THE MEDIATION EFFECT OF ENTREPRENEURIAL SELF-EFFICACY IN THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ENTREPRENEURIAL PASSION AND LEADERSHIP STYLES

Diego Norena-Chavez, Peruvian Army for Strategic Studies Eleftherios I. Thalassinos, University of Piraeus, University of Malta

ABSTRACT

This research aimed to determine the mediating effect of entrepreneurial self-efficacy on the relationship between entrepreneurial passion and leadership styles. Two hundred hardware and footwear entrepreneurs from the Las Malvinas Commercial Emporium, Lima, Peru, were surveyed. The PLS-SEM multivariate statistical technique was applied for data analysis. It was found that there is a complementary mediating effect of entrepreneurial self-efficacy in the relationship between entrepreneurial passion and leadership styles.

On the other hand, it was concluded that entrepreneurial passion has a positive influence on entrepreneurial self-efficacy. Likewise, there is a positive influence of entrepreneurial selfefficacy on leadership styles, and there is a positive influence of entrepreneurial passion on leadership styles.

This research contributed theoretically to the academic literature and provided empirical evidence of the relationship of the proposed variables; it proved a new predictive and explanatory structural model that can now be used in future research worldwide and generated a model of the studied variables that is useful for both academia and the business world.

Keywords: Mediation, Entrepreneurial, Entrepreneurship, Sustainability

INTRODUCTION

Entrepreneurship is a crucial variable for developing any country, as it positively influences health and education and strongly drives economic growth (Mehta et al., 2014; Arshad et al., 2018). Currently, variables such as entrepreneurial self-efficacy, leadership styles, and entrepreneurial passion are being investigated in business, given their positive influence on the sustainability of entrepreneurship and organizations globally (Norena-Chavez, 2020; Norena-Chavez & Guevara 2020; Norena-Chavez, Céliz-Kuong & Guevara, 2021). SARS-CoV- 2 has increased the need for entrepreneurship for many people, as many jobs ceased to exist, but, at the same time, these ventures need to be led by entrepreneurs with solid skills that generate innovative, disruptive, and sustainable models (Szulc & Smith, 2021).

According to Lian & Yen (2017), entrepreneurs require different skills than people working in the corporate world to cope with the new threats emerging in the business environment. The integration of skills, such as entrepreneurial self-efficacy, entrepreneurial passion, and leadership, together with digital skills, increases business success probability (Grandon & Pearson, 2003). According to the Global Entrepreneurship Report (2019), variables related to entrepreneurship, such as leadership, entrepreneurial passion and entrepreneurial self-efficacy, strongly influence the success of companies. Therefore, it is essential to improve the understanding of the interrelationships between these variables, as the lack of it influences the closing of business at a global level.

On the other hand, in early-stage entrepreneurship (less than 3.5 years), Peru ranks 5th out of 54 countries; however, in established entrepreneurship (more than 3.5 years), it ranks 19th

out of 54 countries (GEM, 2019). This, in addition to the dynamic and uncertain environment resulting from SARS-CoV-2, increases the mortality rate of businesses.

The objectives of this research were: a) to determine the mediation effect of entrepreneurial self-efficacy in the relationship between entrepreneurial passion, and leadership styles, never studied before in the academic literature; b) to contribute theoretically to the academic literature and provide empirical evidence of the relationship of the proposed variables, c) to contribute to a better understanding of the interrelationships of entrepreneurial self-efficacy, entrepreneurial passion, and leadership styles, and d) to generate a useful model for both academia and the business world.

This research found the following: a) it was the first scientific research in the world to study the interrelationships between entrepreneurial self-efficacy, entrepreneurial passion and leadership styles, and to add a mediation analysis to this; b) filled the knowledge gap in the mediation of entrepreneurial self-efficacy in the relationship between entrepreneurial passion and leadership styles; c) generated a useful model for academia and entrepreneurship; d) proved a predictive and explanatory structural model that can be used in future research worldwide; and e) was the first scientific research carried out in Las Malvinas, in the hardware and footwear sector, Cercado de Lima, Peru, in a SARS-CoV-2 period.

This research followed a quantitative, cross-sectional cohort, correlational-exploratory methodological approach with a non-experimental design. The multivariate statistical technique partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) was used for analysis and result reporting and the third version of the SMART-PLS software (Ringle, Wide & Becker, 2015). We surveyed 200 entrepreneurs in the hardware and footwear sectors in Las Malvinas, Cercado de Lima, Lima Region, Peru, in March 2021.

The following research questions were posed: a) Is there a positive relationship between entrepreneurial passion and entrepreneurial self-efficacy? b) Is there a positive relationship between entrepreneurial self-efficacy and leadership styles? c) Is there a positive relationship between entrepreneurial passion and leadership styles? and d) does entrepreneurial self-efficacy mediate the relationship between entrepreneurial passion and leadership styles?

This research presented the following hypotheses:

- H1 Entrepreneurial passion influences positively entrepreneurial self-efficacy.
- H2 Entrepreneurial self-efficacy influences positively the leadership styles.
- *H3* Entrepreneurial passion influences positively the leadership.
- H4 The entrepreneurial self-efficacy mediates the relationship between the entrepreneurial passion and the leadership styles.



FIGURE 1 ADAPTED FROM "THE MEDIATION EFFECT OF INNOVATIVE BEHAVIOR ON

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ENTREPRENEURIAL SELF-EFFICACY AND ENTREPRENEURIAL INTENTION" (NORENA-CHAVEZ, 2020).

LITERATURE REVIEW

Entrepreneurial Passion- Leadership

Entrepreneurial passion is a phenomenon directly related to leading motivated ventures (Cardon & Kirk, 2015). To identify, build and lead new businesses, entrepreneurial passion needs to be present all the time to ensure their organizational sustainability (Collewaert et al., 2016; Murnieks et al., 2014). In education, entrepreneurial passion positively influences leadership, as it encourages new businesses (Ali & Abou, 2020; Fayolle et al., 2006).

Anjum, et al., (2021) concluded a strong relationship between entrepreneurial passion and intention to lead new businesses. Hubner, et al., (2019) identified that communicating plans with an entrepreneurial passion to employees increases the desire to lead projects and organizational commitment. Finally, entrepreneurial passion contributes to leading entrepreneurial activities and influences the development of the entrepreneurial ecosystem (Obschonka et al., 2019).

Entrepreneurial Self-Efficacy-Entrepreneurial Passion

Li, et al., (2020) concluded that entrepreneurial passion has a positive influence on entrepreneurial self-efficacy. Entrepreneurial self-efficacy is characterized by the self-confidence to undertake any business successfully and is positively related to entrepreneurial passion (Nowinski et al., 2019). Other studies showed that entrepreneurial passion and entrepreneurial self-efficacy drive new business identification (Jarvis, 2016; Cardon et al., 2005).

In a sample of 500 university students in South Africa, Neneh (2020) examined whether entrepreneurial passion influences entrepreneurial intention and entrepreneurial self-efficacy. He concluded that there is a positive influence by entrepreneurial passion on these two variables. Al Issa, et al., (2019) examined 273 Malaysian entrepreneurs and concluded that entrepreneurial self-efficacy positively impacts entrepreneurial passion. Meanwhile, Cardon, et al., (2015) concluded that entrepreneurial passion helps explain the relationship between self-efficacy and entrepreneurial action.

Entrepreneurial Self-Efficacy-Leadership

Self-efficacy is an essential factor for creativity to develop and drives leadership in organizations (Gong, Huang & Farh, 2009). According to Hong-Da (2014), entrepreneurial self-efficacy mediates the relationship between entrepreneurial leadership and innovative behavior. A variant of self-efficacy is creative self-efficacy. Entrepreneurial leadership positively moderates creative self-efficacy on innovative behavior (Newman et al., 2018).

Barakat, Boddington & Vyakarnam (2014) concluded that entrepreneurial self-efficacy is related to innovation, leadership, creativity, start-up processes, product development, teamwork, and financial skills. Kickul, Wilson, Marlino & Barbosa (2008) studied the influence of entrepreneurial self-efficacy and leadership in young middle and high school students and found that leadership and entrepreneurial self-efficacy are strongly related in both groups. Additionally, Obschonka, Silbereisen & Schmitt-Rodermund (2010) concluded that leadership developed as a child can generate adult entrepreneurial self-efficacy.

RESULTS

Measurement Model Evaluation

For the evaluation of the measurement model, the following criteria were used: a) individual reliability of the indicator, b) construct reliability, c) convergent validity, and d) discriminant validity.

Individual Indicator Reliability

According to Hulland (1999), in the social sciences, researchers find external loadings below 0.70 since often underdeveloped scales are used. Hair, et al., (2019) concluded that the appropriate external loadings threshold should be greater than or equal to 0.707. Figure 2 shows the model and its external loadings.



FIGURE 2

THE FIGURE WAS CREATED USING THE 3.3.3 VERSION OF THE SMART-PLS SOFTWARE AND SHOWS THE EXTERNAL LOADINGS OF THE LATENT VARIABLE INDICATORS.

Construct Reliability

To evaluate the construct reliability, internal consistency and discriminant validity were considered. To evaluate internal consistency, Cronbach's Alpha and Composite Reliability were analyzed; both indicators should be greater than or equal to 0.7, but social sciences the indicators should be greater or equal to 0.6 (Cronbach, 1951; Jöreskog, 1971: Hair et al., 2019). On the other hand, to evaluate convergent validity, rho_A and AVE were analyzed. Rho_A resulted higher than 0.7 and AVE higher than 0.5, thus meeting the minimum required thresholds (Hair et al., 2019). Table 1 shows the internal consistency of the measurement model.

Table 1					
INTERNAL CONSISTENCY					
Reliability and Validity					
Cronbach's Alpha rho_A Composite Reliability (AVE)					
Entrepreneurial passion	0.751	0.752	0.889	0.801	
Entrepreneurial self-efficacy	0.785	0.784	0.852	0.536	
Leadership	0.864	0.877	0.897	0.593	

To assess discriminant validity, the Fornell and Larcker criteria, Cross Loadings and HTMT were analyzed. The first criterion was the Fornell-Larcker criterion, which assesses discriminant validity by comparing the square root of the AVE values with the correlations of the latent variables (Fornell & Larcker, 1981; Hair et al., 2019). Table 2 shows the Fornell-Larcker criterion.

Table 2 DISCRIMINANT VALIDITY Fornell-Larcker Criterion				
Fornell-Larcker Criterion Entrepreneurial passion Entrepreneurial self-efficacy Leaders				
Entrepreneurial passion 0.895				
Entrepreneurial self-efficacy	-0.243	0.732		
Leadership	-0.633	0.396	0.77	

Assessment of Structural Model Path Coefficients and Evaluation of the Model's Mediation

After applying the PLS-SEM algorithm in the program, structural model estimates are obtained. These represent the hypothesized relationships (Hair et al., 2019). In this research, the full bootstrapping technique was applied, and a 5% significance level was assumed; therefore, the p-value should be less than 0.05 (Aguirre-Urreta & Rönkkö, 2015). Table 3 shows that the three hypotheses raised in this research are positive and statistically significant. Therefore, we conclude that: a) entrepreneurial passion and entrepreneurial self-efficacy are positively related (H1), b) entrepreneurial self-efficacy and leadership are positively related (H2), and c) entrepreneurial passion and leadership are positively related (H3).

Table 3 ASSESSMENT OF STRUCTURAL MODEL PATH COEFFICIENTS					
Structural Model Path Coefficients					
Path coefficients	Original Sample (O)	Sample Mean (M)	Standard Deviation (STDEV)	T Statistics (O/STDEV)	P Values
EP -> ESE	-0.243	-0.265	0.087	2.806	0.005
EP -> L	-0.571	-0.572	0.048	11.846	0.000
ESE -> L	0.257	0.271	0.071	3.615	0.000

The total indirect effect was assessed to determine the mediating effect. It was found that there is an indirect effect of entrepreneurial self-efficacy on the relationship between entrepreneurial passion and leadership. Hypothesis 4 was tested. Table 4 shows the test and the statistical significance of the indirect relationship.

Table 4 ASSESSMENT OF STRUCTURAL MODEL PATH COEFFICIENTS						
	Model Indirect Effect					
Total Indirect	Original Sample	e Sample Mean Standard Deviation T Statistics				
Effects	(0)	(M)	(STDEV)	(O/STDEV)	Values	
EP -> ESE						
EP -> L	-0.062	-0.07	0.026	2.374	0.018	
ESE -> L						

To determine the type of mediation in this research, it was determined that the total effect is positive and significant compared to the indirect effect. Likewise, the existence of complementary mediation was concluded (Hair et al., 2019). Table 5 shows the total effect of the variables and their p-values.

Table 5 ASSESSMENT OF STRUCTURAL MODEL PATH COEFFICIENTS					
			Fotal Effect		
Total	Total Original Sample Sample Mean Standard Deviation T Statistics				Р
Effects	(0)	(M)	(STDEV)	(O / STDEV)	Values
EP-> ESE	-0.243	-0.265	0.087	2.806	0.005
EP -> L	-0.633	-0.641	0.04	15.717	0.000
ESE -> L	0.257	0.271	0.071	3.615	0.000

Blindfolding and Q-Square Predictive Relevance

-Square is the out-of-sample predictive power, which should be assessed in addition to R-Square to argue for predictive accuracy (Stone, 1974). Hair, et al., (2019) proposed as a rule that Q-Square values greater than zero, 0.25, and 0.5 show small, medium, and large predictive relevance of a PLS monogram.

Shmueli, et al., (2016) concluded that Q-Square allows assessing the contribution of an exogenous construct to the Q-Square value. According to Hair, et al., (2019), as a measure of predictive relevance, q-Square values of 0.02, 0.015, and 0.35 indicate that a construct has a small, medium, or high predictive relevance on an endogenous construct. Table 6 shows the Q-Square and q-Square of the model. It is concluded that the predictive relevance is medium since the indicator is 0.254; on the other hand, the q-Square effect size is small.

Table 6 Q SQUARE PREDICTIVE RELEVANCE Q-Square and q-Square				
	SSO	SSE	Q ² (=1-SSE/SSO)	
Entrepreneurial passion	198	198		
Entrepreneurial self-efficacy	495	483.943	0.022	
Leadership	594	443.39	0.254	
q Square			0.042	

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

This research aimed to determine the mediating effect of entrepreneurial self-efficacy on the relationship between entrepreneurial passion and leadership styles based on the model proposed by Norena-Chavez (2020); Newman, et al., (2018); Norena-Chavez & Guevara (2020); Newman, et al., (2019). The direct and indirect relationships of the variables presented were tested (entrepreneurial self-efficacy, entrepreneurial passion, leadership styles).

It was concluded that hypothesis 1 is statistically significant H1 (the relationship between entrepreneurial passion and entrepreneurial self-efficacy). This result is supported by previous research (Norena-Chavez & Guevara, 2020; Li et al., 2020; Nowinski et al., 2019; Jarvis, 2016; Cardon et al., 2005; Al Issa et al., 2019).

Regarding H2 (the relationship between entrepreneurial self-efficacy and leadership styles), the hypothesis is statistically significant. Previous research supports the result of this hypothesis. (Gong, Huang & Farh, 2009; Hong-Da, 2014; Neuman et al., 2018; Barakat, Boddington & Vyakarnam, 2014; Kickul, Wilson, Marlino & Barbosa, 2008; Obschonka, Silbereisen & Schmitt-Rodermund, 2010).

On H3 (The relationship between entrepreneurial passion and leadership styles), the hypothesis is statistically significant. Previous studies support this hypothesis (Cardon & Kirk, 2015; Collewaert et al., 2016; Murnieks et al., 2014; Ali & Abou, 2020; Anjun et al., 2021; Hubner et al., 2019).

Regarding H4 (entrepreneurial self-efficacy mediates the relationship between entrepreneurial passion and leadership styles), the hypothesis is statistically significant. Additionally, the complementary mediating effect of entrepreneurial self-efficacy was tested. Research supports this hypothesis (Norena-Chavez & Guevara, 2020; Norena-Chavez, 2020; Newman et al., 2018). According to the variance explained, entrepreneurial passion explains 46 % of the variability of leadership. On the other hand, in the research of Norena-Chavez and Guevara (2020), entrepreneurial self-efficacy explains 35 % of the variance of innovative behavior. In the study of Norena-Chavez (2020), entrepreneurial self-efficacy explains 9 % of the variance of entrepreneurial intention.

Entrepreneurial passion is a strong predictor of leadership styles. Academia and the business world must understand the relationship between these variables, closely related to entrepreneurship in different sectors. This research is the first to test the complementary mediating effect of entrepreneurial self-efficacy on the relationship between entrepreneurial passion and leadership styles. For that reason, this model can be tested in different sectors of the world by researchers in the entrepreneurship and leadership fields.

The model shows a better understanding of the mechanism by which entrepreneurial passion affects leadership styles. In this research, entrepreneurial self-efficacy was proposed as a mediating variable so that other researchers could evaluate the effect of different variables as mediators. Additionally, it is recommended that future research involve variables such as cyber leadership, cyber entrepreneurial intention, and cyber entrepreneurial self-efficacy, using a longitudinal cohort or complementing it with a mixed methodology to learn more about the entrepreneur's profile in this sector.

REFERENCES

- Aguirre-Urreta, M., & Rönkkö, M. (2015). Sample size determination and statistical power analysis in PLS using R: An annotated tutorial. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems, 36*.
- Al Issa, H.E., Abdelsalam, M.K., & Omar, M.M.S. (2019). The effect of entrepreneurial self-efficacy on persistence: Do financial literacy and entrepreneurial passion matter? *Polish Journal of Management Studies*, 20(2), 60-72.
- Ali, M., & Abou, E.A.E.E. (2020). Determinants of entrepreneurial intention among Sudanese university students. *Management Science Letters*, 10(12), 2849-2860.
- Anjum, T., Heidler, P., Amoozegar, A., & Anees, R.T. (2021). The impact of entrepreneurial passion on the entrepreneurial intention; Moderating impact of perception of university support. *Administrative Sciences*, 11(2), 45.
- Arshad, M., Farooq, O., & Afzal, S. (2018). The role of entrepreneurship education in developing a passion for business. *Global Business and Organizational Excellence*, 38(1), 15-21.
- Barakat, S., Boddington, M., & Vyakarnam, S. (2014). Measuring entrepreneurial self-efficacy to understand the impact of creative activities for learning innovation. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 12(3), 456-468.

- Cardon, M.S., & Colleen P.K. (2015). Entrepreneurial passion as mediator of the self-efficacy to persistence relationship. *Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice*, *39*, 1027–50.
- Collewaert, V., Frederik, A., Michiel, C., Alain De, B., & Jacob, V. (2016). When passion fades: Disentangling the temporal dynamics of entrepreneurial passion for founding. *Journal of Management Studies*, *53*, 966–95.

Cronbach, L.J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. Psychometrika, 16, 297-334.

- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D.F. (1981). Structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error: Algebra and statistics.
- Global Entrepreneurship Monitor. (2019). Global Report 2018/19. Global Entrepreneurship Research Association (GERA). London, U.K.
- Gong, Y., Huang, J., & Farh, J. (2009). Employee learning orientation, transformational leadership, and employee creativity: The mediating role of employee creative self efficacy. *Academy of Management Journal*, 52(4), 765-778.
- Grandon, E., & Pearson, J. (2003). 'Strategic value and adoption of electronic commerce: An empirical study of chilean small and medium sized business. *Journal of Global Information Technology Management*, 6(3), 22-43.
- Hair, J., Hult, T., Ringle, C., Sarstedt, M., Castillo, J., Cepeda, G., & Roldán, J. (2019). *Manual de Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)*. United State Sage Publication Inc.
- Hong-Da, L., Vivian, C.C.H., Chin-Tien, H., & Wu-Chen, F. (2014). Relationship between entrepreneurial leadership and innovative behavior: The mediating effect of entrepreneurial self-efficacy and the moderating effect of openness to experience and extraversion. *Information Technology Journal*, 13(6), 1035.
- Hulland, J. (1999). Use of Partial Least Squares (PLS) in strategic management research: A review of four recent studies. *Strategic management journal*, 20(2), 195-204.
- Hubner, S., Baum, M., & Frese, M. (2019). Contagion of entrepreneurial passion: Effects on employee outcomes. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 10(4), 22-28.
- Jarvis, L.C. (2016). Identification, intentions and entrepreneurial opportunities: An integrative process model. *Int. J. Entrepreneurial Behav. Res.* 22, 182–198.
- Jöreskog, K.G. (1971). Simultaneous factor analysis in several populations. Psychometrika, 36(4), 409-426.
- Kickul, J., Wilson, F., Marlino, D., & Barbosa, S.D. (2008), "Are misalignments of perceptions and self-efficacy causing gender gaps in entrepreneurial intentions among our nation's teens?" *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 15(2), 321-335.
- Li, C., Murad, M., Shahzad, F., Khan, M.A.S., Ashraf, S.F., & Dogbe, C.S.K. (2020). Entrepreneurial passion to entrepreneurial behavior: Role of entrepreneurial alertness, entrepreneurial self-efficacy and proactive personality. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11.
- Lian, J.W., & Yen, D.C. (2017). Understanding the relationships between online entrepreneurs' personal innovativeness, risk taking, and satisfaction: Comparison of pure-play and click-and-mortar. *Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic*, 27(2), 135-151.
- Mehta, N., Lalwani, L., Momaya, K., & Jain, K. (2014). Exploring the patterns of venture scale-up: Findings for IITB related ventures. *Challenges and Opportunities for IE in Emerging Economies*, 301–307. Excel India Publishers.
- Murnieks, C.Y., Elaine, M., & Melissa, S.C. (2014). Pathways of passion: Identity centrality, passion, and behavior among entrepreneurs. Journal of Management 40: 1583–606.
- Neneh, B.N. (2020). Entrepreneurial passion and entrepreneurial intention: The role of social support and entrepreneurial self-efficacy. *Studies in Higher Education*, 1–17.
- Newman, A., Tse, H.H.M., Schwarz, G., & Nielsen, I. (2018). The effects of employees 'creative self-efficacy on innovative behavior: The role of entrepreneurial leadership. *Journal of Business Research*, 89, 1–9.
- Norena-Chavez, D., & Guevara, R. (2020). Entrepreneurial passion and self-efficacy as factors explaining innovative behavior: A mediation model. *International Journal of Economics and Business Administration*, 8(3), 352-373.
- Norena-Chavez, D. (2020). The mediation effect of innovative behavior on the relationship between entrepreneurial self-efficacy and entrepreneurial intention. *International Journal of Economics and Business Administration*, 8(4), 238-252.
- Norena-Chavez, D., Céliz-Kuong, J.O., & Guevara, R. (2021). Influence of leadership styles on the innovative behavior of Peruvian cadets. *José María Córdova General Scientific Journal*, 19(33), 29-50.
- Nowinski, W., Haddoud, M.Y., Lanèariè, D., Egerová, D., & Czeglédi, C. (2019). 'The impact of entrepreneurship education, entrepreneurial self-efficacy and gender on entrepreneurial intentions of university students in the Visegrad countries. *Stud. High. Educ.* 44, 361–379.

- Obschonka, M., Silbereisen, R.K., & Schmitt-Rodermund, E. (2010). Entrepreneurial intention as developmental outcome. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 77(1), 63-72.
- Obschonka, M., Moeller, J., & Goethner, M. (2019). Entrepreneurial passion and personality: The case of academic entrepreneurship. *Frontiers in psychology*, *9*, 2697.

Ringle, C.M., Wende, S., & Becker, J.M. (2015). SmartPLS 3. Bönningstedt: SmartPLS.

- Shmueli, G., Ray, S., Estrada, J.M.V., & Chatla, S.B. (2016). The elephant in the room: Predictive performance of PLS models. *Journal of Business Research*, *69*(10), 4552-4564.
- Stone, M. (1974). Cross validatory choice and assessment of statistical predictions. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, *36*(2), 111-147.
- Szulc, J.M., & Smith, R. (2021). Abilities, motivations, and opportunities of furloughed employees in the context of Covid-19: Preliminary evidence from the UK. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *12*, 632.