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ABSTRACT

The aim of the study is to investigate the impact of the adoption of International Financial Reporting Standard (IFRS) on value relevance of book value of equity and income in the Indonesian manufacturing Indonesia listed in the Indonesian Stock Exchange during 2009-2013, with the year 2011 as cut-off before and after adoption of IFRS. The results of study indicate that there is an incline of value relevance of book value of equity and income. For manufacturing sector, this study implies that the adoption of IFRS does not improve the higher level of value relevance than before. In other words, investors require other information to help them in taking decision for investment.
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BACKGROUND

The study applies Indonesian data to investigate the extent to which International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) impact on relevance of Equity and Earning Book Value. The last few years, International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) have become a hot topic in Indonesia as the country that has adopted IFRS since January 2012. According to Callao, Jarne & Laínez (2007) that the main issues of IFRS implementation is whether the use of IFRS can increase the benefit of financial reporting.

IFRS adoption certainly demands higher reporting quality from local standards, including better relevance level. However, whether this certainly is the reality in company in Indonesia after two years of stating to adopt IFRS fully, it is necessary to conduct a study that will give empirical evidence of that matter. This study investigation in manufacturing sector listed in the Indonesia Stock Exchange as this sector has the biggest segment in the Indonesian Stock Exchange (Lau & Sholihin, 2005; Yuliansyah & Razimi, 2015; Kasif et al., 2018).

PREVIOUS STUDIES

IFRS implementation gives different effects for each country. The following is the result of study on adoption of IFRS in some countries. Callao (2007) observed the difference of SAS (Spain Accounting Standards) and International Accounting Standards (IAS) and concluded that the obligation to use international standards does not give greater benefit viewed from the difference of comparability power from financial reporting that uses local standards and international standards. Callao et al. (2007) found IFRS adoption required a great cost as well as change of organization, business structure and accounting policy.
Whereas Clarkson et al. (2011) gave evidence empirically that comparability increased with the presence of IFRS adoption in Australia, Ireland and other European countries. Liu, O’Farrell and Yao (2010) found the significant difference in net income under European Union IFRS with US-GAAP for European Union (Barth et al., 2014).

Several studies conducted in China such as Qu, Fong and Oliver (2012) and He, Wong and Young (2012) show different perspective of findings. For example, Qu, Fong and Oliver (2012) found that implementation of IFRS provides negative perspective for investors who want to know income statement information for investment decisions. He, Wong and Young (2012) find that IFRS can decrease the quality of earning as well as the combined value-relevance of earnings and book value. Study in the Rusian Maket conducted by Garanina & Kormiltsi (2013) found that adoption of IFRS does not have an impact on the increase of value relevance of financial reporting.

Yip & Young (2012) found that IFRS convergence can increase the quality of accounting information. This study also supports the Study of Jermakowicz & Gornik-Tomaszewski (2006) on companies in European Union (EU) showing that IFRS implementation gives positive effect for companies. Based on the difference from some countries, we want to study on how IFSR implementation after two years of being implemented in Indonesia.

**METHODOLOGY**

Secondary data of manufacturing companies registered in Indonesian stock exchange is collected. Companies having financial reports, with positive equity or income are selected. Data is obtained for 2009, 2010, 2012 and 2013. Year 2011 is used as cut down year for before and after IFRS adoption (Brüggemann et al., 2013).

**Relative Value vs Equity Book Value of Company**

Model to measure relative relevance developed by Hung (2004) is as the following:

\[ MC_{it} = a_0 + a_1BVE_{it} + a_2N_{it} + e_{it} \]  (1)

To measure relevance individually on variable above, then, the equation used is as the following:

\[ MC_{it} = b_0 + b_1BVE_{it} + e_{it} \]  (2)

\[ MC_{it} = c_0 + c_1NI_{it} + e_{it} \]  (3)

Where \( MC_{it} \) = Market Capitalization Value of company \( i \) in the end of year \( t \), \( a_1BVE_{it} \) = Equity Book Value of company \( i \) in the end of year \( t \), \( a_2NI_{it} \) = Net Income of company \( i \) in the end of year \( t \), \( b_1BVE_{it} \) = Equity Book Value of company \( i \) in the end of year \( t \), \( C_1NI_{it} \) = Net Income of company \( i \) in the end of year \( t \).

Meanwhile, to measure the value of each share sheet, it is by dividing each above variable with the number of shares circulated.

Therefore, the equation is as the following:

\[ P_{it} = a_0 + a_1BVEPS_{it} + a_2NIPS_{it} + e_{it} \]  (4)

\[ P_{it} = b_0 + b_1BVEPS_{it} + e_{it} \]  (5)

\[ P_{it} = c_0 + c_1NIPS_{it} + e_{it} \]  (6)
\[ P_{it} = \text{Share Rate of company}_i \text{ in the end of year}_t, \ a_1\text{BVEPS}_{it} = \text{Equity Book Value per share of company}_i \text{ in the end of year}_t, \ a_2\text{NIPS}_{it} = \text{Net Income per share of company}_i \text{ in the end of year}_t, \ b_1\text{BVEPS}_{it} = \text{Book Value Equity per share of company}_i \text{ in the end of year}_t, \ c_1\text{NIPS}_{it} = \text{Net Income per share of company}_i \text{ in the end of year}_t. \]

**Incremental Relevance of Equity and Income Book Value**

The measurement of incremental relevance is done by subtracting total \( R^2 \) (simultaneous) with \( R^2 \) (BVE, individual) and or \( \text{adj } R^2 \) (NI).

**Hypothesis Testing**

Hypotheses that are tested are as the following:

\[ H_1: \text{There is an increase in book value of equity and income simultaneously after SAK of IFRS adoption being implemented fully.} \]

\[ H_2: \text{There is a significant increase in relevance of book value and income individually after SAK of IFRS adoption being implemented fully.} \]

Its conclusion drawing is based on adjusted \( R^2 \) (obtained from the result of regression with Eviews) between the period after and the period before full implementation of IFRS. If adjusted \( R^2 \) of the period before < adjusted \( R^2 \) of the period after implementation, then, the conclusion is that there is an increase of value relevance of financial report. In contrary, if the value of adjusted \( R^2 \) of the period before > adjusted \( R^2 \) of the period after implementation, then, the conclusion is that there a decrease of value relevance of financial report after adoption.

**Hasil Pengujian Hipotesis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tahun</th>
<th>MARKCAP</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>BVE</th>
<th>NI</th>
<th>Adj R²</th>
<th>( \Delta ) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>506.729.6</td>
<td>1.3910</td>
<td>8.3743</td>
<td>0.7141</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>859.226.3</td>
<td>-2.10722</td>
<td>24.5536</td>
<td>0.9510</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>6.283.771.5</td>
<td>-1.8808</td>
<td>22.4768</td>
<td>0.8070</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2.317.414.9</td>
<td>-1.8806</td>
<td>24.2656</td>
<td>0.8754</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \text{MARKCAP} = b_0 + b_1\text{BVEit} + \text{eit} (2) \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tahun</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>BVE</th>
<th>Adj R²</th>
<th>( \Delta ) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>575.742.9</td>
<td>3.4591</td>
<td>0.6711</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>966.260.6</td>
<td>3.9414</td>
<td>0.7650</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>5.840.182.9</td>
<td>3.3606</td>
<td>0.5444</td>
<td>-5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>3.833.645.9</td>
<td>2.6430</td>
<td>0.4938</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \text{MARKCAP} = c_0 + c_1\text{NIt} + \text{eit} (3) \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tahun</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>NI</th>
<th>Adj R²</th>
<th>( \Delta ) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>868.782.0</td>
<td>13.1112</td>
<td>0.6997</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>446.232.2</td>
<td>16.7923</td>
<td>0.9310</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>5.142.840.7</td>
<td>15.7345</td>
<td>0.7804</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1.179.223.7</td>
<td>16.2549</td>
<td>0.8241</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MARKCAP: Market Capitalization (share rate x share circulated), BVE: Book Value of Equity, NI: Net Income

Multiple regression analysis is done to examine hypothesis of value relevance simultaneously and individually on variable BE and NI. This testing is done for us to be able
to compare when an equation is tested by its total value and when it is tested by it per share value. The testing result of hypothesis on this value relevance can be seen on Table 1.

Similar to Andriantomo (2013), the conclusion drawing from hypothesis of this value relevance is based on the increase and decrease of adjusted R square between period of before and after the implantation SAK of IFRS adoption.

Based on the table above, it can be seen that in model 1 there is the increase of adjusted R square from 71.41% to 95.09% in the period before IFRS and the increase in the period after IFRS from 80.70% to 87.54%. Between period of before and after IFRS, there is an increase. In model 2, there is an increase in the period before IFRS from 67.11% to 76.49%. However, it decreases after IFRS adoption which is as much as 54.44% and 49.37%. Meanwhile, in model 3, there is an increase in the period, but there is a decrease between periods. Before IFRS, 69.96% increases to be 93.09%. In the period after IFRS, 78.03% increases to be 82.41%. Based on the fact above, it can be concluded that by using total asset of each company, there is the decrease of relevance value of equity and income both simultaneously and individually. It is because value of adjusted R square before IFRS is greater (> ) than after IFRS. Therefore, the hypothesis proposed that there is an increase in the relevance of book value of equity and income simultaneously after SAK of IFRS adoption is being implemented fully cannot be accepted.

Table 2 below shows the presence of increase and decrease that are varied. The increase is in period and the decrease is between periods. In model 4 of period before, it increases from 33.70% to 82.13%, while period of after, it increases from 78.66% to 98.98%. Model 5 has tendency that is similar to model 4 as well as model 6. It demonstrates that by using data of value per share, there is a decrease of adjusted R square showing that there is a decrease in value relevance of equity and income on share rate after the implementation of SAK of IFRS adoption.

The result from equations above shows the symptom of consistent decrease and increase. The increase is in the period before and after as well as the decrease between periods of before to after full implementation. Therefore, hypothesis 2 stating that there is significant increase in relevance of book value and income individually after SAK of IFRS adoption being implemented cannot be accepted.
It does not support the result of the study done by Hung & Subramanyam (2004) explaining that there is an increase of value relevance on international standard in Germany. However, this finding supports the study of Andriantomo demonstrating that even though generally there is increase in value relevance in period of 2000 to 2009, between those years there is decrease. It is in contrary with the expectation and mostly in studies stating that IFRS implementation will be able to increase value relevance of a financial report.

Thus, it can be concluded that there is no increase in value relevance after full implementation of SAK of IFRS adoption.

Meanwhile, for incremental value relevance that will measure the comparison between book value and net income, where its information content is stronger between one to another.

Table 3 shows that incremental of NI is always greater than incremental of BV. It shows that income information content describes share rate more than book value of equity.

The above Table 4 shows the amount of comparison of value relevance between Book Value of equity and Net Income.

CONCLUSION

This study is done with the aim to prove the existence of value relevance increase after full implementation of SAK of IFRS adoption in manufacturing sector companies in Indonesian Stock Exchange. The study result shows that there is no increase of relevance of book value of equity and income toward share rate after IFRS adoption. The analysis shows that the decrease of adjusted R square from the study result occurs between the period before and after the implementation, although in each period there is increase. It means that book value of equity and income decreases its value relevance after IFRS adoption. Justification from this decrease is “alternative information hypothesis” shown by Ponziani (Ponziani) stating that more information available from time to time that will be used more by investors in corporate evaluation. It causes investors move to non-accounting information. However, data of incremental value relevance show that the relevance of income information is stronger that book value in describing share rate.

This study is not separated from limitations. The limitations of this study among others are as the following: The study location and the range of study. Data taken from this study are manufacturing companies, thus, the result of this study needs to be careful if wants to be generalized in other sectors. The second is the range of study. The use of period in this study is limited only two years before and two years after IFRS implementation, so the data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>INCREMENTAL VALUE RELEVANCE WITH TOTAL VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Adj R^2 Model 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>0.7141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0.9510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0.8070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>0.8754</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>INCREMENTAL VALUE RELEVANCE WITH VALUE PER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Adj R^2 Model 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>0.3370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0.8213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0.7867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>0.9898</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
less represent only the period of implementation. Therefore, the next study is suggested to add observation years and widen the scope of study area in order to be more comprehensive in drawing conclusion of its value relevance (Balsari & Varan, 2014).

This study has some implications. The first, this study can be guidance that if investors are willing to conduct the second expansion of company, they need other alternative information in their decision making. It is because, especially manufacturing companies, the IFRS implementation cannot give adequate contribution in corporate income increase. Because the relevance of income information is stronger than book value, investors should prioritize income information rather than book value.
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