

THE IMPACT OF ONLINE OFFERS WOOING YOUNG CUSTOMERS

Shino P. Jose, St. Pius X College, Rajapuram
Siji Cyriac, St. Pius X College, Rajapuram
Vijay Kuriakose, Indian Institute of Management (IIM), Jammu

ABSTRACT

Online shopping, internet banking, e-commerce, online offers etc. have become buzzwords of modern business. The comfort that the customer is enjoying from online shopping is encompassed of time saving, low price and high quality. The online customers are able to save time by buying the product within minutes. Since the distribution channels are short layered, customers are offered products at low price in the online portal. The products are exchanged from the manufacturer to the customer abruptly and so quality of the product is sustained. This research work is committed to learn about online offers and its effects on customers.

INTRODUCTION

Research Problem

The impact of online offers wooing young customers.

Objectives of the Study

This project is conducted for achieving the following objectives.

1. To study the customer attitude towards offer sales.
2. To identify the influence of offer sales in buying behaviour.
3. To measure the offer proneness of young customer.
4. To measure the consumer awareness for different types of online offers.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research methodology is way to systematically solve the research problem. It is a plan for action for a research project and explains in detail how data are collected and analyzed research methodology may be understood as a science of studying how research is done scientifically Amin, (2003). It can over wide range of studies from simple description and investigation to be construction of sophisticated experiment.

Research Period

The study was conducted for a period of 2 months.

Source of Data

We have collected data from primary sources alone.

Primary Data

We have collected our primary data through questionnaire. We have distributed 200 questionnaires out of which we could identify only 120 respondents Bergmann (1998).

Sample Size

Sample size is an important feature of any empirical study in which the goal is to make inferences about a population from a sample. In practice, the sample size used in a study is determined based on the expenses of data collection and need to have sufficient statistical power. A group of 120 respondents were selected for this study Alina (2014), Bharadwaj, (2007); Brennan, (2003); Cui, et al. (2012).

Sampling Method

The respondents are online customers. There are millions of online customers spread around various parts of the world. Even though, we can't establish that their behaviour pattern and nature are alike, we opted convenience sampling mostly because of the limited period of study, expenses of data collection and scope of accessibility of respondents Delafrooz, et al. (2010).

Method of Data Collection

Questionnaire is used for data collection. We have distributed 200 questionnaires out of which we could identify only 120 respondents Diehl (2001); Kim, et al. (2007); Lee & Lin (2005); Lewis (2004); Lim, et al. (2016).

Tools Used for Data Analysis

1. **Correlation:** Correlation is a bivariate analysis that measures the strengths of an association between 2 variables and the direction of the relationship. In terms of the strength of relationship, 9th value of the correlation coefficient varies between +1 and -1.
2. **ANOVA:** Analysis of variance tests the hypothesis that the means of two or more populations are equal. ANOVA assesses the importance of one or more factors by comparing the response variable means at the different factor levels. The hypothesis states that all population means are equal while the alternative hypothesis states that at least one is different.
3. **T-test:** A T-test is a statistical examination of two population means. A two sample T-test examines whether two samples are different and is commonly used when the variances of two normal distributions are unknown and when the experiment uses a small sample size.
4. **Chi-square test:** Chi-square test is a statistical method assessing the goodness of fit between a set of observed values and those expected theoretically.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

Testing of hypotheses

Following are the statistical tools used to fulfil the hypothesis.

1. Chi-square test
2. T-test
3. ANOVA

4. Correlation

A. Chi-square test

H₁: Gender and perception of internet advertisement are independent.

H₂: There is no association between gender and online shopping satisfaction of respondents.

B. T-test

H₃: There is no significant difference between male and female in their offer proneness.

H₄: There is no significant difference between married and non- married in their offer proneness.

C. Anova

H₅: There is no significant difference between consumer having different levels of age in their offer proneness.

H₆: There is no significant difference between consumers having different level of education in their offer proneness.

D. Correalation

H₇: There is no correlation between buying behaviour and attitude of respondents.

H₈: There is no correlation between offer proneness and buying behaviour of respondent.

Testing of Hypotheses

Chi-square test: Chi-square of independent and results are applied to test the hypothesis given below.

H₁: Gender and perception of internet advertisement are independent.

Gender	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	Total
Male	1	13	26	21	12	73
Female	1	4	16	13	13	47
Total	2	17	42	34	25	120

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.604	4	0.462

Interpretation

The Pearson chi-square value is 3.604 with 4 degree of freedom and p value is $0.462 > 0.05$ which is not significant. So the hypothesis gender and perception of internet advertisement are independent is accepted Tables 1-4.

H₂: *There is no association between gender and online shopping satisfaction of respondents.*

Gender	Highly Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Highly Satisfied	Total
Male	0	1	6	55	11	73
Female	0	0	4	31	12	47
Total	0	1	10	86	23	120

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.631 ^a	3	0.452

Interpretation

There Pearson Chi-square value is 2.631 with 3 degree of freedom and p value is 0.452 is greater than 0.05 which is not significant Rezaei, & Amin (2013); Rohm (2004) & Swaminathan, (2004). So the hypothesis there is no association between online shopping satisfaction and gender and the hypothesis is accepted in Tables 5-8.

T-test: Independent sample T-test is applied to test the hypothesis given below the results are:

H₃: *There is no significant difference between male and female in their offer proneness.*

Gender	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
male	73	49.9452	5.87578	0.68771
female	47	50.2340	6.38345	0.93112

	t-test for Equality of means		
	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
Offer proneness	-0.254	118	0.800

Interpretation

The t-test shows t value is -0.254 with degree of freedom 118 and the significance value of t is 0.800 greater than 0.05.

Therefore it is accepted that there is no significant difference between male and female in their offer proneness Schuster & Sporn (1998); Thananuraksakul (2007); Vollrath, et al. (1998); Wen & Huang (2006); Xu & Huang (2014); Zhou, et al. (2007); Zhu & Zhang (2010). The mean difference value also shows that there is no difference.

H₄: *There is no significant difference between married and non- married in their offer proneness.*

Offer proneness	Marital_status	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
	married	19	48.2632	6.05385
	non-married	101	50.3960	6.02508

	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
Offer Proneness	-1.415	118	0.160

Interpretation

T-test shows t value is -1.415 with degree of freedom is 118 and the significant value of t is 0.160 greater than 0.05. Therefore it is accepted that there is no significant difference between married and non-married in their offer proneness. The mean difference value also shows that there is no difference in Tables 9 & 10.

Anova

The statistical tool ANOVA is used to test the hypothesis given below and the results.

H₅: *There is no significant difference between consumer having different levels of age in their offer proneness.*

Levels Of Age	Mean Score		
	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
18-21	70	50.4000	5.94516
21-24	31	50.7097	6.81759
24-27	15	46.9333	4.60538
27-31	4	50.7500	4.57347
Total	120	50.0583	6.05479

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	169.721	3	56.574	1.565	.202
Within Groups	4192.870	116	36.145		
Total	4362.592	119			

Interpretation

The statistical tool used ANOVA shows the f value is 1.565 and the significant value $0.542 > 0.05$. Therefore the hypothesis is accepted. So it can be concluded that there is no significant difference between consumers having different levels of age in their offer proneness. Highest mean is in the age 21-27, mean age is 50.7097 in Tables 11 & 12.

H₆ There is no significant difference between consumers having different level of education in their offer proneness.

Level of Education	Mean Score		
	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
SSLC	1	56.0000	
PLUS WO	27	49.7407	5.80843
DEGREE	79	50.0506	6.44284
PG	8	48.8750	4.35685
DIPLOMA	5	52.6000	2.88097
Total	120	50.0583	6.05479

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	81.534	4	20.384	0.548	0.701
Within Groups	4281.058	115	37.227		
Total	4362.592	119			

Interpretation

The statistical tool used ANOVA shows the f value is 0.548 and the significant value $0.701 > 0.05$. Therefore the hypothesis is accepted that there is no significant difference between consumers having different level of education in their offer proneness. Highest mean in SSLC and the mean are 56.000. Correlations used to test hypothesis given below and the result are Table 13.

H₇: There is no correlation between buying behaviour and attitude of repondents.

		BUYING_BEHAVIOUR	ATTITUDE
BUYING_BEHAVIOUR	Pearson Correlation	1	0.214*

	Sig. (2-tailed)		0.019
	N	120	120
ATTITUDE	Pearson Correlation	0.214*	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.019	
	N	120	120

Interpretation

The p value is $0.019 < 0.05$. The calculated value is less than able value, so the hypothesis is rejected. So there is correlation between the buying behaviour and attitude of respondents in Table 14.

H_g: There is no correlation between offer proneness and buying behaviour of respondents.

		OFFER_PRONENESS	BUYING_BEHAVIOUR
OFFER_PRONENESS	Pearson Correlation	1	0.277**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		0.002
	N	120	120
BUYING_BEHAVIOUR	Pearson Correlation	0.277**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.002	
	N	120	120

Interpretation

The p value is $0.002 < 0.05$. The calculated value is less than the expected value, so the hypothesis is rejected. So there is correlation between the offer proneness and buying behaviour of respondents.

Findings of the Study

1. In this study, the researcher find that majority of respondents are more aware about the online shopping site flipkart than other online shopping sites and they prefer flipkart for their online shopping.
2. Flipkart and Amazon are the important online shopping sites.
3. This study also finds that, product quality is the most important factor considered by the respondents in their online shopping.
4. Majority of respondents are more aware about normal offer.
5. Other important offers are Cash on Delivery and Free Shipping.
6. It also significantly notes the offer proneness of respondents.
7. Gender and perception of internet advertisement are independent
8. There is no association between online shopping satisfaction and gender.
9. There is no significant difference between male and female in their offer proneness.
10. There is no significant difference between married and unmarried in their offer proneness
11. There is no significant difference between consumer having different levels of age in their offer proneness.
12. There is no significant difference between consumer having different levels of education in their offer proneness.
13. There is correlation between buying behaviour and attitude of respondents.
14. This study also finds that, there is correlation between buying behaviour and offer proneness of respondents.

Implications of the Study

1. In this research, the researcher tried to find out the relationship between various types of online offers and buying behaviour of consumers.
2. The findings of the research can open a new insight to online suppliers, so that they can segment their market more carefully focus mostly on product quality.
3. The study also implies that the online suppliers must understand the attitude of the online customers and then build the product according to customer preference.
4. The study also implies that advertisements, promotions and other marketing activities can be developed according to the customer preference.
5. It also implies that the online shopping is increasingly done by young customers and the manufacturer has to produce the product according to their interest.

Recommendations of the Study

1. The online suppliers should segment their market, more carefully focus mostly on product quality, preference and attitude of the different type of online offers.
2. The online supplier must understand the attitude of the customers about what type of offer they prefer and then frame the offers according to the preference.
3. Young customers are increasingly buying online, so suppliers must consider the tastes of the young customers.
4. Product manager should focus on product quality because product quality is increasingly impacting the buying behaviour of the customers.

Limitations of Study

1. Poor co-operation from some respondents.
2. Lack of diversity in terms of demographic characteristics like marital status, age because the sample population was young customers.
3. The sample had a limited size, because the research was carried out in a local area within a period of 2 months.

CONCLUSION

The project entitles “*Impact of online offers wooing young customers*”. This study examined that whether there is a relationship between buying behaviour and offer proneness of respondents. The findings of the study supported the hypothesis that there is no relationship between gender and their offer proneness. So this research study concluded that majority of consumers are satisfied in their online shopping. In the study majority of respondents are more aware about normal offers and other important offers are cash on delivery and free shipping. In the present scenario nobody is interested in spending time for buying households goods and other essentials. Everyone is trying to figure out how to buy products of good quality with minimum time. So we decided to study about the online sites that people generally use to buy. This study has enabled us to understand the types of offers that online sites provide to the public and the relationship between offers and buying behaviour of people. We had a hard time in collecting the right information from the respondents. They were less willing to cooperate with us. Through this study, we were able to study the different types of online offers. We studied the relationship between online offers and customers’ buying behaviour. We found this as a great opportunity to learn about online offers.

REFERENCES

- Alina, B., (2014). "Factors influencing online shopping behaviour of consumers". *Journal Of Basic And Applied Scientific Research*.
- Bharadwaj, S. (2007). The War between Flipkart and Amazon India: a Study on Customer Perception. *IMPACT: International Journal of Research in Humanities, Arts and Literature (IMPACT: IJRHAL)*, 7(5), 391-402.
- Brennan, M.L. (2003). "Students online shopping behaviour:A dual perspective". *Journal of International Commerce*.
- Cui, G., Lui, H.K., & Guo, X. (2012). The effect of online consumer reviews on new product sales. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 17(1), 39-58.
- Delafrooz, N., Paim, L.H., & Khatibi, A. (2010). Students' online shopping behavior: An empirical study. *Journal of American Science*, 6(1), 137-147.
- Diehl, S. (2001). Virtual stores on the internet: design of emotional online shopping offers on the internet from a behavioral point of view. *ACR European Advances*.
- Kim, J., Fiore, A.M., & Lee, H.H. (2007). Influences of online store perception, shopping enjoyment, and shopping involvement on consumer patronage behavior towards an online retailer. *Journal of retailing and Consumer Services*, 14(2), 95-107.
- Lee, G.G., & Lin, H.F. (2005). Customer perceptions of e-service quality in online shopping. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*.
- Lewis, M. (2004). The influence of loyalty programs and short-term promotions on customer retention. *Journal of marketing research*, 41(3), 281-292.
- Lim, Y.J., Osman, A., Salahuddin, S.N., Romle, A.R., & Abdullah, S. (2016). Factors influencing online shopping behavior: the mediating role of purchase intention. *Procedia economics and finance*, 35, 401-410.
- Rezaei, S., & Amin, M. (2013). Exploring online repurchase behavioural intention of university students in Malaysia. *Journal for Global Business Advancement*, 6(2), 92-119.
- Rohm, A.J., & Swaminathan, V. (2004). A typology of online shoppers based on shopping motivations. *Journal of business research*, 57(7), 748-757.
- Schuster, A., & Sporn, B. (1998). Potential for online grocery shopping in the urban area of Vienna. *Electronic Markets*, 8(2), 13-16.
- Thananuraksakul, S. (2007). Factors influencing online shopping behavior intention: A study of Thai consumers. *AU Journal of Management*, 5(1), 41-46.
- Vollrath, I., Wilke, W., & Bergmann, R. (1998). Case-based reasoning support for online catalog sales. *IEEE Internet Computing*, 2(4), 47-54.
- Wen, Y., & Huang, H.S. (2006). "Attitude and age difference in online buying". *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*.
- Xu, V., & Huang, J.S (2014). "Determinants of consumer online and bonus packs on online impulse buying". *Journal of Social Behaviour and Personality*.
- Zhou, L., Dai, L., & Zhang, D. (2007). Online shopping acceptance model-A critical survey of consumer factors in online shopping. *Journal of Electronic commerce research*, 8(1), 41.
- Zhu, F., & Zhang, X. (2010). Impact of online consumer reviews on sales: The moderating role of product and consumer characteristics. *Journal of marketing*, 74(2), 133-148.