Chamber	33	13.5
	Jubail Chamber	12	4.9
	Qatif Chamber	6	2.4
Which among the following decisions do you normally take?	Human resources decisions	134	54.7
	Procurement decisions	134	54.7
	Production decisions	78	31.8
	Inventory management decisions	89	36.3
	Pricing decisions	106	43.3
	Marketing decisions	100	40.8
Total years of experience	Less than 5 years	63	25.7
	5-10 years	70	28.6
	11-15 years	35	14.3
	Over 15 years	77	31.4
Enterprise's activity	Industrial	46	18.8
	Commercial	89	36.3
	Services	89	36.3
	Other	21	8.6
Number of Employees	10 or less employees	80	32.7
	11-20 employees	30	12.2
	21-50 employees	44	18.0
	More than 50 employees	91	37.1
Accounting information system used in the enterprise	Manual	34	13.9
	Semi-automated	100	40.8
	Automated	111	45.3

Source: SPSS Output (2020)

The mean awarded by the respondents to the statements in Table 3 ranges from 4.13 as the lowest value to 4.49 as the highest value. All the mean scores are significantly different from the neutral response of 3.00. These findings indicate respondents' support for the notion that the quality of accounting information has a significant impact on decisions they made.

Correlation Analysis

Preliminary analyses were performed before conducting Pearson's correlation analysis to ensure no violation of the assumptions of normality, linearity and homoscedasticity. Table 4 summarizes the results of the Pearson's Correlation analysis. The results in Table 4 reveal that all the absolute correlation values between the independent variables are below 0.60, which means

that their relationship is either weak or moderate. In Pearson's correlation, the larger the absolute value of the correlation, the stronger relationship between the variables, with a maximum value of $R=+/-1$. The R values were 0.422, 0.307, 0.389 and 0.509, which indicate a positive association between the proxies that represent the qualities of accounting information and decisions made by entrepreneurs at SMEs in the Eastern Province. The correlational values show a moderate relationship between decision making and relevance, reliability and comparability of accounting information, while a strong relationship is found between decision making and consistency of accounting information, with a very high statistical significance ($p < .0001$). Perhaps the reason behind this low relationship between Reliability of accounting information and Decision making is due to the nature of ownership in the sampled SMEs. A large number of the respondents played multiple roles in the enterprises they run; for example, some managers take the role of accountants.

Multiple Regression Analysis

Multiple Regression was used to compare the predictive ability of the independent variables (Relevance, Reliability, Comparability and Consistency) of the study, and to find the best set of variables to predict the dependent variable (Decision Making). After checking all the assumptions, multi-collinearity violation was not found. In addition, residual scatter plots were generated in order to check the normality, linearity, homoscedasticity and independence of residuals.

Table 5 summarizes the results of the regression analysis. The results reveal 0.378 to be the value of R Square, which means that relevance, reliability; comparability and consistency of accounting information can explain 37.8% of the variance of the decision making of entrepreneurs at SMEs in the Eastern Province. An analysis of Table 5 reveals that consistency has the largest beta coefficient, 0.342, with a p-value of .000 at 5% level of significance. This means that consistency makes the strongest statistically significant contribution in explaining the dependent variable (Decision Making) when the variance explained by all other variables in the model is controlled.

Further, the beta value for relevance was slightly less (0.250), indicating that it makes less of a contribution, with a p-value of 0.000 at 5% level of significance. For comparability, beta was 0.188, with a 0.001 level of significance ($p\text{-value} < 0.05$). Finally, the beta value for reliability was 0.081, with a 0.153 level of significance ($p\text{-value} > 0.05$). This indicates that such a variable does not make a significant, unique contribution in predicting the dependent variable. The coefficient values and significance levels (p-values) in Table 5 were used to test the hypotheses and answer the research questions of the study.

Statements	Mean	SD	Rank
Bias-free accounting information makes better decisions.	4.49	0.591	1
Comparability of accounting information from one period to another enhances effectiveness of decision-making process.	4.43	0.565	2
Timely accounting information makes better decisions.	4.43	0.566	2
True representation of accounting information leads to informed decisions.	4.4	0.611	3
Neutrality of accounting information benefits all stakeholders of the firm.	4.32	0.787	4

Predictive accounting information facilitates effective decision making.	4.27	0.649	5
Accounting information on previous plans enhances future decisions.	4.27	0.703	5
Availability of predictive information helps in future decisions.	4.27	0.729	5
External auditor strengthens management decisions.	4.22	0.898	6
Consistency of accounting information, enhances decision making.	4.21	0.692	7
Comparability of accounting information about the firm's competitors enhances decision making process.	4.15	0.759	8
Providing clarifications and justifications when switching accounting methods improve decision making process.	4.13	0.778	9
<i>Note: A 5-point Likert scale was used in these questions. It ranged from 1= "Unimportant" to 5 = "Very important". The ranking in the column headed Rank is based on the mean of participants. Source: SPSS Output (2020).</i>			

		Decision Making	Relevance	Reliability	Comparability	Consistency
Decision Making	Pearson Correlation	1				
	Sig. (2-tailed)					
	N	245				
Relevance	Pearson Correlation	0.422**	1			
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0				
	N	245	245			
Reliability	Pearson Correlation	0.307**	0.192**	1		
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0	0.003			
	N	245	245	245		
Comparability	Pearson Correlation	0.389**	0.250**	0.354**	1	
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0	0	0		
	N	245	245	245	245	
Consistency	Pearson Correlation	0.509**	0.321**	0.328**	0.322**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0	0	0	0	
	N	245	245	245	245	245
<i>**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).</i>						

Source: SPSS Output (2020)

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	Collinearity Statistics	
	B	Std. Error	Beta			Tolerance	VIF
(Constant)	1.607	0.229		7.028	0		
M Relevance	0.206	0.045	0.25	4.586	0	0.871	1.148
M Reliability	0.049	0.034	0.081	1.435	0.153	0.822	1.217

M Comparability	0.143	0.043	0.188	3.327	0.001	0.81	1.234
M Consistency	0.255	0.043	0.342	5.989	0	0.794	1.259
<i>Summary: R²=0.378; Adjusted R²=0.368; F=36.468; Sig.=0.000</i>							

Test of Hypotheses

Hypothesis 1

As seen in Table 5, the coefficient of the relevance of accounting information is .250 with a p-value of .000 at 5% significance level. This indicates that there is a positive, statistically significant impact of the relevance of accounting information on the dependent variable (Decision Making). As a result, the null hypothesis is rejected. This result is consistent with the findings documented by Shubair (2006), Jarbou (2007), Hali (2009), Ali (2011), Dabash (2016), Zugbi (2016) and Budairi (2017).

Hypothesis 2

The results in Table 5 suggest that the reliability of accounting information does not have a significant impact on the decisions of entrepreneurs in the Eastern Province. The Table shows that the coefficient is 0.081, which indicates a positive relation, with a value of .153, which is higher than the specified 5% level of significance. As a result, the null hypothesis is hereby accepted. This finding contradicts those of prior studies that examined the accounting information quality of quoted companies (Frag, 2011; Shubair, 2006; Dabash, 2016; Jarbou, 2007; Hali, 2009; Budairi, 2017; Daya, 2019; Ali, 2011; Zugbi, 2016; Altayeb et al., 2017).

Hypothesis 3

The coefficient of the comparability of accounting information is 0.188, and the p-value is less than 5% (0.001). This indicates a significant positive impact of the comparability of accounting information on the decision making of the sampled entrepreneurs. As a result, the null hypothesis is rejected. This finding corroborates those of Shubair (2006); Jarbou (2007); Hali (2009); Dabash (2016); Zugbi (2016) and Budairi (2017).

Hypothesis 4

As seen in Table 5, the consistency of accounting information has a beta coefficient of .342 and a p-value of 0.000. These results indicate a significant positive impact of consistency on the entrepreneurs' decisions. As a result, the null hypothesis is rejected. This is consistent with the studies of Shubair (2006), Jarbou (2007), Hali (2009), Dabash (2016), Zugbi (2016), and Budairi (2017). In contrast, results of this study contradict those of Ali (2011), who found no significant association (weak relationship) between the consistency of accounting information and decision making in his empirical study of Algerian firms.

CONCLUSION

This paper reports the results of a survey of entrepreneurs at SMEs in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia on how they perceived the impact of the quality of accounting information on their decision-making process. The survey focused on the relationship between the key characteristics that define accounting quality-relevance, reliability, comparability and consistency-and the decisions made by entrepreneurs. Results indicated a statistically significant

relationship between the dependent variable (Decision Making) and three of the independent variables: Relevance, Comparability and Consistency. Hence, the study rejects the first, third and fourth null hypotheses. However, this study accepts the second null hypothesis due to the insignificant statistical association between the reliability of accounting information and decision making. Overall, the findings of this study indicate that the quality of accounting information has an impact on the decisions made by entrepreneurs at SMEs in the Eastern Province. The Saudi Organization for Certified Public Accountants should educate entrepreneurs on how the quality of accounting information is essential to the decisions they make. It should also ensure that every accountant in these enterprises is registered in its database and meets the acceptable conditions for practising the accounting profession. In addition, Chambers of the Eastern Province should encourage SMEs to follow Saudi Corporate Governance regulations that are appealing to them, by providing adequate training on how to apply the guidelines. This will ensure quality of SME's accounting information and improve their decision-making process.

REFERENCES

- Abohashish, K.A. (2005). *Management Accounting to Rationalize Planning Decisions (1st Edn.)*. Amman, Jordan: Dar Wa'al.
- Ahmad, S., Siddiqui, K.A. and AboAlsamh, H.M. (2020). Family SMEs' survival: the role of owner family and corporate social responsibility. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 27(2), 281-297.
- Ajay, S.S., & Micah, M.B. (2014). Sampling Techniques & Determination of Sample Size in Applied Statistics Research: An Overview. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management*, 2(11), 1-22.
- Al-Dalabih, F.A. (2018). The Impact of the Use of Accounting Information Systems on the Quality of Financial Data. *International Business Research*, 11(5), 143-158.
- Al-Hiyari, A., AL-Mashregy, M.H., Mat, N.K., & Alekam, J.M. (2013). Factors that Affect Accounting Information System Implementation and Accounting Information Quality: A Survey in University Utara Malaysia. *American Journal of Economics*, 3(1), 27-31.
- Ali, H. (2011). *The impact of the quality of accounting information on decision-making in the economic institutions*. Master Thesis, Khaidar Baskarah University. Algeria.
- Al-Matari, Y.A., Al-Swidi, A.K., Bt Fadzil, F.H., & Al-Matari, E.M. (2012). Board of directors, audit committee characteristics and performance of Saudi Arabian listed companies. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 2(4), 241-251.
- Ballou, D.P., Wang, R.Y., Pazer, H.L., & Tayi, K.G. (1993). Modeling Data Manufacturing Systems to Determine Data Product Quality. *Sloan School of Management*, 2(4), 93-109.
- Beest, F.V., & Braam, G. (2006). *Convergence through divergence: an analysis of relationships between qualitative characteristics of the conceptual frameworks of the FASB and IASB*. Radboud University, Nijmegen.
- Boqandora, H. (2017). *The quality of accounting information and its impact on the decisions of users of the financial statements*. Master Thesis, University of Al-Arabi bin Mahdi - Umm Al-Bawaki - Algeria.
- Bowen, P. (1993). *Managing data quality accounting information systems: A stochastic clearing system approach*. unpublished PHD dissertation, Tennessee, state university, Nashville.
- Budairi, H.J.G (2017). The effect of quality of accounting information on financial statements on the decisions of its users, an applied study in a group of Iraqi companies. *Al-Ghari Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*, 14(1), 355-388.
- Dabash, A. (2016). *The effect of the quality of accounting information on making investment decisions*. PhD Thesis, University of Al-Arabi bin Mahdi - Umm Al-Bawaki - Algeria.
- Danos, P., Holt, D.L., & Imhoff, E.A. (1989). The use of accounting information in bank lending decisions. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 14(3), 235-246.
- Daya, M.Y. (2019). *The impact of the use of accounting information systems on the quality of financial data in the services sector in the Gaza Strip*. Master Thesis, Islamic University of Gaza.
- Farag, M.M. (2011). *The role of accounting information in making administrative decisions under conditions of uncertainty*. Master Thesis, University of Islamic- Gaza.

- Gómez-Guillamón, A.D., & Vidal, J.S. (2008). The relevance of the audit report for obtaining bank credit. *Spanish Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 37(138), 255-278.
- Heidhues, E., & Patel, C. (2008). The Role of Accounting Information in Decision-Making Processes in a German Dairy Cooperative. *International Conference on Accounting and Business (10th: 2008) - Shanghai, China*.
- Huang, H.T., Lee, Y.W., & Wang, R.Y. (1999). *Quality Information and Knowledge*. Prentice Hall, New Jersey.
- Hyndman, N., & Connolly, C. (2011). Accruals accounting in the public sector: A road not always taken. *Management Accounting Research*, 22(1), 36-45.
- IASB. (2009). *International financial reporting standard for small and medium-sized entities (IFRS for SMEs)*. London: IASB Foundation Publications Department.
- IASB. (2013). *International financial reporting standards, Part A the conceptual framework and requirement*. International Accounting Standards Board. London: IASB.
- Jarbou, Y.M. (2007). Accounting information fields in the financial statements in improving administrative decisions. *Journal of the Islamic University*, 15 (2), 507-555.
- Jayasinghe, K., Nath, N., & Othman, R. (2015). *The Public sector accounting, accountability and auditing in emerging economies*. Bingley: Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Kanaan, N. (1998). *Administrative Decisions Between Theory and Practice (5th Edn.)*. Amman, Jordan: Dar Al-Thaqafa.
- Karilainen, M. (2014). *Usefulness of Financial Accounting Information in Commercial Lending*. Master Thesis, University of Karlstad.
- Kyari, A.K. (2020). The impact of university entrepreneurship education on financial performance of graduate entrepreneurs. *Journal of Entrepreneurship Education*, 23(1).
- Obaidat, A.N. (2007). Accounting information qualitative characteristics gap: Evidence from Jordan. *International Management Review*, 3(2), 26-32.
- Odar, M., Kravic, S., & Jerman, M. (2015). The Role of a Management Accounting System in the Decision-Making Process: Evidence from a Post-Transition Economy. *Engineering Economics*, 26(1), 84-92.
- Osborne, S.P. (2010). *The New Public Governance? Emerging Perspectives on the Theory and Practice of Public Governance (1st edn.)*. Edinburgh, UK: Routledge.
- Palazuelos, E., Crespo, Á.H., & del Corte, J.M. (2017). Accounting information quality and trust as determinants of credit granting to SMEs: the role of external audit. *Small Business Economics*, 51(4), 861-877.
- Rich, J.S., & Jones, J. (2017). *Cornerstones of Financial Accounting (4th Edn.)*. Illinois, USA: Cengage Learning.
- Saudi Industrial Development Fund (2019) *Small and Medium Enterprises Loan Guarantee Program*. Retrieved from: <https://www.sidf.gov.sa/en/Achievements/Pages/SmallandMediumEnterprises.aspx>
- Saunders, I.W., & Robinson, G. K. (1989). Restricted stratified random sampling. *International Journal of Mineral Processing*, 25(3-4), 159-166.
- Shanks, G., & Darke, P. (Eds.). (1998). *Understanding Data Quality in Data Warehousing: A Semiotic Approach*. Boston, Massachusetts, US: Conference on Information Quality.
- Shields, M.D. (1998). Management accounting practices in Europe: A perspective from the States. *Management Accounting Research*, 9(4), 501-513.
- Shubair, A.A. (2006). *The role of accounting information in making management decisions*. Master Thesis, University of Islamic- Gaza.
- Simon, H.A. (1997). *Administrative Behavior: A study of decision-making processes in administrative organizations (4th Edn.)*. Amsterdam, Netherlands: Amsterdam University Press.
- Xing, X., & Yan, S. (2018). Accounting information quality and systematic risk. *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting*, 52(1), 85-103.
- Xu, H. (2003). *Critical success factors for accounting information systems data quality*. PhD Thesis, University of Southern Queensland.
- Xu, H. (2009). Data quality issues for accounting information systems' implementation: Systems, stakeholders, and organizational factors. *Journal of Technology Research*, 1, 1-4.
- Zugbi, Z.A. (2016). *Accounting information in banking risk management*. PhD Thesis, Al-Zaytona University. Jordan.