# MODEL PREDICTIVE TORQUE CONTROL OF INDUCTION MOTOR USING SVPWM

# Aayush Patidar, Dipali Sarvate, Yamini Nimonkar Prestige Institute of Engineering Management and Research, Indore, India

#### ABSTRACT

Induction motor and its controlling techniques proposed by various researchers, but each technique contain some of common problem such as high torque ripple and variable switching frequency at low-speed region. Breakthrough in this controlling research adopted while using Conventional MPTC method, which suffers from complexity and time compensation problems. This paper proposes a Model Predictive Torque Control (MPTC), which eliminates the control complexity, by using SVPWM as cost function minimization technique. This new method eliminates the requirement of time delay compensation, which simplified the prediction model in Model Prediction Control Algorithm. Experimental result show that improved switching instant effectively reduces the torque and flux ripple in proposed MPTC.

**Keywords**—Induction Motor (IM), Model Predictive Torque Control (MPTC), Space Vector Pulse Width Modulation (SVPWM).

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Since induction motor was invented, it becomes the generally used motor in industry. Unfortunately, induction motor requires more expensive equipment for speed control, due it's inherently a dynamic, recurrent, and nonlinear system than DC motor. Then came Direct Torque Control (DTC), Developed by Takahashi, it provides better dynamic control of torque (Komorowska, M.P. and Buja, G., 2003). After some studies on DTC for IM, one of disadvantage is tuning of weightage (Casadeiet al., 2002; Brando et al., 2015). Some methods have been introduced in literature to mitigate these problems such as fuzzy- neuro logic control (PCC) give higher ripple than Prediction Torque Control (PTC) (Beerten et al., 2009; Miranda et al., 2009).

Also observe that PTC (Lascu et al., 2016) give error during control due to magnetizing inductance of Induction machine, while PCC degrade its performance by having stator resistor detuning. MPTC based on online optimization for controlling parameter replacing conventional table-based DTC having investigative switching table (Casadei et al., 2002). Best voltage vectors were selected to minimize cost function, which it relates to torque and flux error. Resulting accurate and effective vector for minimizing the cost function than conventional DTC (Kazmierkowski, M.P. and Buja, G., 2003).

The fact is assured when conventional table-based DTC is compared with MPTC (Rubino et al., 2018). Usually single voltage vector is selected and hold until next period of controlling mechanism update, in conventional MPTC. Some also show that single Vector can helpful for achievable performance using MPTC (Zhang, Y., Xia, B., Yang, H. and Rodriguez, J., 2016.). Steady state performance is major issue while controlling IM, this can be ease by the concept of duty cycle control. This MPTC Method use control period in two parts: first part uses nonzero selected vector and other use an appropriate zero vectors. This method increases the switching frequency by applying two voltage vectors at different instant of control period (Song et al., 2017; Zhang, Y.

and Yang, H., 2014; Zhang, Y. and Yang, H., 2015). Recently, some paper shows optimized switching control using two steps forward controls (Zhang, Y., Yang, H. and Xia, B., 2016). Using MPTC for IM, using a two-step predictive algorithm provides time compensation. Also, use of two or three vector in a control period will improve the overall performance of MPTC in industrial application. This paper proposes a new model of predictive torque control strategy using the space vector PWM (Van Der Broeck et al.,1988), using the space vector as a cost function minimization tool. Space vectors reduce the changing state naturally and optimize the model estimate to significantly reduce torque and flux waves. The components follow the applicable standards.

#### **DYNAMIC MODEL OF IM**

The mathematical model of IM is then used in the stator-fixed reference frame  $(\alpha, \beta)$  employed in the subsequent consideration is given by (Aamiovuori et al., 2018):  $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$  .....(1)

Where  $x = (i_s \Psi_s)^T$  represent the value of state variable, and  $u=u_s$  is a necessary part of control called stator voltage vector, and

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda(R_sL_r + R_rL_s) + jw_r & \lambda(R_r - jL_rw_r) \\ -R_s & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda L_r \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\lambda = 1/(L_sL_r - L_m^2)$$

Where  $R_s, R_r, L_s$ , Lr are representation of stator and rotor resistance and inductance,  $L_m$  represent mutual inductance, respectively,  $w_r$  is the speed at which rotor of Machine run in electrical degree

In next control instant using (1), values of stator current and flux can be discretize using most popular first-order Euler

method. However, this method has relatively low accuracy than Cayley-Hamilton theorem, which requires high computation for calculation of matrix exponential. In this paper, to obtain an accurate estimate of the stator current and stator flux, the second-order Euler discretion is chosen for discretion (1), even if the computational load is not greatly increased, expressed as:

$$\begin{cases} x_p^{k+1} = x^k + t_{sc}(Ax^k + Bu_s^k) \\ x^{k+1} = x_p^k + \frac{t_{sc}}{2}A(x_p^{k+1} - x^k), \end{cases}$$
.....(2)

 $x_p^{k+1}$  is the state vector in (2), which predicted in control period  $t_{sc}$  and where at k+1 instant it predicted  $x^{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} i_s^{k+1} & \psi_s^{k+1} \end{bmatrix}^T$ 

Rotor flux on k+1 instant can be estimated as

 $\psi_r^{k+1} = \frac{L_r}{L_m} \psi_s^{k+1} - \frac{1}{\lambda L_m} i_s^{k+1}$ .....(3)

And the electromagnetic torque can be predicted as:

**Citation Information:** Patidar, A., Sarvate, D., & Nimonkar, Y. (2021). Model Predictive Torque Control of Induction Motor Using SVPWM. *Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences*, 24(S3), 1–7.

$$T_e^{k+1} = \frac{3}{2} N_p \psi_s^{k+1} \otimes i_s^{k+1}$$

.....(4)

#### **PROPOSED MPTC**

We propose another model of predictive torque control as demonstrated in Figure.1. In the propose work to optimize cost function, we needed just a single voltage vector of two nearby vectors to diminish the switching transition, at that point the estimation of such a vector gets worthwhile at any second. It utilises the control activities for minimising the cost function into horizon using voltage vector of various greatness fittingly incorporated to create a sub cycle of steady time span. To achieve this undertaking, we define the control activities so that they duplicate, over an examining period Ts by utilizing SVPWM. Space vector diminish the cost function intrinsically and limit the switching instant utilizing examining period Ts. The model predictions utilizing this method upgrade the switching of MPTC (Yusivar, F. and Sembiring, R.J., 2013).





The cost function effectively reduces the average switching frequency in the proposed technology (Habibullah et al., 2016). The proposed strategy has an additional reduction in the MPTC calculation weight. Proposed MPTC use following for cost function expression using (3), (4):

In Conventional MPTC, hit and trial method is applied to find the appropriate weighting factor for cost function minimization. Time delay is required in above process using [5], to execute the process in more practical manner by calculating the prediction and estimate the state variable in two stages.

At some moment k+2, stator flux  $\psi_s^p(k+1)$  and stator current use for calculation of estimated flux and torque for previous time period k+1. This  $\psi_s^p(k+1)$  and  $i_s^p(k+1)$  are estimated by Then V<sub>opt</sub>(k) is applied to IM is choose such that it estimate the  $\psi_s^p(k+1)$  and  $i_s^p(k+1)$  state variable for k+1 instant. Subsequently, to carry out the delay compensation scheme, the optimum voltage vector is selected by minimizing the following cost function:

TABLE 1		
<b>MACHINE AND CONTROL PARAMETERS (SONG ET AL., 2017)</b>		
1.	<b>Rating of Induction Motor</b>	5.5kW; 6.8A; 380V; 50Hz; 40N-m; 1480rpm;2 Pole Pair
2.	Induction Motor Stator and Rotor Winding Parameter	$R_s(\Omega)$ :0.813 $Rr(\Omega)$ :0.513Lm         (mH):228Ls         (mH):240Lr
		(mH):240
	<b>Control Parameter of Motor</b>	Sampling Frequency (kHz):6
3.		Flux Amplitude Reference (Wb):0.91
		kΨ:02
		kT:01

### SIMULATION RESULT

Simulink result are proposed for new MPTC technique using MATLAB/Simulink software, for different loads and speeds. Prediction model evaluate the instantaneous state variable at k and predict the values at k+2 instant in Simulink model.

## FIGURE 2 (A): SIMULATION RESULT FOR VARIATION LOAD FOR 0.1 TO 0.2SEC AT 30N-M AND OTHER IS FOR 0.4 TO 0.6SEC AT 40 N-M AT 1500 RPM; (B) SIMULATION RESULT FOR SUDDEN CHANGE IN LOAD FOR 0.35 TO 0.6 SEC AT 1500 RPM.



**Citation Information:** Patidar, A., Sarvate, D., & Nimonkar, Y. (2021). Model Predictive Torque Control of Induction Motor Using SVPWM. *Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences*, 24(S3), 1–7.

Simulation parameter contain in Table. 1 used to evaluate the state variable in newly proposed MPTC method. Simulation is first proposed for variable load at two different time intervals, shown Figure 2(a) for 0.1 sec to 0.2 sec at 30 N-m and other is for 0.4 sec to 0.6 sec at 40 N-m. Detail variation are shown in Figure 2 (a), where top to bottom curve to be stator flux, Torque, stator current, speed, and phase voltage with respect to time respectively. Torque and flux error are reduced drastically as desire in proposed MPTC technique for wide speed range control.

Figure 2(a) show the study of IM at 100% rated torque, under steady state condition analysis for flux and torque (Kirankumar, B., 2017). This Proposed method is more effective for optimization of switching instant using SVPWM in proposed MPTC than conventional MPTC.

Proposed MPTC result show in Figure 2(b) indicate low flux and torque ripple under sudden reduction torque load for time interval 0.35 sec to 0.6 sec at constant 1500 rpm. Details of flux and torque ripple in time interval 0.35 sec to 0.6 sec, verify that by effective switching vector we can reduce ripple in IM.



FIGURE 3: (A): SIMULATION RESULT AT 150RPM (LOW SPEED) (B)SIMULATION RESULT FOR INITIAL TIME INTERVAL AT 150RPM

Parameter variation at low-speed range depicted in Figure 3(a) shown, where proposed MPTC vary the machine parameter for adverse condition for knowing reliability of controlling technique. Proposed model approach to low harmonic distortion even at low-speed range and average switching frequency create load torque to almost constant. More advantages are also to be apparent, such as less torque and flux ripple.

Figure 3(b)show the Sinusoidal current waveform in Proposed MPTC, this waveform analyzed due to inherent property of IM to have large ripple at initial time. The wide speed of operation gives minimal change in ripples for any change in range of proposed MPTC method (Kirankumar, B., 2017). In proposed MPTC, low tracking error are observed due to use of Full order observer, give precise control to stator in low-speed range and has some strength against variations of machine parameters (Song et al., 2017).

#### CONCLUSION

This paper present MPTC strategy for IM control, which allowed use of SVPWM to reduce the flux and torque error at low speed by minimizing the cost function. Simulated result show that, this method also used at different magnitude for swift operation of IM. This method also achieved the constant time duration for a sub cycle with minimum harmonic content. One of the major advantages to use this method is minimum computation time for standard MPTC, with incremental capability to precise control of IM. This provides an all-round performance in each region of IM controlling, by simple felicitation of SVPWM for producing voltage vectored in addition with MPTC method using heuristic table for each optimal switching instant. This paper gives further scope of research, having use of stator vector position in reducing computation time.

#### REFRENCES

- Aamiovuori, L., Kärkkäinen, H., Niemelä, M., Pyrhonen, J., Alalibo, B. and Cao, W., 2018, October. *Modelling a Vector Controlled Induction Motor in Simulink*. In 2018 X International Conference on Electrical Power Drive Systems (ICEPDS) (pp. 1-8). IEEE.
- Beerten, J., Verveckken, J. and Driesen, J., 2009. *Predictive direct torque control for flux and torque ripple reduction*. IEEE transactions on industrial electronics, 57(1), pp.404-412.
- Brando, G., Dannier, A., Del Pizzo, A., Rizzo, R. and Spina, I., 2015. *Generalised look-up table concept for direct torque control in induction drives with multilevel inverters*. IET Electric Power Applications, 9(8), pp.556-567.
- Casadei, D., Profumo, F., Serra, G. and Tani, A., 2002. FOC and DTC: two viable schemes for induction motors torque control. IEEE transactions on Power Electronics, 17(5), pp.779-787.
- Habibullah, M., Lu, D.D.C., Xiao, D. and Rahman, M.F., 2016. A simplified finite-state predictive direct torque control for induction motor drive. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, 63(6), pp.3964-3975.
- Kazmierkowski, M.P. and Buja, G., 2003, November. Review of direct torque control methods for voltage source inverter-fed induction motors. In IECON'03. 29th Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society (IEEE Cat. No. 03CH37468) (Vol. 1, pp. 981-991). IEEE.
- Kirankumar, B., Reddy, Y.S. and Vijayakumar, M., 2017. *Multilevel inverter with space vector modulation: intelligence direct torque control of induction motor*. IET power electronics, 10(10), pp.1129-1137.
- Lascu, C., Jafarzadeh, S., Fadali, M.S. and Blaabjerg, F., 2016. *Direct torque control with feedback linearization for induction motor drives*. IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, 32(3), pp.2072-2080.
- Miranda, H., Cortés, P., Yuz, J.I. and Rodríguez, J., 2009. *Predictive torque control of induction machines based on state-space models*. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, 56(6), pp.1916-1924.
- Ponce, P., Molina, A. and Tellez, A., 2014, April. Neural network and fuzzy logic in a speed close loop for DTC induction motors. In 2014 International Caribbean Conference on Devices, Circuits and Systems (ICCDCS) (pp. 1-7). IEEE.
- Rubino, S., Bojoi, R., Odhano, S.A. and Zanchetta, P., 2018. *Model predictive direct flux vector control of multithree-phase induction motor drives*. IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, 54(5), pp.4394-4404.
- Sayouti, Y., Abbou, A., Akherraz, M. and Mahmoudi, H., 2009, March. MRAS-ANN based sensor less speed control for direct torque-controlled induction motor drive. In 2009 International Conference on Power Engineering, Energy and Electrical Drives (pp. 623-628). IEEE.
- Song, W., Le, S., Wu, X. and Ruan, Y., 2017. An improved model predictive direct torque control for induction machine drives. Journal of Power Electronics, 17(3), pp.674-685.
- Van Der Broeck, H.W., Skudelny, H.C. and Stanke, G.V., 1988. *Analysis and realization of a pulse width modulator based on voltage space vectors*. IEEE transactions on industry applications, 24(1), pp.142-150.
- Yusivar, F. and Sembiring, R.J., 2013, November. Implementation of space vector pulse width modulation using compactrio. In 2013 Joint International Conference on Rural Information & Communication Technology and Electric-Vehicle Technology (rICT & ICeV-T) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- Zhang, Y. and Yang, H., 2014. *Model predictive torque control of induction motor drives with optimal duty cycle control*. IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, 29(12), pp.6593-6603

1532-5806-24-S3-101

Zhang, Y. and Yang, H., 2015. *Model-predictive flux control of induction motor drives with switching instant optimization*. IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion, 30(3), pp.1113-1122.

Zhang, Y., Xia, B., Yang, H. and Rodriguez, J., 2016. Overview of model predictive control for induction motor drives.

1532-5806-24-S3-101

Chinese Journal of Electrical Engineering, 2(1), pp.62-76.

Zhang, Y., Yang, H. and Xia, B., 2016. *Model-predictive control of induction motor drives: Torque control versus flux control.* IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, 52(5), pp.4050-4060.