

Asian Journal of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Sciences



ISSN: 2249 - 622X

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Received on: 01/07/2014 Accepted on: 30/07/2014 Published on: 15/08/2014

Archana B. Wankhade

Department of Microbiology, Smt Kashibai Navale Medical College and Hospital, Narhe, Pune-411041



QR Code for Mobile users

Conflict of Interest: None Declared!

DOI: 10.15272/ajbps.v4i34.529

Pattern of Intestinal Parasitic Infections in Patients Visiting To Rural Tertiary Care Teaching Hospital in Pune

Dnyaneshwari Ghadage, Archana B. Wankhade*, Rupali J. Mali, Swati S.Nale, Deepali S. Kamble, Arvind V. Bhore

Department of Microbiology, Smt Kashibai Navale Medical College and Hospital, Narhe, Pune-411041

Abstract

Aim :To determine the predominant intestinal parasitic infections among all age group of patients attending tertiary care teaching hospital **Materials & methods**: A total of 500 stool samples were collected from all age groups and examined by routine naked eye and microscopic examination.

Results: 62(12.40%) Stool samples showed presence of ova/cysts of protozoa or helminths. Protozoal cysts or trophozoites were found in (64.51%) while helminthic eggs were found in 22(35.38%) of positive samples. In protozoal infection, *Entaetaemoeba histolytica* was found 55% *followed* by *Giardia lamblia*.63.63 % samples were positive for *Anchylostoma duodenale* Presence of parasitic infection was predominantly seen in adults.

Conclusion: *Entaetaemoeba histolytica* is a predominant protozoal infestation and the *Anchylostoma duodenale* amongst the helminthes. Low positivity of the specimen as compare to other study indicate improved awareness of hygiene in the society. Positivity was seen more in male (15.4%) patient than female (10%).

Keywords: Intestinal parasites, protozoa, helminthes, rural community

Cite this article as:

INTRODUCTION

Intestinal parasitic infections are highly prevalent in developing countries like India. ¹These infections are distributed throughout the World, with high prevalence rate in low socio-economic communities in the tropic and subtropics. Most common intestinal parasitic infections all over the world observed are amebiasis, ascariasis, trichuriasis and hookworm. ²

Ascaris lumbricoides, Trichuris trichiura and hookworms, collectively referred to as soil-transmitted helminths, are the most common intestinal parasites. Ascaris lumbricoides is the largest and the most common helminth parasitizing the human intestine and currently infects about 1 billion people worldwide. Hymenolepis nana is the most common parasitic cestode prevalent globally. Giardia duodenalis/Giardia intestinalis, previously known as Giardia lamblia, causing giardiasis, is the most prevalent protozoan parasite worldwide with about 200 million people being currently infected.

Parasitic intestinal infections are important causes of morbidity and mortality in the developing world. ³It can lead to complications like anaemia, reduced physical growth, mental retardation, abdominal colic cholecystitis & pancreatitis .It causes the detrimental effects like poor cognitive performance and physical growth. The purpose of this study was to find out the frequency of the intestinal parasitic infection

MATERIAL & METHODS:

This study was carried out in the Department of Microbiology for a period of 6 months .A total of 500 stool samples were collected from all age groups and examined by routine naked eye and microscopic examination. Five hundred patients with symptoms suggestive of parasitic infections coming to Hospital for whom stool examination for parasites was advised by clinicians were also included in the study and stool samples for bacterial cultures were excluded. The patients were provided wide mouthed clean, dry, properly labeled plastic container for collection of samples and recommend 5grams of solid or 10ml of liquid stool. The stool samples were examined within 1-2 hours of collection. Macroscopic examination was done to look for structures like proglottids, scolices, adult tapeworm, enterobius, ascaris, trichuris or hookworm.

Unstained saline wet mount preparation was done to detect protozoal tropozoites and helminthic eggs or larvae. Iodine wet mount was done to detect ova/ cysts. **RESULTS:**

Out of total 500 stool samples examined, 62 (12.40%) revealed presence of parasites. There was no statistically significant difference in the percentage of intestinal parasites according to the age or gender of

the patients (table-1). Positivity was high in male (15.4%) patient than female (10%).

Category	Total tested	Positive	Percentage(%)
Age <15 years	218	10	4.58
Age >15years	282	52	18.43
Male	220	34	15.4
Female	280	28	10

Table 1: Age and gender wise distribution of positive cases (n=62) * P value for comparison between age groups (Chi square test)

Sr no.	Type of parasite	No. of parasi te	Percent age
	1	Protozoa	
1	Entamoeba histolytica	2 2	55%
2	Giardia lamblia	1 8	45%
	1	Helminth	
1	Anchylostoma duodenale	14	63.63 %
2	Ascaris lumbricoides	6	27.27 %
3.	Srongyloides strcoralis	2	9 %

Table 2: Showing the various parasites detected in the stool specimens

The distribution of various parasites showed in table 2.Stool samples showed presence of ova/cysts of protozoa or helminths. Protozoal cysts or trophozoites were found in (64.51%) while helminthic eggs were found in 22(35.38%) of positive samples. In protozoal infection, *Entaetaemoeba histolytica* was found 55% followed by Giardia lamblia. 63.63 % samples were positive for Anchylostoma duodenale. Presence of parasitic infection was predominantly seen in adults.

DISCUSSION

In the present study parasitic infection was seen in 62 (12.4%) patients. Studies from different parts of India and outside India have reported a parasite prevalence rate of 25 to 70%. 4-6 High incidence of Entamoeba histolytica followed by Giardia is common observation seen in Indian studies. The incidence of amebiasis varies from 1-14 % and giardiasis 2-19% depending on the areas studied and methods used in the detection of the protozoa. 7 In our study, the incidence of Ancylostoma duodenale was observed high amongst the helminthes. All these patients belong rural areas. For finding underlying cause of high infection, more samples (population survey) from the respective areas required to be tested. Low prevalence of Ascaris lumbricode & Strongyloides stercoralis had been observed in this study. When compared with other study, this could be due to variation in geographical distribution of different parasites, effective health

education and public health services in the study area. 8Prevalence rate in our study was low and is suggestive of better awareness of personal hygiene and environmental sanitation in the study population. Our result showed high infection among males .However; the sex predominance for parasite infection is still not confirmed. Some report higher rate in females 9 and some in females 10, 11. The others reported similar rate in both sexes12 Stool examination for parasitic ova, cysts, trophozoite and larvae remains the gold standard for the laboratory diagnosis for IPI's.

Ascaris and Giardia, found in the current study, is due to the common environmental factors which may affect their transmission. Our diagnostic sensitivity may have improved if we had taken three consecutive stool samples but this was not done. Prevalence rate in our study was low and is suggestive of better awareness of personal hygiene and environmental sanitation in the study population.

Entaetaemoeba histolytica is a predominant protozoal infestation and the Anchylostoma duodenale amongst the helminthes. Low positivity of the specimen as compare to other study indicate improved awareness of hygiene in the society.

CONCLUSION

Entaetaemoeba histolytica was the predominant protozoal infestation Anchylostoma duodenale was predominant amongst the helminthes in our study. Low positivity of the specimen as compare to other study indicate improved awareness of hygiene in the society.

REFERENCES

1.Baragundi MC, Sonth SB, Solabannwar S, Patil CS, The Prevalence of parasitic infections in patients attending tertiary care Hospital, National Journal of Basic Medical Sciences, 2011;2(1):31-34.

2.Bethony J, Brooker S, Albonico M, Geiger SM, Loukas A, et al. (2006) Soiltransmitted helminth infections: ascariasis, trichuriasis, and hookworm. Lancet 367: 1521–1532.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(06)68653-4

3.Steketee RW (2003) Pregnancy, nutrition and parasitic diseases. J Nutr 133: 1661S-1667S.

4.Singh P, Gupta ML, Thakur TS, Vaidya NK, Intestinal parasitism in Himachal Pradesh, Ind J Med Res, 1991;45: 201-04.

5.Fernandez MC, Verghese S, Bhuvaneshwari R, Elizabeth SJ, Methew T, Anitha A, et al. A Comparative study of intestinal parasites prevalent among children living in rural and urban settings in and around Chenai, J communicable Diseases, 2003; 34:34-39.

6. Rao VG, YadavR, Bhondeley MK, Das S, Agarwal MC, Tiwari RS, Worm infestation and anemia: A public health problem among tribal free school children of Madhya Pradesh, J communi Dise, 2002; 34:100-05.

7.Norhayati M, Fatmah MS, Yusof S, Edariah AB, Intestinal parasitic infections in Man: A review, Med J Malaysia, 2003; 58 (2):296-305. 8. WHO, Guidelines for evaluation of Soil transmitted helminthiasis and schistosomiasis at community level, schistosomiasis and intestinal parasite unit, WHO, Geneva, WHO/CTD/SIP/98.1, 1998. 9.Sethi S, Sehgal R, Malla N, Dudey ML, Mahajan RC(2000). Changing trends of intestinal parasitic infections in Chandigarh (NorthernIndia): Hospital based study. Ind. J. Med. Microbiol., 18: 106-109

10.Yong TS, Sim S, Lee J, Ohrr H, Kim MH, Kim H (2000). A small scale survey on the status of intestinal parasitic infections in rural villages in Nepal. Korean J. Parasitol., 38: 275-277.

http://dx.doi.org/10.3347/kjp.2000.38.4.275

- 11. Ali I, Mekete G, Wodajo N (1999). Intestinal parasitism and related risk factors among students of Asendabo elementary and junior secondary school, South Western Ethiopia. Ethiop. J. Health Dev., 13: 157-161
- 12. Patel JC (1986). Ten year study of stool samples with particular reference to intestinal parasites. J. Postgrad. Med., 32: 219-224.