



RESEARCH ARTICLE



Received on: 21-01-2014

Accepted on: 03-02-2014

Published on: 16-02-2014

Vaibhav P. Anjankar*

Assistant Professor, Department of Anatomy, L. N. Medical College & Research Centre, Bhopal. 462042

Email: vaibhav_anjankar@yahoo.co.in



QR Code for Mobile users

Conflict of Interest: None Declared !

The Study of Cephalic Index of Medical Students of Central India

Shema K. Nair¹, Vaibhav P. Anjankar*¹, Sandeep Singh², Maninder Bindra³, D. K. Satpathy⁴

¹ Assistant Professor, Department of Anatomy, L. N. Medical College & Research Centre, Bhopal. 462042

² Assistant Professor, Department of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology, L. N. Medical College & Research Centre, Bhopal. 462042.

³ Assistant Professor, Department of Biochemistry, L. N. Medical College & Research Centre, Bhopal. 462042.

⁴ Professor & Head, Department of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology, L. N. Medical College & Research Centre, Bhopal. 462042.

Abstract

Background: Cephalic index is also called as cranial index or Index of breadth. It is calculated as the breadth of the skull multiplied by 100 divided by the length. Comparison of changes in cephalic index between parents, offspring and siblings can give a clue to genetic transmission of inherited characters.

Methods: This study was carried out on 480 medical students (296 male and 184 female students). The cranial index was measured using Hardlika's method.

Results: Maximum numbers of subjects were Mesocephalic (having cephalic index 75 - 79.9). 43.58% boys as well as 42.93% girls were mesocephalic. Mean cephalic index for boys was 81.24 ± 3.66 and for girls was 80.31 ± 4.28 . Mean cephalic index irrespective of gender was found to be 81.21 ± 3.68 .

Conclusion: Cephalic Index is the terminology used in Anthropology for having in easy identifying module or numerical to distinguish the given sample or individual, either into race or sex or even as identity of the individual. So it can be utilized in various anthropological studies.

Keywords: Anthropology, Races, Anthropologists, siblings.

Cite this article as:

Shema K. Nair, Vaibhav P. Anjankar, Sandeep Singh, Maninder Bindra, D. K. Satpathy. The Study of Cephalic Index of Medical Students of Central India. Asian Journal of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Sciences; 04 (28); 2014; 48-50.

INTRODUCTION

Cephalic index also called as cranial index or Index of breadth³ is one of the important parameter that helps to differentiate between different human races. This was widely used by anthropologists in the early 20th century to categorize human populations¹. It can also be utilized to find out sexual differences². The cephalic index was defined by Swedish professor of Anatomy Anders Retzius (1796–1860) and first used in physical anthropology to classify ancient human remains found in Europe³.

The cephalic index (CI) is calculated as the breadth of the skull multiplied by 100 divided by the length⁴. Cephalic index is classified in three broad categories- dolichocephalic (<75), mesocephalic (75-80) and brachycephalic (>80). Australian aborigines and native southern Africans are dolichocephalic, Europeans and the Chinese skulls are mesocephalic and Mongolians and the Andaman Islanders have brachycephalic skulls⁴.

Now a days, cephalic index is commonly used to describe individuals' appearances and for estimating the age of fetuses for legal and obstetrical reasons¹. So it can be used widely in various Forensic investigations. Comparison of changes in cephalic index between parents, offspring and siblings can give a clue to genetic transmission of inherited characters². Cephalic Index is the terminology used in Anthropology for having in easy identifying module or numerical to distinguish the given sample or individual, either into race or sex or even as identity of the individual⁵.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out on medical college students during 2 years period (January 2010 to December 2012). Study sample was the medical students of our medical college. Total 480 students (296 male and 184 female students) were included in this study.

The age of the patients ranged from 17-24 years, average being 19.8 years. All the measurements were taken at a fixed time to avoid any possible diurnal variations and with the subject sitting in relaxed condition and head in Anatomical position. The cranial index was measured using Hardlika's method⁶. Head length and breadth was measured using a spreading caliper. The maximum antero-posterior diameter or head length is measured from glabella to inion. Head breadth is the maximum transverse diameter calculated between two fixed points. Collected data was analyzed using Microsoft Excel.

The study was carried out on 480 students including 296 male and 184 female students of the medical college. The cephalic index was calculated and presented in tabular form as shown in tables.

The distribution of the subjects according to the cephalic index is shown below in table no. I. Table I shows that maximum number of subjects were Mesocephalic (75 – 79.9) followed by Brachycephalic (80 -84.9). Least subjects were ultradolichocephalic (55.0-59.9).

| Type | Cephalic Index | Number of Subjects |
|----------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Ultradolichocephalic | 55.0 - 59.9 | 2 |
| Hyperdolichocephalic | 60.0 – 64.9 | 3 |
| Dolichocephalic | 65 – 74.9 | 69 |
| Mesocephalic | 75 – 79.9 | 208 |
| Brachycephalic | 80 -84.9 | 153 |
| Hyperbrachycephalic | 85 – 89.9 | 35 |
| Ultrabrachycephalic | > 90.0 | 10 |
| | | 480 |

Table 1: Distribution of the subjects according to the cephalic index

| Type | Cephalic Index | Boys | | Girls | |
|----------------------|----------------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| | | No. | % | No. | % |
| Ultradolichocephalic | 55.0 - 59.9 | 1 | 0.34 | 1 | 0.54 |
| Hyperdolichocephalic | 60.0 – 64.9 | 2 | 0.68 | 1 | 0.54 |
| Dolichocephalic | 65 – 74.9 | 42 | 14.19 | 27 | 14.67 |
| Mesocephalic | 75 – 79.9 | 129 | 43.58 | 79 | 42.93 |
| Brachycephalic | 80 -84.9 | 101 | 34.12 | 52 | 28.26 |
| Hyperbrachycephalic | 85 – 89.9 | 16 | 5.41 | 19 | 10.34 |
| Ultrabrachycephalic | > 90.0 | 5 | 1.68 | 5 | 2.72 |
| Total | | 296 | 100 | 184 | 100 |

Table 2: Distribution of cephalic index according to the sex

Table II depicts that maximum number of boys (43.58%) as well as girls (42.93%) were mesocephalic i.e. cephalic index ranging between 75 and 79.9

| Gender | No. of students | Cephalic index | | |
|--------|-----------------|----------------|---------|--------------|
| | | Minimum | Maximum | Mean ± SD |
| Boys | 296 | 58.6 | 93.1 | 81.24 ± 3.66 |
| Girls | 184 | 56.3 | 93.8 | 80.31 ± 4.28 |
| Total | 480 | 58.3 | 93.8 | 81.21 ± 3.68 |

Table 3: Comparison of Cephalic Index in both sexes

Mean cephalic index for boys was 81.24 ± 3.66 and for girls was 80.31 ± 4.28. Mean cephalic index irrespective of gender was found to be 81.21 ± 3.68

DISCUSSION

In our study, mean cephalic index (\pm standard deviation) was found to be 81.21 ± 3.68 . so according to Stewarts classification⁷ this population belongs to the mesocephalic. Similar results were found by Shah GV *et al*² who found mean cephalic index for Gujarati population 80.81 and classified it as mesocephalic. Bhargav and Kher⁸ in 1960 found mean CI as 76.9 in Bhils population. Further Bhargav and Kher⁹ in 1961 found it to be 79.80 in Barelhas population in central India. Kate BR¹⁰ found mean CI of sickle cell anaemic patients as 77.9.

Mean CI for boys in present study was 81.24 ± 3.66 . Anitha *et al*³ found mean CI for central Indian boys 79.14 ± 4.72 . In the present study, mean CI value for girls was 80.31 ± 4.28 , while Anitha *et al*³ found it to be 80.74 ± 3.97 . So we can conclude that our values for CI of girls correlate well with Anitha *et al*.

CONCLUSION

Our study concludes that values of CI in different genders varies but not to great extent. The mean cephalic index (\pm standard deviation) was found to be 81.24 ± 3.66 for boys and 80.31 ± 4.28 for girls. This shows that there was no significant gender difference in the Cephalic Index. This data can be utilized by anthropologists or forensic experts for various anthropological purposes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We acknowledge our sincere thanks to Dr. G. N. Trivedi (Professor & Head of Department of Anatomy, LN Medical College, Bhopal) for their continuous support, advice and permitting us to carry out this work in the department. We also express our gratitude to Dr. Vishal Bankwar (Associate Professor, Department of Preventive medicine) who helped us to carry out the statistical analysis.

REFERENCES

1. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cephalic_index
2. Shah GV and Jadhav HR. The Study of Cephalic index in Students of Gujarat. J. Anat. Soc. India. 2004; 53 (1); 25-6.
3. Anitha MR, Vijayanath V, Raju GM and Vijayamahantesh SN. Cephalic Index of North Indian Population. Anatomica Karnataka. 2011. 5 (1); 40-3.
4. <http://www.britannica.com/EBchecked/topic/691172/cephalic-index>
5. Rao NG. Text Book of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology. 2nd ed. 2010, Jaypee brothers Medical Publisher (P) Ltd. 93-4.
6. Hardlika A. Practical Anthropometry. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Wistar Institute.1947: 185-6.
7. Stewart TD. Anthropometric nomenclature II. The cephalic index. American Journal of anthropology, 1935; 97-140.
8. Bhargava I and Kher GA. A comparative anthropometric study of central India Bhils and Barelhas of Dhar district of Madhya Pradesh. J Anat Soc Ind; 1960:9(1):14-9.
9. Bhargav I and Kher GV. A comparative anthropometric study of Bhils and Barelhas of central India. J Anat Soc Ind 1961; 10(1):31-33.