THE DEEP RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SUSTAINED COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE AND MARKETING PROCESSES

Nihaiah J. Mahrakani, Al- Ahliyya Amman University

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to examine many views of marketing processes that have been studied in the marketing research in recent years, and which are being promoted as sources of long-term benefit for businesses. An examination of the four viewpoints; customer acquisition, customer retention, data base marketing, and building profitable relationships to survey their potential for accomplishing a sustained competitive advantage. The study proposes that those organizations that form solid, close, positive profitable relationships with their customers can possibly build and sustain a long-term competitive advantage that might exceed normal performance; the motivation behind this paper is to hypothetically resolve the inquiry, precisely what sort of relationship marketing prompts sustained competitive advantage? a survey was conducted to measure the effect on hierarchical performance of every one of the four viewpoints of relationship marketing and foster proper suggestions utilizing Barney's (VRIO) Framework from the field of key management. In addressing this research question, the study was conducted to look at more than the advantages of relationship marketing and research whether relationship marketing bodes well. Likewise, the relationship marketing is definitely not a solid strategy, yet that it exists in many structures, accordingly, one necessity to request which from these structures is best in the long term. At last, a portion of the current thinking from key administration into the space of relationship marketing. In the following segment, among the four points of view of relationship marketing was separated. Also, Barney's VRIO Framework will be discussed, and an evaluation for every one of the relationship marketing viewpoints and its linkage with competitive advantage. Later on, the outcomes of the study and implications will be discussed.

Keywords: Marketing Processes, Competitive Advantage, Customer Acquisition, Customer Retention, Relationship Marketing, VRIO Framework

INTRODUCTION

The ability to see the link between the competitive and performance ramifications of an organization's acknowledged techniques (Rowe & Barnes, 1998) is basic to the drawn-out achievement of that organization. An acknowledged system is an example of asset assignment that empowers an organization to keep up with or further develop performance (Barney, 1991). One clear strategy that has as of late produced significant premium among experts and researchers the same is relationship marketing (Ravald &Grönroos, 1996). Relationship marketing is arising as another point of convergence for research and mirrors "a change in accentuation from discrete, specially appointed conditional trade among purchaser and dealer organizations to continuous long term social trades" (Christopher, Payne & Ballantyne, 2013). Apparently practically every business is attempting to foster relationships with customers, providers, and other partner gatherings (Ravald & Grönroos, 1996). Specifically, buyers are being welcomed to have ties with suppliers and dealers from various industries.

Sadly, there is little consistency in how relationship marketing has been characterized and surprisingly less by the way it is conceptualized in organizations and different industries

Citation Information: Mahrakani, N.J. (2021). The deep relationship between sustained competitive advantage and marketing processes. *Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences, 24*(S6), 1-13.

(Gummesson, 2011). Which give products and services to buyers? Presently, there are a few perspectives with respect to how relationship marketing should be (Sheth, 2002). In this paper, an analysis of four points of view as they apply to buyer items and administrations: relationship marketing as customer acquisition, relationship marketing as a way to deal with sweeping customer retention(Christopher, Payne & Ballantyne, 2013) relationship marketing as data base marketing (Zhang,Watson Iv, Palmatier & Dant, 2016) and relationship marketing as positive connections that organizations have with their customers (Sheth, 2017). Every one of these points of view has been used by organizations, however, there has been next to zero appraisal of their effect on an organization's competitive advantage and ensuing performance (Samaha, Beck, & Palmatier, 2014).

LITERATURE REVIEW

Competitive Advantage

A competitive advantage is the unique ability of a firm to utilize its resources effectively, managing to improve customer value and position itself ahead of the competition. In other words, it's something that a company does better than its competitors because of some proprietary process, service, or brand. A firm gains a competitive advantage either through lower cost offerings (cost advantage) or through product differentiation (differentiation advantage). The firm exploits its resources, such as brand equity, brand recognition, distribution network or patents and trademarks, to achieve a cost advantage (Gummerus, von Koskull & Kowalkowski, 2017).

The effective utilization of its resources develops the firm's distinctive competencies, which facilitate innovation, efficiency, and product quality, thus improving customer responsiveness and customer satisfaction. In the same way, a firm that seeks to achieve a competitive advantage targets larger market, aiming to differentiate its offerings, increase its market share, and strengthen its brand recognition. Others defined it as the factors that allow a company to produce goods or services better or cheaper than its competitors (Samaha, Beck & Palmatier, 2014). These factors allow the productive entity to generate more sales or superior margins compared to its market rivals (Abeza, O'Reilly & Reid, 2013). The four primary methods of gaining a competitive advantage are cost leadership, differentiation; defensive strategies and strategic alliances. There are three different types of competitive advantages that companies can actually use. They are cost, product/service differentiation, and niche strategies (Samaha, Beck & Palmatier, 2014).

Value, Rarity, Imitability, Organization VRIO Framework by Barney developed the so-called VRIO Framework or VRIO Analysis. The VRIO framework is a strategy tool that helps organizations identifies the resources and capabilities that give them a sustained competitive advantage. Usually, companies possess various kinds of resources and capabilities. VRIO framework is an internal analysis tool, that helps organizations to categorize their resources based on whether they hold certain traits outlined in the framework (Barney, 1986). This categorization then allows organizations to identify the company resources that are competitive advantages.Table.1 explains the outcome if the adopted strategy follows VRIO analysis.

Table 1
VRIO FRAMEWORK/ANALYSIS

Valuable	Rare	Inimitable	Organized	
No				Competitive Disadvantage
Yes	No			Competitive Parity
Yes	Yes	No		Temporary Competitive Advantage

Citation Information: Mahrakani, N.J. (2021). The deep relationship between sustained competitive advantage and marketing processes. *Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences, 24*(S6), 1-13.

Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unused Competitive Advantage
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Sustained Competitive Advantage
Source: J.B Barney Gaining and Sustaining Competitive Advantage, 1997, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company. Adapted with permission				

Relationship Marketing

Relationship marketing is a form of marketing developed from direct response marketing campaigns that emphasizes customer retention and satisfaction rather than sales transactions. It differentiates from other forms of marketing in that it recognizes the long-term value of customer relationships and extends communication beyond intrusive advertising and sales promotional messages. With the growth of the Internet and mobile platforms, relationship marketing has continued to evolve as technology opens more collaborative and social communication channels such as tools for managing relationships with customers that go beyond demographics and customer service data collection. Relationship marketing extends to include inbound marketing, a combination of search optimization and strategic content, public relations, social media and application development. Relationship marketing refers to an arrangement where both the buyer and seller have an interest in a more satisfying exchange. This approach aims to transcend the post-purchase-exchange process with a customer in order to make richer contact by providing a more personalized purchase, using the experience to develop stronger ties. A main focus on a long-term relationship with customers differentiates relationship marketing from other marketing techniques.

The technique was first proposed by marketing scholars (Jones et al., 2015). One argued in a conference about the field of service marketing that relationship marketing is a marketing activity for organizations to obtain, maintain and promote effective relationships with customers. After a long-term study on the marketing process of the service industry, it was concluded that the ultimate goal of organization marketing is not only to develop new customers but also to focus on maintaining existing customers. Ultimately, the goal is to improve the long-term interests of both parties through cooperative relationships. The study also argues that the cost of maintaining an old customer is far lower than the cost of developing a new customer and that maintaining a relationship with old consumers is more economical than developing new customers. Later on, the concept in the aspect of industry marketing has been further modified. It was argued that the essence of relationship marketing is to attract, establish and maintain a close relationship with organizations customers. Furthermore, other studies have concluded that the essence of relationship marketing is the actual maintenance of existing customers, which develop long-term interest in a product. This research conclusion has been generally recognized after the original proposal of relationship marketing. The research scope, however, is limited to the relationship with old customers, easily ignoring the dynamic development of customers because long-term customers are developed from new customers. If an organization is restricted to the maintenance of existing customers, it is impossible for it to achieve any progress or compete in the market since it cannot attract long-term customers in the first place (Benouakrim, & El Kandoussi, 2013).

Additionally, relationship marketing has been strongly influenced by reengineering. According to process reengineering theory, organizations should be structured according to complete tasks and processes rather than functions. Thus cross-function teams should be responsible for a whole process from beginning to end rather than having the work go from one functional department to another, whereas traditional marketing uses the functional (or 'silo') department approach where stages of production are handled by different departments. The legacy of traditional marketing can still be seen in the traditional four Ps of the marketing mix: pricing, product management, promotion, and placement. The marketing mix approach is too

Citation Information: Mahrakani, N.J. (2021). The deep relationship between sustained competitive advantage and marketing processes. *Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences, 24*(S6), 1-13.

limited to provide a usable framework for assessing and developing customer relationships in many industries and should be replaced by the relationship marketing alternative model where the focus is on customers, relationships and interaction over time rather than markets and products (Thaichon et al., 2019). Relationship marketing is being promoted as a viable strategy to furnish organizations with the competitive advantage they need to prevail later on. A few creators some specialists recommend that setting up long term positive organizations with one's customers furnishes an organization with a surge of benefits in unendingness. The strategy of relationship marketing has been effectively drilled by more modest firms for a few many years. Notwithstanding, the new influx of relationship advertisers is for the most part from bigger firms. They are attracted to developing connections a market climate portrayed by extra normal contest and by center item and administration contributions which are delivered by innovation to be comparative, if not indistinguishable, to those of the opposition. The hurry to accept relationship marketing is sensible; particularly in business sectors where a separation strategy is fitting. Prior to inspecting whether relationship marketing is useful to organizations, separate among the four viewpoints of relationship marketing that were analyzed in this paper (Benouakrim & El Kandoussi, 2013).

Customer Acquisition

Customer acquisition refers to gaining new consumers. Acquiring new customers involves persuading consumers to purchase a company’s products and/or services. Companies and organizations consider the cost of customer acquisition as an important measure in evaluating how much value customers bring to their businesses (Grönroos, 2017). Customer acquisition management refers to the set of methodologies and systems for managing customer prospects and inquiries that are generated by a variety of marketing techniques. Some successful customer acquisition strategies include customer referrals, customer loyalty programs, and the like. One way to think about customer acquisition management is to consider it the link between advertising and customer relationship management, as it is the critical connection that facilitates the acquisition of targeted customers in an effective way. It’s worth noting that the customer acquisition strategies refer to the approaches a business takes to reach new consumers and convince them to buy their product or service (Samiee, Chabowski & Hult, 2015).

Knowing consumers navigate a variety of digital channels daily, your customer acquisition strategy will likely use a combination of marketing channels to engage with them.

At the point when assets and capacities are designated to making underlying connections between a businesses and their customer that make it hard for them to change organizations, customers are secured, and become hostage (Khan, 2014). The inspiration here is to keep control of the relationship and, in doing as such, practice backhanded command over possible competitors by keeping them out of a specific market (Beck, Chapman & Palmatier, 2015). Customer Acquisition could have an unfortunate underlying meaning in that customers might be seeing looking for alternatives, especially in the last phases of the relationship.

Customer Retention

Customer retention refers to the ability of a company or product to retain its customers over some specified period. High customer retention means customers of the product or business tend to return to, continue to buy or in some other way does not defect to another product or business, or to non-use entirely. Selling organizations generally attempt to reduce customer defections. Customer retention starts with the first contact an organization has with a customer and continues throughout the entire lifetime of a relationship and successful retention efforts take this entire lifecycle into account. A company's ability to attract and retain new customers is

Citation Information: Mahrakani, N.J. (2021). The deep relationship between sustained competitive advantage and marketing processes. *Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences, 24*(S6), 1-13.

related not only to its product or services, but also to the way it services its existing customers, the value the customers actually perceive as a result of utilizing the solutions, and the reputation it develops within and across the marketplace (Grönroos, 2017).

Successful customer retention involves more than giving the customer what they expect. Generating loyal advocates of the brand might mean exceeding customer expectations. Creating customer loyalty puts 'customer value rather than maximizing profits and shareholder value at the center of business strategy'. Key differentiation in a competitive environment is often the delivery of a consistently high standard of customer service. Furthermore, in the emerging world of Customer Success, retention is a major objective (Samiee, Chabowski & Hult, 2015).

Customer retention has a direct impact on profitability. That engaged customers generate 1.7 times more revenue than normal customers, while having engaged employees and engaged customers return a revenue gain of 3.4 times the norm (Beck, Chapman & Palmatier, 2015).

Additionally, dispensing assets and abilities to a comprehensive customer retention strategy is one more viewpoint of relationship marketing. Yet, some of the time, organizations accepting this point of view use superfluous assets in attempting to be everything to all potential customers. While this might be admirable on one level, it recommends that an organization's specialists have not looked at that as some customers might require a bigger number of assets from the organization than the advantages they develop for the organization. This point of view is not the same as the customer securing viewpoints in that you need all potential customers under the customer Retention viewpoint while you may just need the individuals who are productive for you under the customer obtaining point of view. The inspiration driving this viewpoint is like the past viewpoint in that the organization needs to control the commercial center however much as could reasonably be expected (Verma, Sharma & Sheth, 2016).

Database Marketing

Database marketing is a form of direct marketing using databases of customers or potential customers to generate personalized communications in order to promote a product or service for marketing purposes. The method of communication can be any addressable medium, as in direct marketing (Samiee, Chabowski & Hult, 2015).

The distinction between direct and database marketing stems primarily from the attention paid to the analysis of data. Database marketing emphasizes the use of statistical techniques to develop models of customer behavior, which are then used to select customers for communications. As a consequence, database marketers also tend to be heavy users of data warehouses, because having a greater amount of data about customers increases the likelihood that a more accurate model can be built. There are two main types of marketing databases, Consumer databases, and business databases. Consumer databases are primarily geared towards companies that sell to consumers, often abbreviated as B2C. Business marketing databases are often much more advanced in the information that they can provide. This is mainly because business databases aren't restricted by the same privacy laws as consumer databases (Khan, 2014).

The database is usually name, address, and transaction history details from internal sales or delivery systems, or a bought-in compiled list from another organization, which has captured that information from its customers. Normal sources of compiled lists are charity donation forms, application forms for any free product or contest, product warranty cards, subscription forms, and credit application forms. The communications generated by database marketing may be described as junk mail or spam if it is unwanted by the addressee. Direct and database marketing organizations, on the other hand, argue that a targeted letter or e-mail to a customer, who wants to be contacted about offerings that may interest the customer, benefits both the customer and the marketer.(Samiee, Chabowski & Hult, 2015).

Citation Information: Mahrakani, N.J. (2021). The deep relationship between sustained competitive advantage and marketing processes. *Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences, 24*(S6), 1-13.

Businesses that exhaust assets on appropriate innovation to foster data sets for their customers expect that the data they accumulate will empower them to achieve a few goals. Many specialists recommend that the foundation of a data set of current and potential customers empowers businesses to convey a separated message to these customers dependent on their attributes and inclinations and to screen the expense of acquiring customers and their lifetime worth to the organization. (Beck, Chapman & Palmatier, 2015) contend that a strategy of utilizing data innovation to keep up with point-by-point data on customers permits advertisers to separate and to guide marketing projects to customers on an individualized premise.

The inspiration is to know one's customer personally and to have the option to target them all the more adequately. This point of view is unique in relation to the past two in that the accentuation is on social event however much data about your customers as could be expected; while the customer securing viewpoint is generally worried about keeping the customer one has gained, and the customer retention point of view stresses holding all customers regardless of the expense for the organization. Every one of the three points of view is not quite the same as building profitable relationships.

Building Profitable Relationships

The three viewpoints discussed earlier miss two significant qualities that make them pale shadows of relationship marketing as a solid, profitable relationship between two consenting substances a customer and the organization that serves the buyer. These two viewpoints are commonality and extra normal status. (Verma, Sharma & Sheth, 2016) discusses that a commercial center put together relationship should be based with respect to the common acknowledgment of an extra normal status between two accomplices in return. This implies that for a relationship to exist it should be recognized to exist by the two accomplices. Moreover, it implies that a relationship doesn't only suggest some degree of contact yet an uncommon status in the personalities of the accomplices an extra normal status that could develop based on a not very many yet significant contacts or based on many contacts. It is obvious from past discussion that the idea of customer acquisition, the idea of comprehensive customer retention, and the structure of an information base to allow separated contact with customers experiences the absence of commonality and uncommon status in that they are completely arranged one way from the organization to the customer. Another significant distinction is that in the three past viewpoints the great rousing power is to help the organization, while in the last viewpoint the inspiration is to help customers. (Bilgihan, & Bujisic, 2015) discusses that having as its excellent inspiration the benefitting of buyers is one reason Matsushita Electric has turned into a world power in the customer hardware industry. Konosuke Matsushita's central goal was to help humanity by creating an ever-increasing number of fundamental labor and products at lower and lower costs (Bilgihan & Bujisic, 2015).

Matsushita for the most part stressed serving society by making products that individuals could manage, not tied in with fostering his organization or retaining customers (Bilgihan & Bujisic, 2015).

It’s not being contending that a few parts of these viewpoints can't be utilized together. For instance, specifically holding customers is a significant expense decrease strategy and creating data sets to become more acquainted with one's customers is an important, though not adequate, condition for building positive connections. Notwithstanding, what is being contending that one's accentuation, or prime inspiration, separates these four viewpoints reasonably and that is essential to inspect them under the focal point of the VRIO framework to evaluate which of the four has the best potential for sustainable competitive advantage or more normal performance in the long-term.

Citation Information: Mahrakani, N.J. (2021). The deep relationship between sustained competitive advantage and marketing processes. *Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences, 24*(S6), 1-13.

The Link between VRIO and Relationship Marketing

Nowadays, buyers are less disposed to keep up with loyalties compared to the 90s, they are looking for esteem from organizations and are requesting that organizations give a valid justification to customers to manage them. In consumer marketing, the outcome has been a more noteworthy accentuation on conducting business with customers. This implies less accentuation on the components of the conventional marketing blend and substantially more on causing a circumstance where a customer is happy with all that an organization has to bring to the table, to where the customers need to work with the organizations well into what's to come (Aka, Kehinde & Ogunnaike, 2016). Progressively, the mentality in customer driven businesses is that the marketing mix is guaranteed and, best case scenario, just a source of competitive parity in the long run, and that the truly profitable relationships are those which can fulfill their customers, as much through how they treat them as through the items and costs that are offered and foster organizations with them.

The Link between Customer Acquisition and Relationship Marketing

One part of this new marketing worldview is acquiring customers. As referenced before, this strategy comprises of distributing assets and abilities to make primary relationship between an organizations and a customers that makes it hard for the customers to change organizations (Bojei et al., 2013). The buyers are secured, a hostage customers, on the grounds that the costs associated with making a break to another organization can't be sustained. The underlying bond which upholds the relationship turns out to be, thusly, a hindrance to exit, and customers are caught seeking alternative from which they might like to be removed. Some specialists propose that the foundation of primary bonds is imperative to an organization's drawn-out wellbeing as these bonds make generous boundaries to the opposition when significant customers are bound to the organization. Multiple ways have been formed to secure customers in a relationship with organizations. One way is to expand the exchanging costs of changing to another business. This was a fruitful strategy for many firms for a long time. Another strategy includes the utilization of apparatuses like long term contracts with punishments for resuming. Continuous buyer programs, for example, those worked by most carriers, inn networks and by numerous huge retailers, perform basically a similar capacity by attempting to keep customers getting back to the same organization. Such strategy is important as long as customers will stay with the organization. The chance for customers to gather focuses expands the accessibility of income for an organization as customers purchase their items or management. What's more, it very well might be uncommon for a short period and lead to a place of impermanent competitive advantage. In any case, one would contend that this technique can't be a source of sustained competitive advantage since it lack originality (Khojastehpour & Johns, 2014).

The Link between Customer Retention and Relationship Marketing

Retaining customers is more productive in the long term (Gilboa, Seger-Guttmann & Mimran, 2019) than committing undeniable degrees of marketing work to supplanting customer who leave. One can view relationship marketing as retaining, not simply acquiring, customers; the ramifications being that customer retention and relationships are very much the same. Sadly, while the retention of customers is a smart thought, few have talked about which customers should be held or how a retention situated relationship should be set up and kept up with (Vincent & Webster, 2013). Considerably less have analyzed whether a wide based customer Retention technique can be a source of sustained competitive advantage.

Citation Information: Mahrakani, N.J. (2021). The deep relationship between sustained competitive advantage and marketing processes. *Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences, 24*(S6), 1-13.

As suggested in the past section, having an expansive based strategy of retaining customers isn't suitable for most businesses. It is smarter to hold long term beneficial customers and not burn through cash on holding non-productive customers, for example, the individuals who don't cover their bills or who order an unreasonable measure of staff time. Obviously, when more is spent on retaining customers than the income being produced, a customer retention strategy isn't esteeming making; along these lines, it won't be a source of sustained competitive advantage. Indeed, it very well might be a source of competitive disadvantage and may prompt normal performance.

Would a specific customer's retention strategy be uncommon? This is actually an experimental inquiry; however, accepting that a couple of organizations seek after such a system, one can contend that these organizations have a source of brief competitive advantage in that it is uncommon and significant. Would it be incompletely imitable and a source of sustained competitive advantage? The appropriate response is no. Clearly, when different organizations see one of their competitors seeking after such a system, they could undoubtedly move their asset designations to seek after such a technique. In this way, a strategy of particular customer retention, best case scenario, could just prompt an impermanent competitive advantage for the time being and a place of competitive parity in the long run.

Building a Profitable Relationship

The three viewpoints of relationship marketing introduced above come up short as far as characterizing when a relationship with a customer really exists, or when one can even be anticipated to exist (Biswas, 2014), recommending that customer securing through a constant series of organizations due to the erection of obstructions to exit fosters a relationship misses the more profound significance of what a relationship truly is. At the point when a customer who needs to leave a relationship proceeds in a relationship with an organization since exchanging costs are too high, that customer is caught in a relationship without wanting to.

Maintenance of customers enjoys a few benefits, for example, the lower expenses of serving returning customers, the beneficial outcomes on benefits, and the production of organization advocates who spread positive verbal (Yoganathan, Jebarajakirthy & Thaichon, 2015). Nonetheless, the wide based or specific retention of customers doesn't imply that an organization has fostered a solid, close, positive relationship with them. A customer might manage a specific organization since it is advantageous or on the grounds that the organization is the one in particular that has a specific item needed by the customer. The viewpoint of data base marketing is without any focusing on customers (Rasul, 2017). The foundation of a data set is viewed as important to gather relevant data about customers, normally identified with their segment and psychographic qualities and their purchasing conduct, and afterward utilize this data to guide proper designated messages to them. (Cohen, 2014) alluded to this strategy as how to deal with customers.

The relationship is seen essentially as contact, or as knowing however much with regards to customers as could be expected so that one can advertise at them, with little worry for whether they are willing members in this relationship or regardless of whether they even view it as fulfilling.

As referenced before, these viewpoints of relationship marketing are missing two significant qualities: commonality and unique status. It is obvious from past discussion that the idea of customer acquisition, the expansive idea of customer retention, and the structure of an information base to allow separated contact with customers experiences the absence of commonality and unique status in that they are completely situated one way from the organization to the customer.

Citation Information: Mahrakani, N.J. (2021). The deep relationship between sustained competitive advantage and marketing processes. *Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences, 24*(S6), 1-13.

What is important to accomplish a profitable relationship that is probably going to persevere? (Lee, Kim & Pan, 2014). Recognized a few fundamental components for a relationship to exist. These are mindful, support, dedication, setting need on different inclinations, genuineness, reliability, and trust in the other, giving assistance when required, and working through conflicts. Argyle and (Yu & Tung, 2013) recognized a few standards of relationships: regard security, something the data set point of view may not do in the event that it permits intrusive marketing to customers, keep confidences and don't scrutinize openly. Achen, (2017) inferred that steady, amicable relationships are described by correspondence, trust, enjoying, regard, response, love, impact, and comprehension. (Moretti & Tuan, 2014) saw that there should be a responsibility in a relationship; characterized as an abstract state including both intellectual and passionate parts and being long term in nature with a desire to keep up with the relationship. These creators all recommend a feeling of commonality and extra normal status are vital for a profitable relationship. Will having a profitable relationship between an organization and its customers be a source of sustained competitive advantage for that organization? Having customers who trust and regard you as an organization and who, subsequently, persistently return to your organization for their items and administrations implies that incomes are secure as long as possible and that the cost of marketing to these customers are lower. This proposes that this system is esteeming making. Regardless of whether this technique is uncommon is an exact inquiry; notwithstanding, considering that it is so hard to keep a relationship between two individuals based on trust and regard, it is highly recommended that it is significantly harder to do as such between an organization and individual shoppers. Consequently, a speculation suggests that organizations that have fabricated beneficial organizations with their purchasers are uncommon. Would these relationships in the event that they exist for a couple, uncommon organizations, be imitable? It is accepted that it would be undeniably challenging. A few analysts contend that regardless of whether the connection between socially complex relationships and competitive advantage is perceived, it doesn't imply that organizations ailing in these relationships can discover minimal expense substitutes for them or that they can participate in a precise work to make them. (Firdaus & Kanyan, 2014) discusses that such friendly designing is past most firms and in case it isn't, it is substantially more exorbitant than socially complex assets that have as of now been developed. What's more, a few scientists (Hasan et al., 2014) propose that an organization's standing among its customers is a socially intricate relationship. Additionally, a relationship between an organization and its customers portrayed by common trust, regard, love, correspondence, and so forth is a socially mind-boggling marvel that would be extremely challenging and expensive to mimic. Accordingly, a profitable relationship could be a source of sustained competitive advantage (Firdaus & Kanyan, 2014).

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The study of the four view of relationship marketing and sustained competitive advantage has a few ramifications for organization. Abeza, et al., (2017) discuss that it has been for the most part acknowledged that organizations can reinforce their financial performance by shaping enduring organizations with their customers, as opposed to pursuing new ones. The work exhausted to guarantee that customers are happy with their relationship in the long term is remunerated by an expansion in financial performance through recurrent business, reference deals, diminished customer upkeep costs, and scaled down openness to value rivalry. Notwithstanding, what has not been tended to be which of the four viewpoints of relationship marketing might be techniques that could prompt sustained competitive advantage, and which might be strategies that could prompt competitive equality when a transitory competitive advantage discusses away. The way that a strategy prompts worked on financial performance in the long term doesn't imply that it is a source of sustained competitive advantage yet just that

Citation Information: Mahrakani, N.J. (2021). The deep relationship between sustained competitive advantage and marketing processes. *Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences, 24*(S6), 1-13.

there are insufficient contenders to contend away above-normal performance, particularly if the strategy is imitable, similar to the case with the customer acquisition, customer retention, and database marketing systems (Larentis, Antonello & Slongo, 2018).

The research of the four practices and their relationship with sustained competitive advantage proposes a few ramifications for management (Kumar, 2014). To start with, it is significant that strategies make esteem. Second, it is significant that these systems be uncommon. Third, it is significant that these systems be truly challenging and expensive to mimic. Accepting that an organization is coordinated to take advantage of the maximum capacity of each financial condition, these three conditions are vital for a strategy to be a source of sustained competitive advantage. Nonetheless, the examination doesn't propose that organizations should have, or look for, just those systems that are sources of sustained competitive advantage (Farida, Naryoso & Yuniawan, 2017). In case a company's rivals have a source of impermanent competitive advantage, it could be decreasing that company's incomes or potentially expanding its expenses. In the present circumstance, not seeking after this system might be a source of competitive disadvantage and it is important to copy a contender's source of brief competitive advantage to essentially achieve competitive equality (San-Martín, Jiménez & López-Catalán, 2016). This recommends that have systems that are sources of competitive equality and temporary competitive advantage, notwithstanding sources of sustained competitive advantage. This implies that regardless of whether the item or administration is just a source of competitive parity, and it is the relationship between a firm and its customers that is the source of sustained competitive advantage, not having that equivalent item or administration might be a source of competitive disadvantage. This contention recommends that despite the fact that information base marketing may just be a source of competitive equality in the long term, without data set marketing it may not be feasible to have the information to assemble a solid, close, positive relationship with one's customers. What's more, while a particular customer retention strategies may, best case scenario, just be a source of competitive equality not having a customer retention center may not permit an organizations to build a profitable relationship (Madhavaram, Granot & Badrinarayanan, 2014). In spite of the fact that it is outside the extent of this study, it would be improper in the event that the study didn't momentarily examine when and how profitable relationships should be built, considering that it is suitable to do as such to accomplish a sustained competitive advantage. Organizations must build profitable relationships when they are needed by their customers. What one customer might observe to be a profitable relationship might be considered meddling, smothering or superfluous by different customers? The last customer will lean toward a more far off relationship, one portrayed by significantly less intimacy. A valuable inquiry is the point at which it is favorable for a relationship to build. Standard way of thinking recommends that specific conditions should apply for a relationship to develop. Such conditions would incorporate normal contact between the customer and the firm. Also, close contact, may be relied upon to add to the foundation of close relationship. Rizan, Warokka & Listyawati (2014) found that profoundly enthusiastic relationships might add to the improvement of profitable relationship even without customary contact. Research led by him in the burial service management industry uncovered that in excess of 60% of adults had a specific burial service chief as a primary concern to whom they would turn in the shocking occasion that they required burial service administrations, despite the fact that many had not had any dealings with a burial service chief for just about two decades. Also, in a review on the financial business, he tracked down that the enthusiastic tone of the relationship was the best indicator of closeness, strength and fulfillment and that other full of feeling measurements of the relationship contributed fundamentally to the capacity to clarify contrasts in these factors (Berry, 2002). To build profitable relationships require a few conditions to be fulfilled. To begin with, there should be a solid customer retention strategy saturating the organization. In any case, this strategy ought not to be one that attempts to

Citation Information: Mahrakani, N.J. (2021). The deep relationship between sustained competitive advantage and marketing processes. *Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences, 24*(S6), 1-13.

retain customers by primarily acquiring them. Second, there should be an information base that contains subjective and quantitative data on every individual customer. This data set should be accessible to all who connect with customers. This infers that all who approach the data set need to have a solid feeling of privacy regarding the data to which they approach. It meant to be noticed that the conditions depicted above with respect to when and how profitable relationships should be developed are just important not adequate conditions. Rus, Andrej (2008). This implies that having met these conditions doesn't really imply that profitable relationships will develop. Then again not having met these conditions implies that a profitable relationship can possibly develop. At last, the idea of performance with regards to a developing profitable relationships marketing system needs to be addressed. As mentioned earlier in the paper, (Buchanan & Gilles, 1990) characterize strategy as the example of asset and ability designation that empowers an organization to keep up with or work on its performance. Furthermore, it is conceivable to have strategies might prompt below the normal performance, normal performance, or above-normal performance. What's the significance here to have above-normal performance related with a profitable relationships marketing system? (Jugdev, 2005) discusses that above normal performance happens when the real worth made is more prominent than the worth expected to be made by the individuals who have willfully given assets to the organization, like customers. This suggests that the people who willfully give assets to an organization should be astonished from an uplifting outlook in their dealings with that organization in that the worth made is more prominent than the worth anticipated. This implies that a relationship marketing technique that prompts above normal performance is one where the customers are infrequently being astonished from an uplifting outlook by the items or potentially benefits they get from the firm. Such a strategy will hold customers, and, in the long term, the organization will be compensated by an increment in financial performance through recurrent business, reference deals, diminished customer upkeep costs, and scaled down openness to value contest (Barney, 1986), in light of the fact that it has a system that is a consequence of dispensing assets in a way that astonishes its customers. The strategies of cover customer retention, customer acquisition, and data set marketing might prompt above normal performance, yet just briefly. The additional worth made will be contended away when these strategies are imitated by enough contenders to accomplish sustained competitive advantage, when the extraordinariness condition is abused. Furthermore, it is conceivable that the organization might be shocked by the below normal performance. This could be conceivable when a customer acquisition strategy is used, and customers leave the relationship when exchanging costs are as of now not a reason to exit.

REFERENCES

Abeza, G., O'Reilly, N., & Reid, I. (2013). Relationship marketing and social media in sport. *International Journal of Sport Communication, 6*(2), 120-142.

Abeza, G., O'Reilly, N., Seguin, B., & Nzindukiyimana, O. (2017). Social media as a relationship marketing tool in professional sport: A netnographical exploration. *International Journal of Sport Communication, 10*(3), 325-358.

Achen, R.M. (2017). Measuring social media marketing: Moving towards a relationship-marketing approach. *Managing Sport and Leisure, 22*(1), 33-53.

Aka, D., Kehinde, O., &Ogunnaike, O. (2016). Relationship marketing and customer satisfaction: A conceptual perspective. *Binus Business Review, 7*(2), 185-190.

Barney, J.B. (1986a). Organizational culture: Can it be a source of sustained competitive advantage? *Academy of Management Review, 11,* 656–665.

Barney, J.B. (1986b). Types of competition and the theory of strategy: Toward an integrative framework. *Academy of Management Review, 11,* 791–800.

Barney, J.B. (1989). Asset stock accumulation and sustained competitive advantage: A comment. *Management Science, 35,* 1511–1513.

Barney, J.B. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management, 17*(1), 99–120.

Citation Information: Mahrakani, N.J. (2021). The deep relationship between sustained competitive advantage and marketing processes. *Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences, 24*(S6), 1-13.

Barney, J.B. (1997). *Gaining and sustaining competitive advantage, don mills.* Ontario: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.

Barney, J.B., & Wright, P.M. (1998). On becoming a strategic partner: The role of human resources in gaining competitive advantage. *Society of Human Resources Management*, *37*(1), 31-46.

Beck, J.T., Chapman, K., &Palmatier, R.W. (2015). Understanding relationship marketing and loyalty program effectiveness in global markets. *Journal of International Marketing, 23*(3), 1-21.

Benouakrim, H., & El Kandoussi, F. (2013). Relationship marketing: literature review. *International journal of science and research*, *2*(10), 148-152.

Berry, L. (2002). Relationship marketing of services perspectives from 1983 and 2000. *Journal of Relationship Marketing.*

Berry, L.L. (1983). Relationship marketing. *Emerging perspectives on services marketing, 66*(3), 33-47.

Bilgihan, A., & Bujisic, M. (2015). The effect of website features in online relationship marketing: A case of online hotel booking. *Electronic commerce research and applications, 14*(4), 222-232.

Biswas, S. (2014). *Relationship marketing: Concepts, theories and cases.* PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd..

Bojei, J., Julian, C.C., Wel, C.A.B.C., & Ahmed, Z.U. (2013). The empirical link between relationship marketing tools and consumer retention in retail marketing. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour, 12*(3), 171-181.

Buchanan, R., & Gilles, C. (1990). Value managed relationship: The key to customer retention and profitability. *European Management Journal, 8*(4), 1990

Christopher, Payne & Ballantyne. (2013). *Relationship marketing*. Routledge.

Cohen, T. (2014). Relationship marketing. *Touro Accounting & Business Journal, 26*.

e Hasan, S.F., Lings, I., Neale, L., & Mortimer, G. (2014). The role of customer gratitude in making relationship marketing investments successful. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 21*(5), 788-796.

Farida, N., Naryoso, A., &Yuniawan, A. (2017). Model of relationship marketing and e-commerce in improving marketing performance of batik SMEs. *Journal of Management Dynamics, 8*(1), 20-29.

Firdaus, A., & Kanyan, A. (2014). Managing relationship marketing in the food service industry. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning.*

Gilboa, S., Seger-Guttmann, T., & Mimran, O. (2019). The unique role of relationship marketing in small businesses' customer experience. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, *51*, 152-164.

Gillett, A.G. (2015). Remarkor: Relationship marketing orientation on local government performance. *Journal of Services Research, 15*(1), 97.

Gillett, A.G. (2016). Multiple relationships with multiple stakeholders: the scope of relationship marketing for public services. *Journal of Services Research, 16*(2), 1–28.

Grönroos, C. (2017). Relationship marketing readiness: Theoretical background and measurement directions. *Journal of Services Marketing.*

Gummerus, J., von Koskull, C., & Kowalkowski, C. (2017). Guest editorial: Relationship marketing–past, present and future. *Journal of services marketing*.

Gummesson, E. (2011). *Total relationship marketing.* Routledge.

Jones, M.A., Reynolds, K.E., Arnold, M.J., Gabler, C.B., Gillison, S.T., & Landers, V.M. (2015). Exploring consumers' attitude towards relationship marketing. *Journal of Services Marketing*.

Jugdev, K. (2005). The VRIO framework of competitive advantage: Preliminary research implications for organizational innovations as drawn from a project management study.

Khan, M.T. (2014). Relationship marketing-some aspects. *International Journal of Information, Business and Management, 6*(2), 108.

Khojastehpour, M., & Johns, R. (2014). Internationalization and relationship marketing: An introduction. *European Business Review.*

Knott, P.J. (2015). Does VRIO help managers evaluate a firm's resources? *Management Decision*.

Kumar, D. (2014). Relationship marketing: A new dimension of marketing. *International Journal of Research, 1*(7), 1045-1049.

Larentis, F., Antonello, C.S., &Slongo, L.A. (2018). Organizational culture and relationship marketing: An inter organizational perspective. *Brazilian Magazine of Business Management, 20,* 37-56.

Lee, J.S., Kim, S., & Pan, S. (2014). The role of relationship marketing investments in customer reciprocity. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*.

Madhavaram, S., Granot, E., &Badrinarayanan, V. (2014). Relationship marketing strategy: An operant resource perspective. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*.

Moretti, A., & Tuan, A. (2014). Social media marketing and relationship marketing: revolution or evolution? A first step analysis.

Rasul, T. (2017). Relationship marketing and the marketing productivity paradigm. *The Journal of Developing Areas, 51*(1), 423-430.

Ravald, A., & Grönroos, C. (1996). The value concept and relationship marketing. *European journal of marketing*.

Citation Information: Mahrakani, N.J. (2021). The deep relationship between sustained competitive advantage and marketing processes. *Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences, 24*(S6), 1-13.

Rizan, M., Warokka, A., & Listyawati, D. (2014). Relationship marketing and customer loyalty: Do customer satisfaction and customer trust really serve as intervening variables? *Journal of Marketing Research & Case Studies*, 1.

Rowe, W.G., & Barnes, J.G. (1998). Relationship marketing and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Market-Focused Management, 2*(3), 281-297.

Rus, A. (2008). *Gift vs. Commoditiy' debate revisited.* Anthropological Notebooks

Samaha, S.A., Beck, J.T., & Palmatier, R.W. (2014). The role of culture in international relationship marketing. *Journal of Marketing, 78*(5), 78-98.

Samiee, S., Chabowski, B.R., & Hult, G.T.M. (2015). International relationship marketing: Intellectual foundations and avenues for further research. *Journal of International Marketing, 23*(4), 1-21.

San-Martín, S., Jiménez, N.H., & López-Catalán, B. (2016). The firms benefit of mobile CRM from the relationship marketing approach and the TOE model. *Spanish journal of marketing-ESIC, 20*(1), 18-29.

Sheth, J. (2017). Revitalizing relationship marketing. *Journal of Services Marketing*.

Sheth, J.N. (2002). The future of relationship marketing. *Journal of services marketing*.

Thaichon, P., Liyanaarachchi, G., Quach, S., Weaven, S., & Bu, Y. (2019). Online relationship marketing: Evolution and theoretical insights into online relationship marketing. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*.

Verma, V., Sharma, D., &Sheth, J. (2016). Does relationship marketing matter in online retailing? A meta-analytic approach. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 44*(2), 206-217.

Vincent, N.A., & Webster, C.M. (2013). Exploring relationship marketing in membership associations. *European Journal of Marketing.*

Yoganathan, D., Jebarajakirthy, C., & Thaichon, P. (2015). The influence of relationship marketing orientation on brand equity in banks. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 26,* 14-22.

Yu, T.W., & Tung, F.C. (2013). Investigating effects of relationship marketing types in life insurers in Taiwan. *Managing Service Quality: An International Journal*.

Zhang, J.Z., Watson Iv, G.F., Palmatier, R.W., & Dant, R.P. (2016). Dynamic relationship marketing. *Journal of Marketing, 80*(5), 53-75.

Citation Information: Mahrakani, N.J. (2021). The deep relationship between sustained competitive advantage and marketing processes. *Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences, 24*(S6), 1-13.